

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 17, 2026 9:38 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Public Record Request

This is a public record request for the following:

Any/all records of writings received by your office with allegations/ or complaints of me from:

*Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman"*Mallorie Evoniuk

*Jamisha Davis Nevarez (Et al aliases)

For date range 12/1/23-5/1/24.

Please include any/all records pertaining to a 1/29/25 incident of allegation by Jamisha Davis Nevarez (et al aliases) and Adrienne Norris, alleging I attempted to hit the two of them with my vehicle outside of the post office in Bonners Ferry. Please include Boundary Sheriff office media recording which you may had received for review, which revealed my vehicle never was in reverse nor did it move in the presence of Adrienne Norris and Jamisha Davis Nevarez.

I am an Idaho resident.

Please provide record via email delivery

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Jeremy Garrett <jgarrett@bonnersferry.id.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2025 11:58 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: Stalking & Harassment (18-6710, 18-7905, 18-7906, 18-91A)

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy XCover6 Pro, an AT&T 5G smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Misha Naumann [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2025 11:02:00 AM
To: Jeremy Garrett <jgarrett@bonnersferry.id.gov>
Cc: TStolley@boundarysheriff.org <TStolley@BoundarySheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Stalking & Harassment (18-6710, 18-7905, 18-7906, 18-91A)

January 31, 2025

Dear Officer Jeremy Garrett,

I hope this letter finds you well.

I am reaching out to request clarification regarding the identity of the woman depicted in the attached photo, taken at the post office yesterday. She was driving a silver hatchback-style mini car exhibited alarming behavior that warrants further investigation.

The individual in question intentionally reversed dangerously close to me and another woman, seemingly attempting to intimidate us. Following this, she remained in her vehicle, repeatedly moving it back and forth several feet as I exited the post office. Throughout this time, she pressed her face against the car window, making bizarre and unsettling expressions. Her behavior appeared erratic and concerning, raising the possibility of a mental health issue, or something more troubling, such as hostile pre-meditated intentions or, perhaps, demonic manifestations.

This conduct was clearly intended to provoke a reaction, as her actions seemed calculated to incite fear or conflict. Disturbingly, rumors circulating on Tami Elizabeth Turner-Jepesen's Facebook page—a platform notoriously associated with defamatory and harassing content—suggest that the woman in the silver car acted with deliberate malice, engaging in targeted bullying behavior. Prompting this email considering the amount of gang-stalking and harassment I have endured, specifically over the past year, here in Boundary County, with law enforcements knowledge and/or consent.

It is truly disheartening when law-abiding citizens, who hold no ill-will toward anyone, become the subjects of stalking and harassment by individuals who appear to lack purpose and instead choose to misuse their time and resources to cause harm to others.

I am confident, Officer Garrett, that with the resources at your disposal, you will be able to identify the woman in question. This will allow me to file a formal police report against her for stalking and harassment. I trust that appropriate action will be taken to address this matter and prevent further incidents.

Stalking and harassment is outlined in Title 18, Chapter 79 of the Idaho Statutes.

Stalking:

- **Stalking in the First Degree (Section 18-7905):** This offense is committed when an individual engages in a course of conduct that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses another person.
- **Stalking in the Second Degree (Section 18-7906):** This occurs when a person knowingly engages in a course of conduct that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses another person and would cause a reasonable person substantial emotional distress. It is considered a misdemeanor, punishable by imprisonment of up to one year and a fine of up to \$1,000.

Harassment, Intimidation, or Bullying:

- **Section 18-917A:** This statute addresses harassment, intimidation, or bullying, including acts committed through electronic means such as telephones or computers. It emphasizes that such behavior is prohibited, especially in contexts involving minors or students.

Additionally here is a friendly reminder of my Constitutional Rights:

Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. This clause ensures that all individuals are entitled to equal protection under the law, meaning that law enforcement agencies must provide their services without discrimination or bias.

Additionally:

- The **Due Process Clause** of the Fourteenth Amendment guarantees that individuals are not deprived of life, liberty, or property without due process of law, which includes fair treatment in interactions with law enforcement.
- The **First Amendment** protects your right to petition the government for a redress of grievances, which includes filing complaints or reports with law enforcement and expecting a lawful response.



In closing, I would like to readdress the bomb threats made last year by one, Clay Elaum. I noticed that these threats and his continued harassment were improperly coded as an "assault," leading to the prosecutor dismissing the case on the grounds that it did not meet the requirements of a "physical assault." However, it is clear that Mr. Elaum's actions do, in fact, meet the statutory elements of **Telecommunication Harassment** as outlined in **Idaho Code § 18-6710**.

This statute explicitly prohibits using telecommunication devices to intimidate, harass, or threaten individuals, including the transmission of threats of violence or harm. Bomb threats, whether explicit or implied, undoubtedly fall within this definition. Misclassifying such a serious matter undermines the legal process and denies justice for the victims affected by these alarming and potentially life-threatening acts.

I urge you to revisit the classification of Mr. Elaum's actions and ensure that they are evaluated under the correct statutory framework. Doing so will allow for proper legal action to be taken and for the safety and security of the public to be upheld.

Thank you for your time and assistance. Please do not hesitate to reach out if additional details or clarification are needed for the remaining parties involved to be included in the telecommunication harassment charges.

Sincerely,

Misha Naumann-Davis

[REDACTED]

Bcc: Legal Counsel

•

[EXTERNAL] This message originated outside of City of Bonners Ferry. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender, are expecting something from them, and know the content is safe. Please report malicious emails to the Exbabylon Managed Service Team.

Adrienne Norris

[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

February 4, 2025

Boundary County Sheriff's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
Bonners Ferry City Council
Bonners Ferry Police Department
Bonners Ferry Attorney
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office (Due To Conflict)
Boundary County Ambulance
Idaho Peace Officer Standards and Training (P.O.S.T.)
State of Idaho Attorney General (Legal Advice)
ICRMP Insurance (Policy Changes)
Idaho Sheriff's Association (Policy Changes)
Idaho Chiefs Of Police Association (Policy Changes)

I am formally requesting immediate intervention regarding what I believe to be an escalating case of organized harassment, commonly referred to as "gangstalking." The individuals are identified as Tami "Elizabeth" Turner-Jeppesen who is married to Deputy Anthony Jeppesen. Also, she works for Boundary Ambulance (which works directly with law enforcement). The second individual is Marie Garrett, wife of Assistant Chief of Police Jeremy Garrett. Tami and Marie appear to be actively engaging in a pattern of harassment directed at JaMisha Nevarez (Naumann-Davis), utilizing social media under both their real names and multiple pseudonyms. Tami has personally admitted to possessing approximately four Facebook accounts (potentially more), which raises serious concerns about coordinated efforts to target, confuse and intimidate. Moreover, Marie Garrett's conduct has recently escalated to in-person provocation, including disturbing facial expressions and gestures toward Misha, which align with similar reports from at least two other community members that have claimed Marie is targeting them with these expressions and gestures too. This suggests a broader pattern of targeted harassment.

I recognize that this type of behavior may seem petty at first glance. This might cause your departments to be reluctant to intervene. However, the larger issue at hand is that it would seem that law enforcement employees are allegedly sharing sensitive information and negative character assassination to their spouses and social circles, who then engage in bad behavior designed to harass and intimidate. I have complained to the Sheriff, Assistant Chief of Police, Mayor and City Attorney/County Prosecutor about this behavior and how I have caught officers talking negative about citizens on body cam recordings that I received through public records request. While I am only mentioning two wives, I can show a pattern of bad behavior from other local government employees within Boundary County over this last year and truthfully the last few years. While some might consider this behavior a small town drama, I would argue

that when leadership and government employees are involved it is now two or more government employees and their family and social circle conspiring to harm and potentially commit a crime.

1. **Idaho Code § 18-1701** two or more persons conspire to commit any crime and take some overt act toward committing it. If multiple individuals are working together to defame, harass, or intimidate a person, and they take action (such as publishing false information, encouraging others to attack the victim online, or engaging in coordinated harassment).

This situation and others over the past few years is not only unethical but could also constitute civil rights violations under the:

1. **Fourteenth Amendment** which guarantees equal protection under the law and prohibits the state from denying any person life and liberty without due process.

I would also add:

1. **Idaho Code § 18-6710** covers the use of telephones, text messages, and electronic communications (such as social media) to harass, intimidate, threaten, or annoy another person. Given the situation described these individuals are using multiple pseudonyms to spread false and damaging statements targeted victims.
2. **Idaho Code § 18-7906** engaging in a course of conduct that seriously alarms, annoys, or harasses the victim, causing emotional distress.
3. **Idaho Code § 18-4801** defines libel as a malicious defamation expressed by writing, printing, signs, pictures, or similar means, intended to impeach the honesty, integrity, virtue, or reputation of a person, thereby exposing them to public hatred, contempt, or ridicule.
4. Additionally, Tami's actions may violate ethical standards working for Boundary Ambulance, it could lead to professional consequences, especially if she holds any certifications or licenses that require adherence to a code of ethics.
5. **18 U.S. Code § 2261A** it is a federal offense to use electronic communications with the intent to harass or intimidate another person, placing them in reasonable fear of causing substantial emotional distress.

Misha has expressed to her attorney, law enforcement, probation officer, myself and others that she is scared. She is constantly asking me to come with her when she goes to these entities (I come when I can), I have noticed her ability to enjoy life has degraded over the last year. I attribute this to the evidence which in my opinion could result in civil action for deprivation of her rights.

It is imperative that law enforcement personnel and those closely associated with them refrain from abusing their positions by exploiting incidents and lies to fuel personal vendettas. Such behavior fosters a culture of selective enforcement, where certain individuals are systematically targeted, subjected to unwarranted police interactions, denied services, and even intentionally mislabeled in official records (CAD, RMS, etc.) that "flags" the person, leading to excessive/unnecessary scrutiny and harassment. I have collected testimonies from multiple citizens who also allege similar misconduct, and when reviewed collectively, these accounts establish a disturbing pattern of systemic abuse and selective enforcement within Boundary County. I have tried to talk to law enforcement and leadership multiple times about these situations but ultimately was ignored.

The implications of these and other actions extend beyond individual grievances. Local leadership have expressed to me about the immense stress and public distrust that have emerged in Boundary County as a direct result of many complaints. For many years most citizens have been raised in Boundary County to keep your head down if you don't want to be shunned in our small community. Now that the town is growing, many outsiders do not understand or care to adhere to such groupthink tribalism. Our town has

grown and the social control that was... is becoming no more. It is my firm belief that such misconduct, left unchecked, could result in serious legal consequences, including a mass tort claim against the responsible parties. This is not a threat; it is a clear warning based on the sentiments of the community. The frustration among citizens over the multi-generational cronyism and selective enforcement in Boundary County is reaching a boiling point. If these unethical practices are not addressed, public outcry could manifest in widespread legal action or media scrutiny following an inevitable, preventable undesirable situation. I am writing to you so that there can be a reflection on the past that will create a change with future accountability. A few recommendations would be to:

- 1) Update the employee/policy manual(s) to reflect these concerns. Or rewrite to reflect a stronger understanding of expectations so there is no confusion.
- 2) Distribute a department policy that describes expectations on social media and in the community while on or off duty.
- 3) An investigation into each officer(s) that potentially violated laws, statutes and P.O.S.T. Code of Conduct.

P.O.S.T. Code of Conduct

“As a member of the law enforcement profession, my fundamental duty is... to protect the innocent against deception, the weak against oppression or intimidation, and the peaceful against violence or disorder; and to respect the Constitutional rights of all to liberty, equality and justice. I will keep my private life unsullied as an example to all and will behave in a manner that does not bring discredit to me or to my agency...Honest in thought and deed in both my personal and official life...Whatever I see or hear of a confidential nature or that is confided to me in my official capacity will be kept ever secret... I will never act officiously or permit personal feelings, prejudices, political beliefs, aspirations, animosities or friendships to influence my decisions...I recognize the badge or position of my office as a symbol of public faith, and I accept it as a public trust to be held so long as I am true to the ethics of law enforcement service/public service. I will never engage in acts of corruption or bribery, nor will I condone such acts by other law enforcement or emergency communications officers.”

I am particularly concerned regarding:

LAW ENFORCEMENT PROFESSION DECERTIFICATION

1. (b) A violation of the Council’s Code of Ethics (see paragraph above, I highlighted my concerns)
2. (e) Harassment or intimidation
3. (j) Intentional and unauthorized disclosure of confidential information

Furthermore, the tactics employed by these individuals (and others) exhibit hallmarks of passive-aggressive narcissistic abuse, which can be difficult for outside observers to recognize without a full knowledge of what has happened over the last few years. I have enough evidence to prove the gangstalking harassment. Which law enforcement, the prosecutor and others not only ignored, but also at some level engaged in it. **Their ability to manipulate narratives through skillful rhetoric allows them to conceal the true nature of their conduct, thereby misleading those unfamiliar with the full context.** However, when explained the broader history of bad behavior, the pattern becomes undeniable.

The resulting environment has made Misha feel unsafe in her own community, depriving her of her right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. Over the past ten months, I have observed a deterioration in her ability to feel safe or be a productive citizen of Boundary County, which I directly attribute to this ongoing harassment.

I possess substantial evidence supporting these claims, including documents, testimony, audio recordings, video footage, and multiple witness testimonies. Due to Misha having a pending Supreme Court case, I must refrain from giving the evidence to the public and those named in the case. Once the case is closed Misha or her attorney will provide all evidence to the public. Misha has tried to ask for help with no success from Bonners Ferry Police, Boundary County Sheriff, City Attorney & County Prosecutor (same person), Idaho State Police, Attorney General, F.B.I. and other authorities and leadership. These outside agencies have replied that they don't get involved with local issues unless the Prosecutor or Sheriff invites them in. Where is the CHECK & BALANCE system? Is there no accountability? Is our local government allowed to free range their power since your average citizen doesn't have the financial ability or knowledge to pro se which requires the acumen of an attorney with a seven year degree? Is justice only for the elites? The fact that Tami and Marie are the spouses of a Deputy and an Assistant Chief of Police... and Tami works for Boundary Ambulance (might save your life one day) only exacerbates the gravity of this situation. What makes this more concerning is there are others in our community who express similar testimonies and concerns not just of these two women, but others within our government. Their actions not only harm individuals but also tarnish the reputations of the very law enforcement agencies they are associated with. Their behavior reflects poorly on the leadership of these departments, and it is incumbent upon the responsible authorities to address this misconduct before it results in legal damage.

While I fully acknowledge the protection of free speech, I must point out that harassment is not protected under the First Amendment. Especially, when they continuously target and strategically hide behind pseudonyms, intelligent persuasive writing and the appearance of being the so called "innocent" one. Selective enforcement of laws and policies has long been an issue in Boundary County. There are documented instances of crimes being ignored including sexual assault, threats of raping, threats of installing bombs, false statements to dispatchers, leaked evidence during open investigations, officers running background checks on citizens that are not being investigated, false statements from dispatchers and officers, leadership hanging up on victims who want to put a complaint in, etc... Many people have submitted complaints and torts which were ignored. Unless you have an attorney, who is willing to aggressively pursue the case to the end... our local government ignores them.

I urge you to take this matter seriously and conduct a thorough investigation into these allegations and make positive changes. Failing to do so not only perpetuates injustice but also exposes your department to potential liability.

Please consider this letter as an official notice.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Norris
Boundary County Watchman
Private Citizen