

Subject **Public record request**
From Marie Garrett <mariegarrett@protonmail.com>
To PublicRecordsRequest <publicrecordsrequest@boundarycountyid.org>
Date 2026-07-30 03:29 PM



This is a public record request for:

Any record of responsive records provided to Josh and/or Mallorie Evoniuk through Chris Swan on December 18, 2025 by Andrakay Pluid.

Requester: Marie Garrett



I am an Idaho resident.

Please provide records via paper copy if less than 100 pages. Please provide via direct email delivery if 100+ pages. Do not public index broadcast the request or responsive record, as it likely pertains to privacy, safety, juvenile matters and other exempt from disclosure regulations.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:09 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: FOIA request form
Attachments: Email to Norris RE Seal.pdf; Norris Letter.doc

Good afternoon Ms. Garrett,

It doesn't look like we have a copy of the letter that was provided exactly, only the unsigned version. I am also attaching an email within our files that was sent to Ms. Norris on the issue.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Prosecutor <prosecutor@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:01 PM
To: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: FW: FOIA request form

From: aoneel@boundarycountyid.org <aoneel@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 10:42 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: FOIA request form

Ms. Garrett,

I have forwarded your inquiry to the office of the county prosecuting attorney, under which Mr Hull works, at prosecutor@boundarycountyid.org

They should be able to answer your question.

Thank you,
Andrew

Andrew O'Neel
Director, Office of Emergency Management/PIO
Boundary County, Idaho
Cell: 208-290-5316



From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:58 AM
To: aoneel@boundarycountyid.org
Subject: FOIA request form

Mr. O'neel:
Do you require a specific FOIA form to request records?

The particular record I am wishing to request is a Cease and Desist letter, sent to Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary county watchman", from Tevis Hull sometime in December 2022.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 3:53 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: FOIA request form

Received. Thank you.

On Tuesday, June 3rd, 2025 at 2:08 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good afternoon Ms. Garrett,

It doesn't look like we have a copy of the letter that was provided exactly, only the unsigned version. I am also attaching an email within our files that was sent to Ms. Norris on the issue.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Prosecutor <prosecutor@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 2:01 PM

To: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: FW: FOIA request form

From: aoneel@boundarycountyid.org <aoneel@boundarycountyid.org>

Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 10:42 AM

To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]>

Subject: RE: FOIA request form

Ms. Garrett,

I have forwarded your inquiry to the office of the county prosecuting attorney, under which Mr Hull works, at prosecutor@boundarycountyid.org

They should be able to answer your question.

Thank you,

Andrew

Andrew O'Neel

Director, Office of Emergency Management/PIO

Boundary County, Idaho

Cell: 208-290-5316



From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:58 AM

To: aoneel@boundarycountyid.org

Subject: FOIA request form

Mr. O'neel:

Do you require a specific FOIA form to request records?

The particular record I am wishing to request is a Cease and Desist letter, sent to Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary county watchman", from Tevis Hull sometime in December 2022.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 9:22 AM
To: Intake@icrmp.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov
Subject: Claim number: C-25-01011-23278 FOIA VIOLATION

Mr. Blickenstaff,

I am in receipt of your denial letter dated June 25, 2025. I would like to clarify and verify important facts.

1. I received from records custodian, Mike Rosenthal on 8/19/2024 the copy of Requester Mallorie Evoniuk's public records request. At this time, I was aware the dashcam audio/video was released, but assumed that Mike Rosenthal performed the required redactions of juvenile names and protected information (per Idaho State law). Only to find out months later per his own admission that he does not redact A/V records. How would I had known on this date that the A/V content of which was released was Unredacted? I was not made aware the records were released unredacted, until a portion of the video was publicly released on the internet.

2. Per Email from Mike Rosenthal on 12/17/2024, was the date I learned he supposedly did not have the ability to redact audio/video records. The snippets of unredacted information of what was posted online was not the full video.

3. On 6/3/2025, I public requested the full dashcam audio/video. On 6/18/2025, I was provided said video. The length is over one hour long. I have confirmed the video contains:

Juvenile child identifying information
Court sealed information of a juvenile
Protected medical information

I did not remember fully all of the specific details of the protected information until I received the records on 6/18/2025, subsequent to my public records on 6/3/2025.

I would like to confirm if your agency has received the full audio/video which was provided to the requester Mallorie Evoniuk completely UNREDACTED?

If you have not reviewed the video, I have a copy of it and will be happy to provide it to you. If you have not reviewed the video in question, I do not believe you have performed due diligence in this matter, prior to denying this claim. Idaho State Police has identified a FOIA violation in this matter. I have full expectation that the negligent FOIA violation which has damaged my family irreparably, be addressed and liability acknowledged.

To provide IC 74-118 as a reason for denial is not applicable. The public records custodian did not exercise good faith in the release of a completely unredacted record that contains protected juvenile information. This was negligence. It is obvious the records were not reviewed prior to providing it to the Requester.

I would rather not make a public spectacle of this FOIA violation, with nobody from this agency seeming to acknowledge and take responsibility. However, if I am pushed in that direction, I will be disclosing further FOIA violations to victims in the community. There is an individual in our community who has been harvesting records for years. Based on the recent knowledge that the public records custodian has been releasing A/V records completely unredacted, it is evident the carnage of the FOIA violations are far reaching. I am confident the other affected potential victims are not aware of the FOIA violations and that unredacted A/V records have been released for years.

I look forward to a response to my inquiry.

Sincerely,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 2:08 PM
To: George Blickenstaff
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: Claim number: C-25-01011-23278 FOIA VIOLATION

Mr. Blickenstaff,

You may consider forwarding my provided information to a Superior within your agency. Considering that my family has been irreparably harmed due to Boundary County's failure to apply privacy protection laws and procedures upon release of juvenile records/PHI, I would expect for this to be fully investigated and liability assumed.

Per the Boundary County public records custodian's own admission, he has been releasing unredacted PHI to requesters, and has been for years. Those emails will not go away. The basis of the tort claim was due to the damages my family has sustained and continues to sustain as result. With a surmountable effort, I will be certain to share public awareness of this matter that you are not willing to act on.

Trampling citizen's privacy rights is not something you can wash your hands of, when negligence within the department has/is harming citizens. You cannot refute the evidence in court. No worries, I will pursue the appropriate course of action. This is a can of worms I am willing to open.

I do believe my family and others will eventually receive the due justice that you are apparently not willing to acknowledge. Boundary County is a small community. I am aware of who is who. I am aware of persons in possession of unredacted public records.

I look forward to our day in Court before a jury panel of citizens.

Best,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 1st, 2025 at 11:33 AM, George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org> wrote:

Ms. Garrett,

Thank you for the information. ICRMP is an insurance carrier, not an independent investigative agency for civil and/or criminal complaints. Our duty is to our Insured, to defend and indemnify them, if necessary. You have made a claim for damages alleging liability against our Insured. We understand that you do not agree with our determination that our Insured acted in good faith to comply with law and is immune from liability per the clear language of the statute. But your assertions are not proof that they did not. We based our determination on all the information provided by you and from our Insured. The claim remains denied and you are free to file suit if you wish to pursue your claim further.

Sincerely,

**George Blickenstaff, SCLA
Sr. Claims Specialist**

Direct: (208) 246-8202

Fax: (208)336-2100

www.icrmp.org



NOTICE: This email, and any files transmitted with it, is the property of the ICRMP and may contain information considered privileged or confidential and legally exempt from disclosure. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by calling 208-336-3100 or toll free 800-336-1985

From: Intake <intake@icrmp.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 11:05 AM
To: George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org>
Subject: FW: Claim number: C-25-01011-23278 FOIA VIOLATION

**Sonya Hosick
Office Administrator
(208) 336-3100**

www.icrmp.org

For upcoming webinars and trainings, please visit the Event Calendar on our website.

<https://www.icrmp.org/calendar/>.



NOTICE: This email, and any files transmitted with it, is the property of the ICRMP and may contain information considered privileged or confidential and legally exempt from disclosure. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by calling 208-336-3100 or toll free 800-336-1985

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 1, 2025 10:22 AM
To: Intake <intake@icrmp.org>
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; AGLabrador@ag.idaho.gov
Subject: Claim number: C-25-01011-23278 FOIA VIOLATION

Mr. Blickenstaff,

I am in receipt of your denial letter dated June 25, 2025. I would like to clarify and verify important facts.

1. I received from records custodian, Mike Rosenthal on 8/19/2024 the copy of Requester Mallorie Evoniuk's public records request. At this time, I was aware the dashcam audio/video was released, but assumed that Mike Rosenthal performed the required redactions of juvenile names and protected information (per Idaho State law). Only to find out months later per his own admission that he does not redact A/V records. How would I had known on this date that the A/V content of which was released was Unredacted? I was not made aware the records were released unredacted, until a portion of the video was publicly released on the internet.

2. Per Email from Mike Rosenthal on 12/17/2024, was the date I learned he supposedly did not have the ability to redact audio/video records. The snippets of unredacted information of what was posted online was not the full video.

3. On 6/3/2025, I public requested the full dashcam audio/video. On 6/18/2025, I was provided said video. The length is over one hour long. I have confirmed the video contains:

Juvenile child identifying information

Court sealed information of a juvenile

Protected medical information

I did not remember fully all of the specific details of the protected information until I received the records on 6/18/2025, subsequent to my public records on 6/3/2025.

I would like to confirm if your agency has received the full audio/video which was provided to the requester Mallorie Evoniuk completely UNREDACTED?

If you have not reviewed the video, I have a copy of it and will be happy to provide it to you. If you have not reviewed the video in question, I do not believe you have performed due diligence in this matter, prior to denying this claim. Idaho State Police has identified a FOIA violation in this matter. I have full expectation that the negligent FOIA violation which has damaged my family irreparably, be addressed and liability acknowledged.

To provide IC 74-118 as a reason for denial is not applicable. The public records custodian did not exercise good faith in the release of a completely unredacted record that contains protected juvenile information. This was negligence. It is obvious the records were not reviewed prior to providing it to the Requester.

I would rather not make a public spectacle of this FOIA violation, with nobody from this agency seeming to acknowledge and take responsibility. However, if I am pushed in that direction, I will be disclosing further FOIA violations to victims in the community. There is an individual in our community who has been harvesting records for years. Based on the recent knowledge that the public records custodian has been releasing A/V records completely unredacted, it is evident the carnage of the FOIA violations are far reaching. I am confident the other affected potential victims are not aware of the FOIA violations and that unredacted A/V records have been released for years.

I look forward to a response to my inquiry.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:14 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: cost moving forward

Ms. Pluid,

I am requesting a review of the cost for my public records request dated 6/18/25. I would also like to share with you that I feel this extreme cost is retaliatory toward me and perhaps an intent to deter me from obtaining the records I am requesting.

I had previously requested a dashcam video. I picked up the flashdrive from Dale Anderson personally at the Sheriff's office on 6/17/25. No cost for said flashdrive was mentioned. On 6/18/25 I submitted a PRR. In one of Dale's responses, he stated there was a \$5 charge for the flashdrive which I had already picked up. The \$5 charge is not the issue. The issue is that he decided there was a fee due to me AFTER I submitted another PRR. I will forward you those emails.

I will also point out that this PRR was submitted 6/18/25. In a previous email, Dale Anderson told me he had already spent 2 hours "looking into" my request. I inquired on what "looking into" meant. Idaho public records laws are clear in that only processing of actual records can be accounted for. Additionally, I never received an explicit 10 day notice of extension for fulfillment of the records. I was provided the proposed cost for my 6/18/25 PRR on 7/3/25, which was day 11.

It is further evident that Idaho public records laws are not being adhered to at the Boundary County Sheriff's office.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>
Date: On Thursday, July 3rd, 2025 at 9:53 AM
Subject: cost moving forward
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]>

Hello Marie,

I apologize for the delay in addressing your recent records request. Based on our assessment, fulfilling this request will require at least 40 hours of work. Per Idaho Code, requests exceeding two hours incur a fee. The estimated cost for this request is \$849.20, plus an additional \$5.00 for the flash drive.

We are prepared to proceed with the request upon receipt of the full payment, as required by Idaho Code. Please let us know how you wish to move forward.

Respectfully,

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnors Ferry ID 83805

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:17 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: RE: Public records request

Forwarded emails in reference to detailed email sent to you 7/7/25

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>
Date: On Wednesday, June 25th, 2025 at 12:04 PM
Subject: RE: Public records request
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]>

I have spent two hours so far working on your request and our new technician will be as well.

As far as the Tort, I have zero concerns about it, I assure you that there will be no retaliatory actions. Per Idaho code, records requests that require longer than two hours to complete are charged a very specific fee. If you want to research it in Idaho code I'm guessing you know where to find it.

As far as the flash drive, we can not take back a digital device that has been given out for security reasons, the fee is 5.00.

Respectfully

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnors Ferry ID 83805

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, June 25, 2025 11:40 AM

To: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: Public records request

Can you please clarify what it means for you to be at 2 hours in at looking into my request? What does "looking into the request" mean?

I understand my Tort claim may be uncomfortable for you and your Department. I also understand that I am to be treated like any other private citizen requester, with the same expectation for my public records requests to be handled appropriately and in a timely manner per State Law. I also expect there to be no retaliatory actions toward me and my family as a result of my Claim. ie: excessive fees for records.

FYI- I did receive your other email informing me I owe \$5 for the Flashdrive provided to me for my 6/3/25 request, subsequent to providing me the Flashdrive on 6/18/25. In the future, I will be happy to provide you with a Flashdrive to save the files on. I will return the 6/3/25 record Flashdrive to the Sheriff's Office.

On Wednesday, June 25th, 2025 at 10:23 AM, Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Hello Marie,

I have been extremely busy as with my duties as undersheriff and just saw both of your emails yesterday. The request will be sent to our new Records Technician, she will be slowly looking into it, as she is learning the process. As of now, yesterday I am right at two hours in looking into the request. We will need to try and figure out how many more hours and how much it will cost you to move forward with the request. Either I or our new Technician will contact you as soon as possible, with an estimation of time and cost.

I am sorry for the timing and delay.

Respectfully,

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnors Ferry ID 83805

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2025 7:21 AM
To: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Public records request

Undersheriff Anderson,

I am checking status on the public records request which I had sent 6/18/25.

On 6/13/25 Mike Rosenthal had informed me to send records requests to you. On 6/18/25 you informed me to send the request to Mike.

Who is handling my public records requests?

On Wednesday, June 18th, 2025 at 11:14 AM, Marie Garrett
<[REDACTED]> wrote:

I sent my public records request to you, just as Mike Rosenthal informed me to do on 6/13/25.

I will forward you that email from Mike Rosenthal.

On Wednesday, June 18th, 2025 at 8:20 AM, Dale Anderson
<danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

That is not our process, send your requests to Deputy Rosenthal

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnors Ferry ID 83805

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, June 18, 2025 6:56 AM
To: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: Public records request

Undersheriff Anderson,

Due to Mike Rosenthal's recusal in the involvement of my public records requests, I am remitting my public records request to you. Please see my attached public records request.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:34 AM
To: awalker@boundarycountyid.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: RE: Juvenile Expungement

Ms. Walker,

Can you please provide me with the answer to my question?

I have been trying to find out if my son is eligible for expungement? There is an urgent necessity in this and I have been unable to receive an answer.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, July 1st, 2025 at 2:46 PM
Subject: RE: Juvenile Expungement
To: Jessica Nordheim <jnordheim@boundarycountyid.org>

Ms. Nordheim,
On 6/16/25, I sent you the below question regarding expungement eligibility for my Son. To date, I have not received a response from you.

If you are unable to provide a reply, can you please forward my question to someone suitable to answer the question?

I am merely needing to know if my minor child is eligible for expungement of record?

Thanks,
Marie Garrett

On Monday, June 16th, 2025 at 10:32 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I have read the Idaho code.

"... after the expiration of one (1) year from the date of termination of the continuing jurisdiction of the court.."

Can you please clarify the meaning of "continuing jurisdiction of the court"? Is probation considered continuing jurisdiction of the court?

On Monday, June 16th, 2025 at 9:29 AM, Jessica Nordheim <jnordheim@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

IC 20-525A addresses record expungement. This is a link to that code section
<https://legislature.idaho.gov/statutesrules/idstat/title20/t20ch5/sect20-525a/>

This is the section regarding misdemeanor offenses, copied from that code section: (2) Any person who has been adjudicated in a case under this act and found to be within the purview of the act for having committed misdemeanor or status offenses only and not having been committed to the department of juvenile corrections may, after the expiration of one (1) year from the date of termination of the continuing jurisdiction of the court or after reaching age eighteen (18) years, whichever occurs later, petition the court for the expungement of his record. Upon the filing of the petition, the court shall set a date for a hearing and shall notify the prosecuting attorney of the pendency of the petition and the date of the hearing. The prosecuting attorney and any other person who may have relevant information about the petitioner may testify at the hearing.

As far as your other questions, that is outside of my wheel house, and would be best answered by an attorney.

Jessica Nordheim

Juvenile Probation Officer

Boundary County Community Justice

208-267-7983 X 4

****Confidentiality Notice****

The information in this electronic mail and attachments contains confidential information belonging to the sender and is legally privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. The authorized recipient of this information is prohibited from disclosing this information in accordance with state and Federal Law. If you have received this transmission by mistake, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance on the contents of these documents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please reply to arrange for remedy of this situation.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2025 12:31 AM
To: Jessica Nordheim <jnordheim@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Juvenile Expungement

Hello Jessica,

Does the eligibility for Juvenile expungement begin 1 year subsequent to completion of probation, or does eligibility begin 1 year from the date of being adjudicated to probation?

Also, if a juvenile is wishing to file for a legal name change, should expungement be filed before or after the name change?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:59 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: RE: Juvenile Expungement

Thank you for the clarification. Are there any extenuating circumstances where expungement may be requested sooner than the 1 year timeframe?

There is an urgency in an expungement, considering my minor son's protected information and details concerning probation/criminal charges were released completely unredacted to bad actors by BCSO. If there are any circumstances in which an expungement can be done early, I do believe it would be appropriate. We are trying to avoid further permanent damage from the unredacted information that has already been disseminated publicly on the internet.

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 9:44 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Probation is considered continuing jurisdiction of the court, so one year from the date of probation termination is the eligibility window.

Ms. Nordheim isn't an attorney, so that is likely why she did not provide further analysis.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:34 AM
To: awalker@boundarycountyid.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: RE: Juvenile Expungement

Ms. Walker,

Can you please provide me with the answer to my question?

I have been trying to find out if my son is eligible for expungement? There is an urgent necessity in this and I have been unable to receive an answer.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, July 1st, 2025 at 2:46 PM
Subject: RE: Juvenile Expungement
To: Jessica Nordheim <jnordheim@boundarycountyid.org>

Ms. Nordheim,

On 6/16/25, I sent you the below question regarding expungement eligibility for my Son. To date, I have not received a response from you.

If you are unable to provide a reply, can you please forward my question to someone suitable to answer the question?

I am merely needing to know if my minor child is eligible for expungement of record?

Thanks,

Marie Garrett

On Monday, June 16th, 2025 at 10:32 PM, Marie Garrett
<[REDACTED]> wrote:

I have read the Idaho code.

"... after the expiration of one (1)
year from the date of termination of
the continuing jurisdiction of the
court.."

Can you please clarify the meaning of "continuing jurisdiction of the court"? Is probation considered continuing jurisdiction of the court?

On Monday, June 16th, 2025 at 9:29 AM, Jessica Nordheim
<jnordheim@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

IC 20-525A addresses record expungement. This is a link to that code section

<https://legislature.idaho.gov/statutesrules/idstat/title20/t20ch5/sect20-525a/>

This is the section regarding misdemeanor offenses, copied from that code section: (2) Any person who has been adjudicated in a case under this act and found to be within the purview of the act for having committed misdemeanor or status offenses only and not having been committed to the department of juvenile corrections may, after the expiration of one (1) year from the date of termination of the continuing jurisdiction of the court or after reaching age eighteen (18) years, whichever occurs later, petition the court for the expungement of his record. Upon the filing of the petition, the court shall set a date for a hearing and shall notify the prosecuting attorney of the pendency of the petition and the date of the hearing. The prosecuting attorney and any other person who may have relevant information about the petitioner may testify at the hearing.

As far as your other questions, that is outside of my wheel house, and would be best answered by an attorney.

Jessica Nordheim

Juvenile Probation Officer

Boundary County Community Justice

208-267-7983 X 4

****Confidentiality Notice****

The information in this electronic mail and attachments contains confidential information belonging to the sender and is legally privileged. This information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. The authorized recipient of this information is prohibited from disclosing this information in accordance with state and Federal Law. If you have received this

transmission by mistake, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or action taken in reliance on the contents of these documents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please reply to arrange for remedy of this situation.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, June 16, 2025 12:31 AM
To: Jessica Nordheim
<jnordheim@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Juvenile Expungement

Hello Jessica,

Does the eligibility for Juvenile expungement begin 1 year subsequent to completion of probation, or does eligibility begin 1 year from the date of being adjudicated to probation?

Also, if a juvenile is wishing to file for a legal name change, should expungement be filed before or after the name change?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2025 8:30 AM
To: Dale Anderson
Cc: Bill Wilson; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: June records request

Please send me the 2 hours worth of work you had done on my 6/18/25 request (per your 6/25/25 email).

Thank you,
Marie Garrett.

On Thursday, July 10th, 2025 at 7:24 AM, Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Your request asks for over a years' worth of records about communications between at least 4 different people, not to include aliases, to include emails, phone calls and videos. There are possibly hundreds of emails, from persons who no longer are employed by Boundary County. Once emails are located, each email will need to be individually read, redacted, approved, copied and sent out to complete the records request. Each video will need to be reviewed. The request will involve IT, legal, and sheriff staff. The request will create over 40+ hours of work in our estimation.

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnors Ferry ID 83805

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 8:07 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Formal request for investigation of compliance with IPRA

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

I am writing to formally request an outside investigation of Bounday County Sheriff's Office compliance of the Idaho Public Records Act (IPRA). I am requesting an outside governing agency investigation into many facets in which IPRA is being violated. Including but not limited to: unredacted release of records containing identifying information/protected health information/sealed Juvenile information, IPRA exemptions not being applied upon release of records, non compliance of Juvenile privacy laws, mishandling of public records requests and IPRA law protocol/deadlines not being adhered to. My formal request is subsequent to the below outlined verifiable issues I have experienced:

7/2024: Juvenile Public records containing identifying information, sealed Juvenile information, and PHI released to Requester without applicable exemptions applied. Audio/visual records released completely unredacted to requester. This is ONE records request that can be verified.

Per emails received by Mike Rosenthal, BCSO records custodian- he has not had the ability to redact A/V content. I am formally requesting investigation into all public records released that have contained privacy law breaches due to the inability to redact, yet the records have been released unredacted. The investigation needs to include all A/V records released.

2025 to present: I have experienced mishandling/unacknowledgement of public records requests. I have been denied public records requests that others have been able to receive. I have been quoted exorbitant/unreasonable fees for a public records request. Currently, 3 of my public records requests have been unanswered-yet not denied, with failure to handle the requests per IPRA. (6/18/25 PRR and 7/7/25 PRR-quantity 2).

I bring forth this request for investigation not only for my own verified experiences/evidence of IPRA compliance violations, but for any other public records requests with the same issues. If a privacy breach is founded, it would be necessary to apply the lawful protocols in notifying potential victims.

I am requesting an outside agency to investigate alleged non compliance of IPRA within Boundary County Sheriff's office. The reason for this request is due to conflict of interest.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email and notify me of who will be investigating this matter.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 2:26 PM
To: Bill Wilson; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: RE: 7/22/25 Public Records Request

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

Please advise who I am to be directing my public records requests to.

On 6/13/25, Mike Rosenthal recused himself via email from handling my public records requests due to my 6/11/25 filed tort claim. Mike Rosenthal directed me to submit future public records requests to Dale Anderson.

I am being sent in a circular motion by Dale Anderson. To each of my Public records requests, Dale responds that he is not the one handling my requests. I have asked Dale several times via email, who is handling my requests? I forwarded Dale the 6/13/25 email from Mike Rosenthal, which directs me to submit PRR to Dale. I have received no reply to my question of who am I supposed to be submitting my requests to? As an extra measure, I have been cc'ing Mike Rosenthal (bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org) on my public records request emails. As result of this circular motion, my formal public records requests from 7/7/25 and 7/22/25 are being ignored.

I have the expectation to hold the same ability to receive public records, just as any other resident in the State of Idaho.

If you would like to view the several emails in reference to this matter, please let me know.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 11:55 AM
Subject: RE: 7/22/25 Public Records Request
To: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Mr. Anderson,

Who is the records contact for my requests? Per the email from Mike Rosenthal on 6/13/25 (which i provided you with that email), Mike recused himself from handling my requests and directed me to you.

Please stop sending me in a circular motion with no outcome to my public records requests. I am asking for my public records request to be fulfilled.

Best,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 11:48 AM, Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I am not the records contact, as I advised you before

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnors Ferry ID 83805

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 11:31 AM
To: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>; Mike Rosenthal
<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: 7/22/25 Public Records Request

Boundary County Sherriff's Office personnel handling public records requests for Marie Garrett:

Please see the attached public records request dated and submitted 7/22/25.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, July 23, 2025 9:26 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Demand for Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to be issued by Boundary County

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

This email serves as a Demand for Boundary County Officials to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to the known individuals in possession of UNREDACTED JUVENILE PROTECTED RECORDS. Said records contain unredacted identifying information of a Juvenile, protected health information and sealed court record information of a Juvenile.

Boundary County Sheriff's office released the unredacted above mentioned records to a non party requester on or about July 9, 2024. Subsequent to that action of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office, said records have been publicly disseminated on the internet with intent to harm and permanently damage individual(s). The mentioned records are not public information. It is now the duty of Boundary County to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and a Notice of Cease and Desist to the below known individuals:

1. Mallorie Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
2. Joshua Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
3. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman and all other pseudonyms
4. Jamisha Nevarez aka Misha Davis aka Jamisha Davis aka Savage Grace aka AriseZionDaughter and all other pseudonyms

I request that violation of the Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist result in the individual(s) prosecuted to the full extent of the Law.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 1:59 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Public records request form

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

Can you please send me the template form for citizen public records request of Boundary county records (not specifically Boundary county Sheriff's Office)?

If there is no form, can you please inform me of the procedure in which I am to follow with your office to obtain public records of Boundary county?

I have searched online and have not located a form for public records of Boundary County.

Best,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, July 28, 2025 10:56 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public records request form
Attachments: Boundary County Public Request Form.pdf

Hi Marie,

Attached is a PRR form that my office would prefer to use. It appears the county as whole does not have a standard one at this point.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 1:59 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public records request form

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

Can you please send me the template form for citizen public records request of Boundary county records (not specifically Boundary county Sheriff's Office)?

If there is no form, can you please inform me of the procedure in which I am to follow with your office to obtain public records of Boundary county?

I have searched online and have not located a form for public records of Boundary County.

Best,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:31 AM
To: Bill Wilson; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Public Records Request 7/29/25
Attachments: Boundary County PRR. 7.29.25.pdf

Bill Wilson and Andrakay Pluid,

Please see the attached Public Records Request.

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:33 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Re: Demand for Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to be issued by Boundary County

I am following up on my below email to you on July 23, 2025. What is the status of this?

On Wednesday, July 23rd, 2025 at 9:26 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

This email serves as a Demand for Boundary County Officials to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to the known individuals in possession of UNREDACTED JUVENILE PROTECTED RECORDS. Said records contain unredacted identifying information of a Juvenile, protected health information and sealed court record information of a Juvenile.

Boundary County Sheriff's office released the unredacted above mentioned records to a non party requester on or about July 9, 2024. Subsequent to that action of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office, said records have been publicly disseminated on the internet with intent to harm and permanently damage individual(s). The mentioned records are not public information. It is now the duty of Boundary County to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and a Notice of Cease and Desist to the below known individuals:

1. Mallorie Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
2. Joshua Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
3. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman and all other pseudonyms
4. Jamisha Nevarez aka Misha Davis aka Jamisha Davis aka Savage Grace aka AriseZionDaughter and all other pseudonyms

I request that violation of the Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist result in the individual(s) prosecuted to the full extent of the Law.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:36 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Re: Formal request for investigation of compliance with IPRA

I am following up on my email sent on July 22, 2025. To date, I have not received a reply. I have requested a formal investigation (audit) into Boundary County Sheriff's Office compliance of Idaho Public Records Act. Does this need to be forwarded to the Attorney General's Office or will your office be handling this matter?

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 8:07 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

I am writing to formally request an outside investigation of Bounday County Sheriff's Office compliance of the Idaho Public Records Act (IPRA). I am requesting an outside governing agency investigation into many facets in which IPRA is being violated. Including but not limited to: unredacted release of records containing identifying information/protected health information/sealed Juvenile information, IPRA exemptions not being applied upon release of records, non compliance of Juvenile privacy laws, mishandling of public records requests and IPRA law protocol/deadlines not being adhered to. My formal request is subsequent to the below outlined verifiable issues I have experienced:

7/2024: Juvenile Public records containing identifying information, sealed Juvenile information, and PHI released to Requester without applicable exemptions applied. Audio/visual records released completely unredacted to requester. This is ONE records request that can be verified.

Per emails received by Mike Rosenthal, BCSO records custodian- he has not had the ability to redact A/V content. I am formally requesting investigation into all public records released that have contained privacy law breaches due to the inability to redact, yet the records have been released unredacted. The investigation needs to include all A/V records released.

2025 to present: I have experienced mishandling/unacknowledgement of public records requests. I have been denied public records requests that others have been able to receive. I have been quoted exorbitant/unreasonable fees for a public records request. Currently, 3 of my public records requests have been unanswered-yet not denied, with failure to handle the requests per IPRA. (6/18/25 PRR and 7/7/25 PRR-quantity 2).

I bring forth this request for investigation not only for my own verified experiences/evidence of IPRA compliance violations, but for any other public records requests with the same issues. If a privacy breach is founded, it would be necessary to apply the lawful protocols in notifying potential victims.

I am requesting an outside agency to investigate alleged non compliance of IPRA within Boundary County Sheriff's office. The reason for this request is due to conflict of interest.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email and notify me of who will be investigating this matter.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:44 AM
To: Glenda Poston
Cc: 'Pam Barton'; 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Clerk Poston,

Do you have record of Pam Barton communicating to you that she had told me on 6/18/25 she was going to speak with you regarding a different interview date for the week of June 30? Ms. Barton did not tell me to call her or you back. Ms. Barton told me she would get back to me after speaking with you.

I never received another phone call from anyone in your office subsequent to my phone call with Ms. Barton on 6/18/25.

The fact is that I was obviously a qualified candidate for the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position, and skipped over in discrimination for consideration for the position.

Also, I see the posting date for the county and the public are the same date (6/10/25). Can you explain this?

On Tuesday, July 8th, 2025 at 10:42 AM, Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Marie – Yes, we do have a formal request form and in the future should you need it, I would ask that you complete it. But moving forward for your request. I have attached the posting for the job opening in the court side of my office. Also, attached is page 7 from the Employee Handbook and in the bottom section of item 4, speaks to “in-house”. It is very common for openings to run con-currently within the county, of which this posting did just that.

We had 23 resume/applications received. Of those 8 were selected.

We conducted 6 interviews in person and 1 via zoom. Interview were done on 6/24/25 and 6/27/25.

As you can see by the math above we are missing one interview and that was yours. I know that Pam did contact you and you indicated you were out of town and was not available. I have no knowledge of you contacting my office to schedule any interview for a different date/time.

On July 3, we discussed the opening and selected a person. Letters were sent to those we did interview, but were not selected.

If there was confusion on what your available dates for an interview were that was not conveyed by you, you stated you were out of the area. I encourage you to keep an eye out for job postings within the county and my office.

We did hire someone who does have legal experience, knowledge of procedures and is currently a county employee.

Hopefully I have answered your questions. Please feel free to apply in the future, should you wish to.

Respectfully Submitted,

Glenda Poston

Boundary County Clerk

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 10:29 PM
To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Clerk Poston,

Please consider this a formal request for the below documents to be provided to me. If a form public records request is necessary, please provide said form.

*Documentation regarding the job description of the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position opening (expiration 6/23/25).

*Provide documentation of in house job posting date for said position and documentation of the date the job posting was made available to the general public.

*Provide the number of applicants for said position and dates of interviews.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 12:31 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Can you please tell me why I was not called to schedule an interview and given that opportunity, following my conversation with Pam on 6/18/25, where she indicated I would be scheduled for an interview? Based on my previous experience and the criteria of your job posting, I am a qualified candidate for this position.

Can you please send me the documentation of when the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position was posted for "in house" and documentation to show when the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position was posted to the general public?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 12:24 PM, Glenda Poston
<gposton@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Thank you Marie, YES Pam did speak with me regarding your situation and time for interviews. We did conduct interviews last week and have selected an "in-house" employee. Per our County policy we are required to do that if the applicant would fit into the work environment, of which she did.

Thank You for following up with me.

Glenda Poston

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:45 AM
To: gposton@boundarycountyid.org
Subject: Fw: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Dear Clerk Poston,

I am following up on an interview date for the applied for Deputy Court Clerk 4 position.

On 6/18/25 I spoke with Pam about an interview. She asked if I was available for an interview the following week. I was not available, as my child was attending a pre planned day camp in Sandpoint each day that week and I needed to stay in the area. Pam told me the job posting did not close until 6/23/25, and she was just getting a jumpstart on interviews, and she would get with you regarding scheduling my interview.

Are interviews for this position still being scheduled?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Monday, June 16th, 2025 at 1:00 PM
Subject: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant
To: gposton@boundarycountyid.org
<gposton@boundarycountyid.org>

Dear Clerk Poston,

Please find my attached General Application for the Deputy Court Clerk 4 available position. You will also be receiving the attached via mail. To this email I have also attached my Resume and a letter of recommendation. I bring to you my experience and proven abilities in complex legal clerical and secretarial functions. I feel I would be a qualified match for this position.

I appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 12:07 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public Records Request 7/29/25

This email is to confirm receipt of your public records request. The Prosecutor's Office is not the custodian of the records you are requesting, but I am working on finding out who would have that information. It may take up to 10 business days to respond.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:31 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records Request 7/29/25

Bill Wilson and Andrakay Pluid,

Please see the attached Public Records Request.

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Matt Hodges <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 2:46 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: FW: Public Records Request 7/29/25
Attachments: Boundary County PRR. 7.29.25.pdf; PRR041025.jpg

Marie Garrett,

There has been one change made to the public records request page of the Sheriff's website during that time.

<https://www.boundarysheriff.org/public-records-requests>

The change was made on April 10, 2025 at 9:38 AM

The change was to move the icons listed at the bottom of the page so that they do not cover their descriptions. Please see the attached file PRR041025.jpg, this is what the page looked like before the change was made.

Thanks,
Matt Hodges

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 12:06 PM
To: 'Matt Hodges' <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: FW: Public Records Request 7/29/25

Matt,

Attached is a PRR that was sent to my office, but I think would be better directed to IT. I am not sure if you are responsible for website maintenance or not?

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:31 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records Request 7/29/25

Bill Wilson and Andrakay Pluid,

Please see the attached Public Records Request.

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 2:32 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Pam Barton'; 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Marie – No, I don't have any written documentation, regarding the conversation, as it was conveyed verbally to me. I would also like to clarify that in a prior email I mis-stated that the county "is required" to prioritize hiring internally, but regarding this situation, of the people that were available during the scheduled interview times/days, a current county employee was selected from a pool of both internal and external candidate.

Respectfully,
Glenda Poston

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:44 AM
To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Pam Barton' <pbarton@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Clerk Poston,

Do you have record of Pam Barton communicating to you that she had told me on 6/18/25 she was going to speak with you regarding a different interview date for the week of June 30? Ms. Barton did not tell me to call her or you back. Ms. Barton told me she would get back to me after speaking with you.

I never received another phone call from anyone in your office subsequent to my phone call with Ms. Barton on 6/18/25.

The fact is that I was obviously a qualified candidate for the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position, and skipped over in discrimination for consideration for the position.

Also, I see the posting date for the county and the public are the same date (6/10/25). Can you explain this?

On Tuesday, July 8th, 2025 at 10:42 AM, Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Marie – Yes, we do have a formal request form and in the future should you need it, I would ask that you complete it. But moving forward for your request. I have attached the posting for the job opening in the court side of my office. Also, attached is page 7 from the Employee Handbook and in the bottom section of item 4, speaks to "in-house". It is very common for openings to run con-currently within the county, of which this posting did just that.

We had 23 resume/applications received. Of those 8 were selected.

We conducted 6 interviews in person and 1 via zoom. Interview were done on 6/24/25 and 6/27/25.

As you can see by the math above we are missing one interview and that was yours. I know that Pam did contact you and you indicated you were out of town and was not available. I have no knowledge of you contacting my office to schedule any interview for a different date/time.

On July 3, we discussed the opening and selected a person. Letters were sent to those we did interview, but were not selected.

If there was confusion on what your available dates for an interview were that was not conveyed by you, you stated you were out of the area. I encourage you to keep an eye out for job postings within the county and my office.

We did hire someone who does have legal experience, knowledge of procedures and is currently a county employee.

Hopefully I have answered your questions. Please feel free to apply in the future, should you wish to.

Respectfully Submitted,

Glenda Poston

Boundary County Clerk

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 10:29 PM
To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Clerk Poston,

Please consider this a formal request for the below documents to be provided to me. If a form public records request is necessary, please provide said form.

*Documentation regarding the job description of the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position opening (expiration 6/23/25).

*Provide documentation of in house job posting date for said position and documentation of the date the job posting was made available to the general public.

*Provide the number of applicants for said position and dates of interviews.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 12:31 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Can you please tell me why I was not called to schedule an interview and given that opportunity, following my conversation with Pam on 6/18/25, where she indicated I would be scheduled for an interview? Based on my previous experience and the criteria of your job posting, I am a qualified candidate for this position.

Can you please send me the documentation of when the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position was posted for "in house" and documentation to show when the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position was posted to the general public?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 12:24 PM, Glenda Poston
<gposton@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Thank you Marie, YES Pam did speak with me regarding your situation and time for interviews. We did conduct interviews last week and have selected an "in-house" employee. Per our County policy we are required to do that if the applicant would fit into the work environment, of which she did.

Thank You for following up with me.

Glenda Poston

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:45 AM
To: gposton@boundarycountyid.org
Subject: Fw: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Dear Clerk Poston,

I am following up on an interview date for the applied for Deputy Court Clerk 4 position.

On 6/18/25 I spoke with Pam about an interview. She asked if I was available for an interview the following week. I was not available, as my child was attending a pre planned day camp in Sandpoint each day that week and I needed to stay in the area. Pam told me the job posting did not close until 6/23/25, and she was just getting a jumpstart on interviews, and she would get with you regarding scheduling my interview.

Are interviews for this position still being scheduled?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Monday, June 16th, 2025 at 1:00 PM
Subject: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant
To: gposton@boundarycountyid.org
<gposton@boundarycountyid.org>

Dear Clerk Poston,

Please find my attached General Application for the Deputy Court Clerk 4 available position. You will also be receiving the attached via mail. To this email I have also attached my Resume and a letter of recommendation. I bring to you my experience and proven abilities in complex legal clerical and secretarial functions. I feel I would be a qualified match for this position.

I appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 1:24 PM
To: Marie Garrett; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: Formal request for investigation of compliance with IPRA

Marie,

I think I responded to this topic on another thread, but I'll try to do so again here. There is no statutory authority for Andrakay and I to conduct an audit of the Sheriff's Office. Likewise, we don't have the power to issue a cease and desist letter on your behalf, as only represent the County as an entity and not you personally. If you are unhappy with the responses you've received to any of your recent requests, your sole remedy is to sue the County as set forth at I.C. 74-115. I certainly hope we can avoid that by working together in a professional manner, but I also want to be realistic about what we can and cannot do on your behalf.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:36 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Re: Formal request for investigation of compliance with IPRA

I am following up on my email sent on July 22, 2025. To date, I have not received a reply. I have requested a formal investigation (audit) into Boundary County Sheriff's Office compliance of Idaho Public Records Act. Does this need to be forwarded to the Attorney General's Office or will your office be handling this matter?

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 8:07 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

I am writing to formally request an outside investigation of Bounday County Sheriff's Office compliance of the Idaho Public Records Act (IPRA). I am requesting an outside governing agency investigation into many facets in which IPRA is being violated. Including but not limited to: unredacted release of records containing identifying information/protected health information/sealed Juvenile information, IPRA exemptions not being applied upon release of records, non compliance of Juvenile privacy laws, mishandling of public records requests and IPRA law protocol/deadlines not being adhered to. My formal request is subsequent to the below outlined verifiable issues I have experienced:

7/2024: Juvenile Public records containing identifying information, sealed Juvenile information, and PHI released to Requester without applicable exemptions applied. Audio/visual records released completely unredacted to requester. This is ONE records request that can be verified.

Per emails received by Mike Rosenthal, BCSO records custodian- he has not had the ability to redact A/V content. I am formally requesting investigation into all public records released that have contained privacy law breaches due to the inability to redact, yet the records have been released unredacted. The investigation needs to include all A/V records released.

2025 to present: I have experienced mishandling/unacknowledgement of public records requests. I have been denied public records requests that others have been able to receive. I have been quoted exorbitant/unreasonable fees for a public records request. Currently, 3 of my public records requests have been unanswered-yet not denied, with failure to handle the requests per IPRA. (6/18/25 PRR and 7/7/25 PRR-quantity 2).

I bring forth this request for investigation not only for my own verified experiences/evidence of IPRA compliance violations, but for any other public records requests with the same issues. If a privacy breach is founded, it would be necessary to apply the lawful protocols in notifying potential victims.

I am requesting an outside agency to investigate alleged non compliance of IPRA within Boundary County Sheriff's office. The reason for this request is due to conflict of interest.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email and notify me of who will be investigating this matter.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2025 1:14 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Public Records Request 7/30/25
Attachments: Boundary County Public Request 7.30.25.pdf

Please see the attached Public Records Request.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, August 1, 2025 12:43 PM
To: Bill Wilson
Cc: Glenda Poston; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: Re: Public Records Request 7/30/25

Bill,
Can you please explain what your last email is about? There must have been a missing email that I was not included in.

On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 11:51 AM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Yes, if we need to redact something, checking that box is appropriate. Let's discuss this on Monday when I come up there.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2025 4:53 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]>
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request 7/30/25

Marie – I was forwarded your message and I need to let you know that it will be tomorrow before we can get all of these scanned in for your review. I'm not sure of the total number of pages as we have not counted them, but will provide additional information if needed.

Glenda Poston

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2025 1:38 PM
To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: FW: Public Records Request 7/30/25

Hi Glenda,

This PRR should have come to you.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

----- Original message -----

From: Marie Garrett <

Date: 7/30/25 1:14 PM (GMT-08:00)

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: Public Records Request 7/30/25

Please see the attached Public Records Request.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, August 1, 2025 1:53 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'; Boundary County Commissioners
Subject: FW: Tort Claims for Records Request
Attachments: Bjoraker, Michael.pdf; Boundary Community Hospital.pdf; Bujacich-Smith, Kay.pdf; Dewberry, Jim.pdf; Gallette, Regina.pdf; Garrett, Marie.pdf; Jackson, Timothy.pdf; Jordan, Donald, & Konek, Kathleen.pdf; Martin, Kelly.pdf; Nevarez, JaMisha.pdf; Rosengrant, Bethany.pdf; Steinborn, Jeffery & Oksana.pdf; Torgerson, Brooke.pdf

Marie – Please find attached to this email your request for electronic copies of Tort Claims involving Boundary County between 7/1/2024 and current. Your public records request has been completed.

Glenda Poston

From: Rebekah Jensen <rjensen@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, August 1, 2025 1:48 PM
To: gposton@boundarycountyid.org
Subject: Tort Claims for Records Request

Here are all of the tort claims for the records request.

Rebekah Jensen

Deputy Clerk
Boundary County Idaho
PH: 208-267-2242 ext. 1

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, August 3, 2025 11:50 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; wcowell@bonnersferry.id.gov
Subject: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

Corporal Reynolds,
Please see the below Dropbox link which contains numerous recordings from 7/27/25-8/3/25. Please add this evidentiary content to the files in reference to the incidents involving C.C., a juvenile.

Please confirm receipt that you are able to access the below link/download the files.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 7:53 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; wcowell@bonnersferry.id.gov
Subject: Re: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

FYI the recordings have been downloading into Dropbox for over 15 hours, with several still in the download queue this morning.

On Sunday, August 3rd, 2025 at 11:50 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Corporal Reynolds,
Please see the below Dropbox link which contains numerous recordings from 7/27/25-8/3/25. Please add this evidentiary content to the files in reference to the incidents involving C.C., a juvenile.

Please confirm receipt that you are able to access the below link/download the files.

[REDACTED]

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 10:11 AM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Privacy laws/redactions

I am writing to reiterate the importance of adherence to the Idaho Public records Act and all applicable privacy laws.

I have been emailing multiple officials/staff of different agencies in Boundary County, reiterating the detriment of violating privacy laws and release of non redacted content to non parties. The rebound of negligence of application of privacy laws has created a greater issue in our lives personally.

With this said, I am asking that Idaho public records Act be adhered to the fullest, along with all applicable privacy laws, exemptions, redactions, etc. upon all public records requests for release to the public, for everyone including my family.

Boundary county Sheriff's Office received a criminal complaint from me/ notice that unredacted public records have been used to personally harass my family/juvenile children. (To date has not been investigated by Boundary County Sheriff's Office or conflicted to another agency).

This email serves as an additional notice that any non party public records requests received will be used as continued harassment on my family and juvenile children, done as a concerted effort by multiple individuals of Boundary County, as already evidenced.

Privacy laws and exemptions must be carefully applied prior to consideration of releasing any content to the public.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 8:56 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public Records request

We have no records responsive to your request.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, August 2, 2025 10:27 PM
To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records request

Please see the attached records request.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 1:24 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; wcowell@bonnersferry.id.gov
Subject: Re: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

I am running into an issue with the remaining files not being downloaded into Dropbox. The files get stuck in the download queue for a length of time and then show paused. Is there another media platform that I may use to get these recordings to you?

On Monday, August 4th, 2025 at 7:53 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

FYI the recordings have been downloading into Dropbox for over 15 hours, with several still in the download queue this morning.

On Sunday, August 3rd, 2025 at 11:50 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Corporal Reynolds,
Please see the below Dropbox link which contains numerous recordings from 7/27/25-8/3/25. Please add this evidentiary content to the files in reference to the incidents involving C.C., a juvenile.

Please confirm receipt that you are able to access the below link/download the files.

[REDACTED]

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett (via Dropbox) <no-reply@dropbox.com>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 3:50 PM
To: apluid@boundarycountyid.org
Subject: Marie Garrett shared "[REDACTED] recordings" with you



Marie Garrett (mariespamfree@gmail.com) invited you to edit the folder "[REDACTED] recordings" on Dropbox.

Add to Dropbox

Do more with your files—get the desktop and mobile apps.



Dropbox for Mobile



Dropbox for Desktop

Is there an issue? [Report to Dropbox](#)

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 5, 2025 8:45 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; wcowell@bonnersferry.id.gov
Subject: Re: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

Recording files have successfully downloaded to Dropbox. You should have access to them now. There are several more, though differentiating which ones did not download to Dropbox is going to be tedious to determine. I will pick up a flashdrive today and transfer all files to it, to ensure nothing is missed.

On Monday, August 4th, 2025 at 1:23 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I am running into an issue with the remaining files not being downloaded into Dropbox. The files get stuck in the download queue for a length of time and then show paused. Is there another media platform that I may use to get these recordings to you?

On Monday, August 4th, 2025 at 7:53 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

FYI the recordings have been downloading into Dropbox for over 15 hours, with several still in the download queue this morning.

On Sunday, August 3rd, 2025 at 11:50 PM, Marie Garrett

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

Corporal Reynolds,
Please see the below Dropbox link which contains numerous recordings from 7/27/25-8/3/25. Please add this evidentiary content to the files in reference to the incidents involving C.C., a juvenile.

Please confirm receipt that you are able to access the below link/download the files.

[REDACTED]

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Sent: Wednesday, August 6, 2025 2:42 PM
To: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Travis Stolley'; 'Mike valenzuela'
Subject: RE: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

Thank you,

-Greg

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 4:31 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; 'Mike valenzuela' <mvalenzuela@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

Marie sent a Dropbox link to me and I uploaded it to iCrimeFighter.

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 1:25 PM
To: 'greynolds@boundarysheriff.org' <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; 'Mike valenzuela' <mvalenzuela@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: FW: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

I would defer to you guys on this. Bonner County agreed to review it for charges and I could mail them a thumb drive or whatever with information.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, August 4, 2025 1:24 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; wcowell@bonnersferry.id.gov
Subject: Re: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

I am running into an issue with the remaining files not being downloaded into Dropbox. The files get stuck in the download queue for a length of time and then show paused. Is there another media platform that I may use to get these recordings to you?

On Monday, August 4th, 2025 at 7:53 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

FYI the recordings have been downloading into Dropbox for over 15 hours, with several still in the download queue this morning.

On Sunday, August 3rd, 2025 at 11:50 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Corporal Reynolds,
Please see the below Dropbox link which contains numerous recordings from 7/27/25-8/3/25. Please add this evidentiary content to the files in reference to the incidents involving C.C., a juvenile.

Please confirm receipt that you are able to access the below link/download the files.



Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, August 6, 2025 5:41 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; wcowell@bonnersferry.id.gov
Subject: Re: Recordings beginning 7/27/25

Ms. Pluid,
Today I delivered to your office a flashdrive containing 27 recordings.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, August 5th, 2025 at 8:45 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Recording files have successfully downloaded to Dropbox. You should have access to them now. There are several more, though differentiating which ones did not download to Dropbox is going to be tedious to determine. I will pick up a flashdrive today and transfer all files to it, to ensure nothing is missed.

On Monday, August 4th, 2025 at 1:23 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I am running into an issue with the remaining files not being downloaded into Dropbox. The files get stuck in the download queue for a length of time and then show paused. Is there another media platform that I may use to get these recordings to you?

On Monday, August 4th, 2025 at 7:53 AM, Marie Garrett

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

FYI the recordings have been downloading into Dropbox for over 15 hours, with several still in the download queue this morning.

On Sunday, August 3rd, 2025 at 11:50 PM, Marie Garrett

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

Corporal Reynolds,

Please see the below Dropbox link which contains numerous recordings from 7/27/25-8/3/25. Please add this evidentiary content to the files in reference to the incidents involving C.C., a juvenile.

Please confirm receipt that you are able to access the below link/download the files.

[REDACTED]

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Non Party Public Records requests

Hello,

I am requesting clarification of what methodology would be used in application of an Idaho Public Records Act privacy exemption on a non party public records request? What is the manner in which you would determine and apply Idaho Public Records Act exemptions/Privacy laws?

For example, if I alert the Public Records Custodian/County official(s) that known community members are using public records to publicly harass and harm my juvenile child and family; I provide evidence of a concerted effort amongst private citizens to carry out public harassment and identification of juvenile, I show evidence of the perpetrated mission, intrusion of privacy and public dissemination of juvenile information. I report to the Sheriff the evidence of public harassment of my family/juvenile child from the dissemination/use of public records to harass (yet my report is refused to be investigated/acknowledged)....Do you consider this information and consider it when determining if IPRA exemptions apply to future non party public records requests?

The IPRA exemptions I am seeking clarification of when they would be applied:
74-124 (1)(c)(f)

- 74-124. Exemptions from disclosure – Confidentiality. (1) Notwithstanding any statute or rule of court to the contrary, nothing in this chapter nor chapter 10, title 59, Idaho Code, shall be construed to require disclosure of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes by a law enforcement agency, but such exemption from disclosure applies only to the extent that the production of such records would: (a) Interfere with enforcement proceedings; (b) Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication; (c) **Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;** (d) Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source; (e) Disclose investigative techniques and procedures; (f) **Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement**

74-101(17)(a)(i)(ii)

- 74-101 Definitions (17) (a) “Unwarranted invasion of personal privacy” means: (i) Disclosure of information used to identify, locate, or harass a juvenile, a victim of an alleged crime of mass violence or domestic violence, or a victim of physical or sexual abuse; or (ii) Disclosure where release of information is likely to violate legitimate and substantial privacy interests of the person identified when such interests are weighed against general public information.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 2:46 PM
To: Bill Wilson
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: Non Party Public Records requests

I would like to clarify: what parameters does your department use to determine whether the IPRA exemptions are applied? I am not seeking legal advice, I am asking what methodologies are used within the department in applying IPRA exemptions?

On Thursday, August 14th, 2025 at 2:21 PM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Marie,

I'm sorry but we cannot provide you with personalized legal advice. Neither Andrakay nor I represent you, so we have to be careful to avoid doing anything that accidentally creates an attorney-client relationship. If you need help interpreting the statutes in question, you need to seek private legal counsel to assist you.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Non Party Public Records requests

Hello,
I am requesting clarification of what methodology would be used in application of an Idaho Public Records Act privacy exemption on a non party public records request? What is the manner in which you would determine and apply Idaho Public Records Act exemptions/Privacy laws?

For example, if I alert the Public Records Custodian/County official(s) that known community members are using public records to publicly harass and harm my juvenile child and family; I provide evidence of a concerted effort amongst private citizens to carry out public harassment and identification of juvenile, I show evidence of the perpetrated mission, intrusion of privacy and public dissemination of juvenile information. I report to the Sheriff the evidence of public harassment of my family/juvenile child from the dissemination/use of public records to harass (yet my report is refused to be investigated/acknowledged)....Do you consider this information and consider it when determining if IPRA exemptions apply to future non party public records requests?

The IPRA exemptions I am seeking clarification of when they would be applied:
74-124 (1)(c)(f)

- 74-124. Exemptions from disclosure – Confidentiality. (1) Notwithstanding any statute or rule of court to the contrary, nothing in this chapter nor chapter 10, title 59, Idaho Code, shall be construed to require disclosure of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes by a law enforcement agency, but such exemption from disclosure applies only to the extent that the production of such records would: (a) Interfere with enforcement proceedings; (b) Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication; (c) **Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;** (d) Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source; (e) Disclose investigative techniques and procedures; (f) **Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement**

74-101(17)(a)(i)(ii)

- 74-101 Definitions (17) (a) “Unwarranted invasion of personal privacy” means: (i) Disclosure of information used to identify, locate, or harass a juvenile, a victim of an alleged crime of mass violence or domestic violence, or a victim of physical or sexual abuse; or (ii) Disclosure where release of information is likely to violate legitimate and substantial privacy interests of the person identified when such interests are weighed against general public information.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 2:21 PM
To: Marie Garrett; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: Non Party Public Records requests

Marie,

I'm sorry but we cannot provide you with personalized legal advice. Neither Andrakay nor I represent you, so we have to be careful to avoid doing anything that accidentally creates an attorney-client relationship. If you need help interpreting the statutes in question, you need to seek private legal counsel to assist you.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Non Party Public Records requests

Hello,

I am requesting clarification of what methodology would be used in application of an Idaho Public Records Act privacy exemption on a non party public records request? What is the manner in which you would determine and apply Idaho Public Records Act exemptions/Privacy laws?

For example, if I alert the Public Records Custodian/County official(s) that known community members are using public records to publicly harass and harm my juvenile child and family; I provide evidence of a concerted effort amongst private citizens to carry out public harassment and identification of juvenile, I show evidence of the perpetrated mission, intrusion of privacy and public dissemination of juvenile information. I report to the Sheriff the evidence of public harassment of my family/juvenile child from the dissemination/use of public records to harass (yet my report is refused to be investigated/acknowledged)....Do you consider this information and consider it when determining if IPRA exemptions apply to future non party public records requests?

The IPRA exemptions I am seeking clarification of when they would be applied:
74-124 (1)(c)(f)

- 74-124. Exemptions from disclosure – Confidentiality. (1) Notwithstanding any statute or rule of court to the contrary, nothing in this chapter nor chapter 10, title 59, Idaho Code, shall be construed to require disclosure of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes by a law enforcement agency, but such exemption from disclosure applies only to the extent that the production of such records would: (a) Interfere with enforcement proceedings; (b) Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication; (c) **Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;** (d) Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source; (e) Disclose investigative techniques and procedures; (f) **Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement**

74-101(17)(a)(i)(ii)

- 74-101 Definitions (17) (a) "Unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" means: (i) Disclosure of information used to identify, locate, or harass a juvenile, a victim of an alleged crime of mass violence or domestic violence, or a victim of physical or sexual abuse; or (ii) Disclosure where release of information is likely to violate legitimate and substantial privacy interests of the person identified when such interests are weighed against general public information.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2025 12:58 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Harassment of families/juveniles/unwarranted invasion of privacy/safety compromise through use of public records
Attachments: Misha Davis.harassment of juvenile and family.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.5.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.2.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.3.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.4.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.6.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.7.pdf; AN. Cease and Desist 4.16.25.pdf; BCW public post following 7.5.24 incident with juvenile son.harassment.jpeg; BCW Public harassment from 7.5.24 incident iwth juvenile. Youtube video.jpeg; BCW screenshot of public post with public records disseminated of unredacted juvenile names. harassment in personal capacity under guise of First Amended protections.jpeg

To whom it may concern:

I have attached 12 files of screenshots along with active hyperlinks, which evidence the coordinated harassment through the malicious use of public records. First Amendment protections do not apply to private citizens being harassed through the use of disseminated public records. The records are pertaining to private citizens' personal lives, involving our family, and juvenile child. You can see, they attempt to justify their public harassment (using public records) always by intermingling my husband's professional title and his department (BFPD) regarding personal issues with our teenage juvenile son. Their blurring the lines of First Amendment protections and harassment/stalking on a family involving personal matters of a juvenile child is apparent and an unwarranted invasion of privacy. The personal matters for which these public records are used to harass/stalk involve a juvenile and have nothing to do with my husband's professional capacity nor Bonners Ferry Police Department. The inciting of compromised safety of family/children/law enforcement (concerning personal juvenile child matters while off duty) should be noted.

It is important to note it is documented that individuals involved with Adrienne Norris carry a pattern of reporting falsely narrated claims on myself and family, then public request the records, and disseminate said records for "Boundary County Watchman " aka Adrienne Norris to publish online in their harassment/stalking smear campaign of families and juvenile children. Always while intermingling my husband's department and professional title. It is noteworthy that one video published by Boundary County Watchman is YOUTUBE public with my JUVENILE CHILDREN'S NAMES UNREDACTED, AND HAS BEEN VIEWED 1.2K TIMES TO DATE. The tag of the video reads "Bonners Ferry Police Department". Again, personal issues of our family involving our juvenile child are not included in First Amendment protections. The dashcam public records video from 7/5/24 has NOTHING to do with Bonners Ferry Police Department.

There is additional evidence of harassment/stalking of my family that I have not included in this email. Please note, the use of public records to personally harass/stalk publicly always coincides with their public vehement opinions of the Bonners Ferry Police Department and the online activities of this group inciting the jeopardy of Officer Safety (first amendment protected), while intertwining Family Safety (not first amendment protected). I will reiterate: the issue of personal harassment and inciting danger on Law Enforcement Officer family/juvenile children cannot be overshadowed by First Amendment protections that do not apply to my husband/ juvenile children/family in a personal capacity.

It is also noteworthy that over the course of 3+ years, our neighbor has been involved in falsely narrating situations to LE and in turn, supplying her work to Adrienne Norris to publicly disseminate. Never without using my Husband's

professional title of Assistant Chief of Police and Bonners Ferry Police Department intertwined into the personal harassment on myself, juvenile children and family.

The above mentioned harassment/stalking/false reporting issues have been reported to the Boundary County Sheriff's Office.

I am providing you this information so that you can be informed when determining privacy rights/exemptions prior to future release of records to non party requesters. Improper release of records will be subject to legal ramifications to the full extent of the Law, barring claims of good faith and immunity. I appreciate your attention to this important matter.

Direct links to email attachment content:

4/18/25 "Boundary county Watchman" Facebook public post, using public records to harass a family, involving juvenile children. Following my notice of cease and desist as a comment on the post, Boundary County Watchman- Adrienne Norris Insinuated threats of releasing full video of Deputy Wion inside my home (which was released entirely unredacted) and includes names of juvenile children, mental health diagnosis' and protected information.

[Marie Garrett, This will be your last... - Boundary County Watchman | Facebook](#)

4/18/25 YouTube video viewed 1.2k times to date: of public records from 7/5/24 incident, with unredacted juvenile names and another public records incident from 2022, with text messages showing neighbor (affiliated with Adrienne Norris) perpetrated an incident and false reporting was made:

[\(8\) Under Fire for Speaking Out! - YouTube](#)

IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE ACTIVE LINKS, I HAVE ATTACHED 12 SCREENSHOT ATTACHMENTS AS EVIDENCE OF THE ABOVE PUBLIC HARASSMENT/STALKING THROUGH THE USE OF PUBLIC RECORDS.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:49 AM
To: Travis Stolley; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: Event #22732
Attachments: Adrienne Norris provided screenshots to Caleb Watts Feb 2025.pdf; Garrett Video (1).mp4

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached). Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:13 AM
Subject: Event #22732
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Cpl. Reynolds,

Thank you for returning my call. I am requesting an investigation into Event #22732 for False Filing of a Police Report, with an element of conspiracy, harassment/stalking. I would also like to request that my statement be included with the report as an addendum to the 7/29/2022 report.

July 2022: I saw a singular man flying a drone on my property directly behind my shop building, while he was walking and trespassing onto my property. Knowing the property setbacks from my shop, I was certain the man was on my land. The man was shaggy in appearance. I immediately contacted the listing agent, Kris Wickwire of the land bordering North of mine. I inquired if she knew of anyone who was scheduled to be on the land and would be flying a drone. She replied No and that flying a drone would be inappropriate for someone to do without permission due to the boundaries being unmarked. I immediately walked outside and began recording the man from a distance, hollering asking for him to identify himself. He ignored me, not identifying himself, while remaining on my property. I demanded for him to immediately get off my property. I was alone on our secluded land with my children and husband at work. I did not have sight of a vehicle. Within minutes, he quickly left. I was too far away to have sight of a license plate. After the man left - maybe an hour or so- Kris Wickwire called me to say she found out it was a Realtor on the land, but she did not know his name and she was going to advise him that flying a drone over private properties without permission is not appropriate.

4/18/2025: In the continuation of the public harassment and stalking of myself and juvenile children, by "Boundary County Watchman" aka Adrienne Norris and Misha Davis, I viewed a video on the

"Boundary County Watchman" page which Adrienne Norris and Misha Davis shared publicly. The video contained a Boundary County Sheriff's Office incident report with allegations of myself brandishing a weapon on 7/29/2022. I had no knowledge of said 7/29/2022 incident report. I was never contacted regarding the claims. Included in the video posted publicly by Adrienne Norris, were text messages from my other neighbor, Mallorie Evoniuk and unknown person. (Mallorie Evoniuk has been harassing and targeting my family since year 2021). I public records requested the dispatch call/records. In the dispatch call, the caller (Kim Lillegard) alleges that I brandished a gun on an unknown man. She lied in the call, initially stating her realtor was up there on the property for sale, then later backtracked that it was an unknown man on the property (unknown realtor). Despite that I had never met the owner of the land (Kim Lillegard)-she knew my phone number. I suspect she obtained my phone number from Mallorie Evoniuk. Kim Lillegard also stated she would find out from her realtor who the unknown man she claimed I brandished a gun on was and call back to dispatch with the information. There is no record of a call back from her.

Mallorie Evoniuk has been perpetrating false claims and harassment on my family since 2021. The included screenshots show that Mallorie Evoniuk was in contact with involved parties and drumming up allegations of me brandishing a gun. I suspect Mallorie Evoniuk was working in concert with Kim Lillegard's false reporting of a crime on 7/29/2022. Mallorie Evoniuk further provided Adrienne Norris with the perpetrated event in attempt to smear myself and my family including text messages and internet posts that are suspected to be Mallorie Evoniuk's. Mallorie's calculated harassment efforts have been evident since 2021. This is not the first incident of Mallorie Evoniuk perpetrating false claims and using her material to provide to Adrienne Norris to use as public material in her harassment/stalking on my family.

1/31/25: Misha Davis falsely reported a crime of me. Caleb Watts investigated the claim and confirmed The Boundary County Sheriff's Office video camera substantiated the claim of Misha Davis on me was a lie. During the investigation, Adrienne Norris provided Caleb Watts with the referenced screenshots from my neighbor, Mallorie Evoniuk. It is evident Mallorie was directly involved in perpetrating the false claim of me brandishing a gun on 7/29/2022.

I am providing you with the below material:

7/29/2022 dispatch phonecall of Kim Lillegard

Screenshots sent to Caleb Watts by Adrienne Norris in February 2025

Screen recorded video from Adrienne Norris' "Boundary County Watchman" post from 4/18/25 (will send in a separate email due to size constraint)

If I can locate my phone from year 2022, I will provide text messages between myself and listing agent Kris Wickwire telling me she did not know of anyone scheduled to be on the land or would be flying a drone; the video I recorded of the man on my property flying a drone while not identifying himself.

Thank you for your time,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached
Attachments: Screen_Recording_20250801_013200_Facebook.mp4

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached). Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM
Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:18 PM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: Bill Wilson; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

Emily,

I am looping legal counsel in my response, since they are now aware of my concerns and IPRA violations. I am concerned with records being released willy nilly to a known public harasser, without consideration of applicable IPRA exemption laws. You are negligently releasing unredacted records, in violation of IPRA. This has now occurred multiple times. This is no different to the very same negligence of which my juvenile children/family have experienced by the Boundary County Sheriff's Office.

The Boundary County Sheriff's Office should be balancing transparency with privacy laws in place. Sheriff Stolley has continually stated he is focused on protecting children. Your agency is not protecting children when you do not apply IPRA laws to public records requests from a known non party citizen who is harvesting records to publicly stalk and harass children and individuals through the use of obtained public records.

The rates of teen suicides have increased dramatically in recent years, with cyber bullying being a common causation. Your agency is assisting a documented known harasser in the mission to publicly harass and stalk juveniles and their families through cyber bullying.

Please see my reply (in blue) to your red reply:

Can you advise what guidelines you are using to not redact a juvenile reporting party name? **I did not release the reporting party name**

You did, in fact release UNREDACTED JUVENILE NAME to me and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman". Said unredacted juvenile name is not exempt from redaction. You have now released the same juvenile name to myself two different times, on two different dates. Please see the inserted screenshot to substantiate this. I

(Screenshot inserted below)

© 2006 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd

On 07-15-15, at about 1800 hours, I responded to an unlicensed study credit that resulted in an arrest 11 Oct. (Native #21884).

January 1993 Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 1-10. <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/bsc>

Keywords

From: Boundary County Watchman <
Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2025 2:44 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: PRR

5



I am specifically inquiring about the application of Idaho code 74-124(1)(c). Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " is a documented public harasser of juvenile children. Why is Idaho Code 74-124(1)(c) not being applied to records requests? **DUI is a status offence. Meaning, he was charged as an adult not a juvenile so the case is open to the public and redaction is not an entitlement with this offence.**

I am not referring to a redaction of records, I am referring to you not applying Idaho Code 74-124 (1)(c) exemption to the release of records. It has been documented multiple times with your agency that Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" is obtaining records through an unwarranted invasion of privacy to publicly harass individuals.

TITLE 74
TRANSPARENT AND ETHICAL
GOVERNMENT

CHAPTER 1
PUBLIC RECORDS ACT

74-124. EXEMPTION
DISCLOSURE
CONFIDENTIALITY.

Notwithstanding any statute or
rule of court to the contrary,
nothing in this chapter or
chapter 10, title 50
Code, shall be construed to
require disclosure of
investigatory records
for law enforcement purposes
a law enforcement agency
such exemption from disclosure

including those
legislative or judicial
except the state mil
the Idaho state h
society library and arc

(17)(a) "Unv

invasion of personal
means:

(i) Disclos
information use
identify, locate, c
a juvenile, a vict
alleged crime c
violence or
violence, or a v
physical or sexual
or

(ii) Disclosur
release of inform
likely to

What exactly does your statement mean?: "Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you." **It means I made a mistake. The name was given to me by the requestor and I missed redacting it in the requestor's email that was sent to you**

Are you referring to your unredaction of juvenile G■■■■ P■■■■'s name in the content you emailed me yesterday?
On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 12:44 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

After speaking with agency counsel, please see below

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product

doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 11:39 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Can you advise what guidelines you are using to not redact a juvenile reporting party name? **I did not release the reporting party name**

I am specifically inquiring about the application of Idaho code 74-124(1)(c). Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " is a documented public harasser of juvenile children. Why is Idaho Code 74-124(1)(c) not being applied to records requests? **DUI is a status offence. Meaning, he was charged as an adult not a juvenile so the case is open to the public and redaction is not an entitlement with this offence.**

What exactly does your statement mean?: "Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you." **It means I made a mistake. The name was given to me by the requestor and I missed redacting it in the requestor's email that was sent to you**

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 11:22 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

One juvenile name was left unredacted and was charged as an adult. Other juvenile names were redacted per code.

Idaho Code 74-124 applied to what you received today, with the exception of the one charged as an adult. Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 11:03 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Can you advise why juvenile names are not being redacted from the records you provide to non party requesters? Subjects/reporting party are juveniles

under the age of 18. The criminally charged subject is a juvenile under age 18. Said juvenile was charged with a Misdemeanor citation.

Additionally, why is 74-124(1)(c) exemption not being applied to juvenile record requests submitted by non party requesters? The non party requester in this case is a documented known public harasser of juvenile children.

Additionally, since page 6 of 8 is missing from the 10/19/25 email thread you provided me on 11/4/25- it was unclear that Adrienne Norris' aka "Boundary county watchman" submitted this request 10/19/25.

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 10:23 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

11/05/25

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: any and all records that were provided to Adrienne Norris' aka "Boundary county watchman" email request on 10/19/25 and 10/28/25, pertaining to case 2025-00433.

The communication on 10/28/25 was not a request it was an email communication stated she disagreed that we had reached the 2 hours time and she would have to pay for the additional records (ie redaction of video).

The photo you have of this incident came from Boundary County Watchman, it was not provided to her from the Sheriff's Office

Attached are the records we have located regarding your request. Please note that under Idaho Code 74-104 (1), personal information has/have been redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett
<mariegarrett@protonmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday,
November 5, 2025 9:54
AM
To: Emily Carey
<ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a request for
any and all records
that were provided to
Adrienne Norris' aka"

Boundary county
watchman" email
request on 10/19/25
and
10/28/25, pertaining to
case 2025-00433.

Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID
83805

Ph.: [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:22 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; Greg Reynolds
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside to statue of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached). Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>

Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM

Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached

To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 3:19 PM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: Re: FW: PRR

Thank you for the clarification of showing that you did not send unredacted reporting party name in response to the 10/19/25 and 10/28/25 request of Adrienne Norris. It is still an issue to be addressed that I received the reporting party juvenile name unredacted.

Can you please address the other issues as noted in my blue lettered reply in previous email?
On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 3:00 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

This is a forwarded email of what was sent to the original requestor

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

10/28/25

Boundary County Watchman

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Boundary County Watchman,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: Documents for case 2025-00433

Attached are the records we have located regarding your request. Please note that under Idaho Code 74-104 (1), personal information has/have been redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

***Please be advised should you choose to go no further there will be no charge for this information as I was able to send it via email. Should you decide to pursue this matter the charges will need to be paid in advance per my last email to you in order for work to continue. ***

Thank you,

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Boundary County Watchman
Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2025 1:09 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: Re: PRR

Please provide what I am entitled to for free per I.C. 74. Then I will decide if I want to continue. I understand I will still need to pay \$5.00 for the thumbdrive. Thank you for your time.

Adrienne Norris

*Facebook:Boundary County Watchman
*Website:www.BoundaryCountyWatchman.com
*Youtube:<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCPaCDffOTalroAFVRA9BT-g>

Disclaimer:
Private citizen.

Not endorsed/authorized/sponsored by any entity or government agency. Working with our local government to ensure transparency according to Idaho Statute 74. -Jeremiah 6:17

On Tue, Oct 28, 2025, 12:56 PM Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

10/28/25

Boundary County Watchman

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Boundary County Watchman,

We are in receipt of your public records requests in which you request the following: Incident report, supplemental reports and body cam footage. Per Idaho Code § 74-102, we are notifying you that we have exceeded two (2) hours on your request. Further work on your request will take approximately four (4) hours to complete and will require a prepayment fee. Our fee to continue with your request is \$21.93 per hour times 4 hours plus a \$5.00 fee for the cost of the flash drive for the data to go on once completed.

We accept cash, money order or check. Please make check out to: Boundary County. Please deliver your prepayment to: [Boundary County 6438 Kootenai St.](#) or mail to P.O. Box 127 Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

Per Idaho Code §74-103, your request has been reviewed by our attorneys. Should you feel aggrieved by this decision, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

[6438 Kootenai St.](#)

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Boundary County Watchman
Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2025 2:44 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: PRR

|



May I have the case records (including body cam) that is associated with this scene. I was advised it happened summer 2025 around Katka Road. I am being advised that the officer next to the car door is Chief Of Police Willie Cowell, the incident was due to DUI and the driver was G [REDACTED] P [REDACTED] and the boy at the right is her boyfriend who took the blame.

I am assuming this is a county case since the location was in the county. But for some reason a city Chief of Police is the responding officer.

Due to conflict of interest, if you need to seek legal advise please conflict this public records request to an outside agency.

Adrienne Norris

*Facebook:Boundary County Watchman

*Website:www.BoundaryCountyWatchman.com

*Youtube:<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCPaCDffOTalroAFVRA9BT-g>

Disclaimer:
Private citizen.

Not endorsed/authorized/sponsored by any entity or government agency. Working with our local government to ensure transparency according to Idaho Statute 74. -Jeremiah 6:17

From: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2025 1:19 PM

To: 'Boundary County Watchman'

Subject: RE: PRR

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 4:07 PM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: PRR

Interesting. QUESTION: Did anyone from your agency or Agent of Boundary county request a destruction of records to the recipient requester(s) when it was learned that my juvenile child's records were released by your agency to non party requester(s) completely unredacted? That of which contained full juvenile names, medical diagnosis' and other privacy protected information of a Juvenile.

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 2:56 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

I have found what you are talking about, however that was not what was released to the other requestor you refer to. I printed twice instead of going into my sent file and printing from there. Please destroy that document and I will send you the one directly from my response to that individual.

Thank you

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnere Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:18 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Emily,

I am looping legal counsel in my response, since they are now aware of my concerns and IPRA violations. I am concerned with records being released willy nilly to a known public harasser, without consideration of applicable IPRA exemption laws. You are negligently releasing unredacted records, in violation of IPRA. This has now occurred multiple times. This is no different to the very same negligence of which my juvenile children/family have experienced by the Boundary County Sheriff's Office.

The Boundary County Sheriff's Office should be balancing transparency with privacy laws in place. Sheriff Stolley has continually stated he is focused on protecting children. Your agency is not protecting children when you do not apply IPRA laws to public records requests from a known non party citizen who is harvesting records to publicly stalk and harass children and individuals through the use of obtained public records.

The rates of teen suicides have increased dramatically in recent years, with cyber bullying being a common causation. Your agency is assisting a documented known harasser in the mission to publicly harass and stalk juveniles and their families through cyber bullying.

Can you advise what guidelines you are using to not redact a juvenile reporting party name? I did not release the reporting party name

[illegible]

103

From: Boundary County Watchman <
Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2025 2:44 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: PRR

5



I am specifically inquiring about the application of Idaho code 74-124(1)(c). Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " is a documented public harasser of juvenile children. Why is Idaho Code 74-124(1)(c) not being applied to records requests? **DUI is a status offence. Meaning, he was charged as an adult not a juvenile so the case is open to the public and redaction is not an entitlement with this offence.**

I am not referring to a redaction of records, I am referring to you not applying Idaho Code 74-124 (1)(c) exemption to the release of records. It has been documented multiple times with your agency that Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" is obtaining records through an unwarranted invasion of privacy to publicly harass individuals.

TITLE 7.
TRANSPARENT AND
GOVERNMENT

CHAPTER
PUBLIC RECORDS

74-124. EXEMPTION
DISCLOSURE
CONFIDENTIALITY.

Notwithstanding any
rule of court to the
contrary, nothing in this
chapter 10, title
Code, shall be construed
to require disclosure of
investigatory records
for law enforcement
a law enforcement
such exemption from

including those
legislative or judi
except the state
the Idaho state
society library and

(17)(a)

invasion of perso
means:

(i) Dis
information
identify, locat
a juvenile, a
alleged crime
violence or
violence, or
physical or se
or

(ii) Disclo
release of int
likely to

What exactly does your statement mean?: "Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you." **It means I made a mistake. The name was given to me by the requestor and I missed redacting it in the requestor's email that was sent to you**

Are you referring to your unredaction of juvenile G [REDACTED] name in the content you emailed me yesterday?

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 12:44 PM, Emily Carey
<ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

After speaking with agency counsel, please see below

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic

Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 11:39 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Can you advise what guidelines you are using to not redact a juvenile reporting party name? I did not release the reporting party name

I am specifically inquiring about the application of Idaho code 74-124(1)(c). Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " is a documented public harasser of juvenile children. Why is Idaho Code 74-124(1)(c) not being applied to records requests? DUI is a status offence. Meaning, he was charged as an adult not a juvenile so the case is open to the public and redaction is not an entitlement with this offence.

What exactly does your statement mean?: "Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you." It means I made a mistake. The name was given to me by the requestor and I missed redacting it in the requestor's email that was sent to you

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 11:22 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

One juvenile name was left unredacted and was charged as an adult. Other juvenile names were redacted per code.

Idaho Code 74-124 applied to what you received today, with the exception of the one charged as an adult. Yesterday,

admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or

protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett
<mariegarrett@protonmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday,
November 5, 2025
11:03 AM
To: Emily Carey
<ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Can you advise why juvenile names are not being redacted from the records you provide to non party requesters? Subjects/reporting party are juveniles under the age of 18. The criminally charged subject is a juvenile under age 18. Said juvenile was charged with a Misdemeanor citation.

Additionally, why is 74-124(1)(c) exemption not being applied to juvenile record requests submitted by non party requesters? The non party requester in this case is a documented known public harasser of juvenile children.

Additionally, since
page 6 of 8 is missing
from the 10/19/25
email thread you
provided me on
11/4/25- it was unclear
that Adrienne Norris'
aka "Boundary county
watchman" submitted
this request 10/19/25.

On Wednesday,
November 5th, 2025 at
10:23 AM, Emily Carey
<ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

11/05/2
5

Marie
Garrett

RE:
Public
Records
Request

Dear
Marie
Garrett,

We are
in
receipt
of your
public
records
request
for: any
and all

records
that
were
provide
d to
Adrien
ne
Norris'
aka"
Bound
ary
county
watch
man"
email
request
on
10/19/2
5 and
10/28/2
5, pert
aining
to case
2025-
00433.

The
commu
nicatio
n on
10/28/2
5 was
not a
request
it was
an
email
commu
nicatio
n
stated
she
disagre
ed that
we had
reache
d the 2
hours
time
and
she
would
have to
pay for
the
additio

nal
records
(ie
redacti
on of
video).

The
photo
you
have of
this
inciden
t came
from
Bound
ary
County
Watch
man, it
was
not
provide
d to her
from
the
Sheriff'
s Office

Attache
d are
the
records
we have
located
regardin
g your
request.
Please
note
that
under
Idaho
Code
74-104
(1),
persona
l
informa
tion
has/hav
e been

redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103,

your
request
was
forward
ed to
our
agency
civil
counsel
for
review.

*Emily
L.
Carey*

*Record
's
Custodi
an/Civi
l
Deputy*

*Bounda
ry
County
Sheriff
's
office*

*6438
Kooten
ai St.*

*P. O.
Box
127*

*Bonniers
Ferry,
Idaho
83805*

(208)2
67-
3151
ext 202

ccarey
@boun
daryshe
riff.org



CONFIDENTIAL
ALTHOUGH
NOTICE
E: This
e-mail is
intended
only for
the
personal
and
confidenti
al use of
the
individual
(s)
named as
recipients
(or the
employee
or agent
responsibl
e to
deliver it

to the
intended
recipient)
and is
covered by
the
Electronic
Communi-
cations
Privacy
Act, 18
U.S.C.
§§
2510-
2521. It
may
contain
informatio-
n that is
privileged
,
confidenti-
al and/or
protected
from
disclosure
under
applicable
law
including,
but not
limited
to, the
attorney
client
privilege
and/or
work
product
doctrine.
If you
are not
the
intended
recipient
of this
transmissi-
on, please
notify the
sender
immediate-
ly by

telephone.
Do not
deliver,
distribute
or copy
this
transmission,
disclose
its
contents
or take
any
action in
reliance
on the
information it
contains

F
r
o
m
:
M
a
r
i
e
G
a
r
r
e
t
t

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

>
S
e
n
t
:
W
e
d
n
e
s
d
a
y
,
N
o
v
e
m
b
e
r
5
,
2
0
2
5
9
:
5
4
A
M
T

o
:
E
m
i
l
y
C
a
r
e
y
<
[e](#)
[c](#)
[a](#)
[r](#)
[e](#)
[y](#)
[@](#)
[b](#)
[o](#)
[u](#)
[n](#)
[d](#)
[a](#)
[r](#)
[y](#)
[s](#)
[h](#)
[e](#)
[r](#)
[i](#)
[f](#)
[f](#)
:
[o](#)
[r](#)
[g](#)
>
S
u
b
j
e
c
t
:
P
R
R

T
h
i
s
i
s
a
r
e
q
u
e
s
t
f
o
r
a
n
y
a
n
d
a
l
l
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
t
h
a
t
w
e
r
e
p
r
o
v
i
d
e
d
t
o
A
d
r
i
e

n
n
e
N
o
r
r
i
s
,
a
k
a
"
B
o
u
n
d
a
r
y
c
o
u
n
t
y
w
a
t
c
h
m
a
n
"
e
m
a
i
l
r
e
q
u
e
s
t
o
n
1
0
/
1
9
/
2

5
a
n
d
1
0
/
2
8
/
2
5
,
p
e
r
t
a
i
n
i
n
g
t
o
c
a
s
e
2
0
2
2
5
-
0
0
4
3
3
.

M
a
r
i
e
G
a
r
r
e
t
t

[REDACTED]

B
o
n
n
e
r
s
F
e
r
r
y
,
I
D
8
3
8
0
5

P
h
:
:

[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 9:24 AM
To: Travis Stolley
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you. I will look forward to receiving the report number.

I understand the 1 year statute of limitation applies.

The complete information I have provided to Boundary County Sheriff's Office does need to be documented as an addendum for the record. I was never contacted regarding this false claim. This false report has been hanging out there for the Adrienne Norris clan to use as a form of public harassment on me and my family, and adversely affects me. Also, it does need to be noted in the addendum that the complainant lied in the call to dispatch, initially stating her realtor was up there on the property for sale, then later in the call stated that it was an unknown man on the property. It also needs to be noted of Mallorie Evoiniuk and Adrienne Norris' involvement in this as well.

I realize you were not the sheriff in 2022, but I sincerely hope that you realize the necessity of your staff to proficiently do their jobs. This event underscores the importance of following through with making contact with a person who is being accused. This report is the second time I am aware of that I have been falsely accused to law enforcement by people in close association to Adrienne Norris. The adverse affects of false law enforcement reports on me in the future for security clearances, employment opportunities, etc is a valid concern.

Luckily, the 1/31/25 false filing of a Police Report by Misha Davis event that happened to me earlier this year was caught on Sheriff's office camera surveillance to prove Misha and Adrienne's lie. There is an agenda of these people involved. I sincerely hope your Sheriff's office will proficiently handle these situations moving forward.

Can you please explain why this matter has not been addressed until I sent a follow up email to you-the Sheriff, over three months subsequent to when the email/contact was made to Cpl. Reynolds (8/1/25)? I request to be advised on how this situation will also be addressed.

Marie Garrett

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 2:21 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside to statue of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter,

nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>

Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM

Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached

To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 9:52 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Marie,

Thank you for the understanding. Talking with Sgt. Reynolds I believe he took into consideration the victim never followed up and the lack of a report being completed in 2022. I am in no way trying to minimize the lack of follow up, but it is my understanding his lack of follow up was due to him thinking it was a non-issue because the victim didn't follow through with a full report. I understand your concerns about making sure both sides of the story are documented and that is what we have instructed our deputies to do going forward.

I appreciate you bringing this to my attention and I will work even more diligently to ensure better communication from our deputies to those we are serving in the future. If you encounter this type of situation again, please reach out. I am taking steps to create better accountability and follow through by implementing clear checks and balances up and down my chain of command. These processes ultimately fall on me to implement. There have been clear expectations set and I will follow up to make sure those are being met.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 9:24 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you. I will look forward to receiving the report number.

I understand the 1 year statute of limitation applies.

The complete information I have provided to Boundary County Sheriff's Office does need to be documented as an addendum for the record. I was never contacted regarding this false claim. This false report has been hanging out there for the Adrienne Norris clan to use as a form of public harassment on me and my family, and adversely affects me. Also, it does need to be noted in the addendum that the complainant lied in the call to dispatch, initially stating her realtor was up there on the property for sale, then later in the call stated that it was an unknown man on the property. It also needs to be noted of Mallorie Evoiniuk and Adrienne Norris' involvement in this as well.

I realize you were not the sheriff in 2022, but I sincerely hope that you realize the necessity of your staff to proficiently do their jobs. This event underscores the importance of following through with making contact with a person who is being accused. This report is the second time I am aware of that I have been falsely accused to law enforcement by people in close association to Adrienne Norris. The adverse affects of false law enforcement reports on me in the future for security clearances, employment opportunities, etc is a valid concern.

Luckily, the 1/31/25 false filing of a Police Report by Misha Davis event that happened to me earlier this year was caught on Sheriff's office camera surveillance to prove Misha and Adrienne's lie. There is an agenda of these people involved. I sincerely hope your Sheriff's office will proficiently handle these situations moving forward.

Can you please explain why this matter has not been addressed until I sent a follow up email to you-the Sheriff, over three months subsequent to when the email/contact was made to Cpl. Reynolds (8/1/25)? I request to be advised on how this situation will also be addressed.

Marie Garrett

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 2:21 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, Eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside to statute of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM
Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 6, 2025 8:59 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Return of unredacted privacy protected records

Hello Bill and Andrakay:

I am inquiring on status of the return of my children's unredacted records, containing privacy protected information, which your office was attempting to retrieve from Mallorie Evoniuk and Adrienne Norris in September 2025. Please let me know if I need to submit a formal public records request form to obtain this information:

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the privacy protected records to your office? Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she shared the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris AKA "Boundary County Watchman" turn over the privacy protected records to your office?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she shared the privacy protected records with and in what format (eg: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris AKA "Boundary County Watchman" at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 9:46 AM
To: Travis Stolley; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Confidential- for intended recipient only

Dear Sheriff Stolley and Prosecutor AndraKay Pluid:

I am demanding an immediate criminal investigation into Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk, Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Misha Davis aka Jamisha Nevarez/Michelle Naumann, et al. and any others that were discovered by County Officials to be in possession/dissemination of my children's unredacted privacy protected records.

I am requesting this investigation to be conflicted to a Third party agency. I am also requesting that a Federal agency be involved, due to the crimes involving protected privacy breaches and shared confidential content to the public internet. I am also requesting this matter to remain confidential with only Boundary County employees/agents privy, unless there is a direct need for involvement in this matter otherwise.

Please inform me if I need to use a different method in reporting this crime. Otherwise, I will assume that reporting the issue to you directly will suffice.

Explicitly named above individuals were involved in some capacity of privacy protected records used to ultimately commit the crimes of publicly harass and stalk my juvenile children and family. There is a component of Civil Right privacy protection law violations. All individuals noted were involved in some capacity of willfully engaging in public harassment/stalking through the malicious use of confidential information, protected by privacy laws.

As an Idaho state Attorney professional, Thomas Bushnell should had reasonably known he was in possession of privacy protected confidential juvenile records. Furthermore, Thomas Bushnell willfully shared privacy protected unredacted records with a citizen, Mallorie Evoniuk (not represented by Bushnell in the matter).

Mallorie Evoniuk was in possession of and willfully shared the privacy protected records with Malicious intent to Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" may be classified as a "media source/reporter/journalist" for the public. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is the owner of multiple media sites with thousands of viewers/followers. Essentially, Mallorie Evoniuk willfully shared confidential information to what can be considered a "media outlet". It is important to note that Mallorie Evoniuk holds previous professional experience as a Paralegal. She should had reasonably known that possession of juvenile confidential privacy protected information was a privacy breach protected by law. Mallorie Evoniuk has also had multi experience with personal/professional legal lawsuits, subpoenaed records, etc which would further give her the knowledge that being in possession of a privacy breach and disseminating said content with the willful intent to publicly humiliate and harass is a crime. Ms. Evoniuk should be reasonably aware of privacy protected information laws considering her background and experience.

Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman was contacted by attorney Bill Wilson on 9/26/25. In an email, she was asked to return privacy protected records over to Boundary County officials. In her reply to Attorney Bill Wilson on 9/28/25, she failed to provide the requested information of who she has disseminated the sensitive records to and did not agree to turn over said records. Adrienne Norris is an acting reporter/journalist with multiple "media outlets" which she operates. She has issued hundreds of public records requests to different agencies. Adrienne Norris should had reasonably known she obtained privacy protected material. On her Boundary County Watchman page, she has had a history of not disclosing juvenile names and providing unredacted information to the public in other instances. For my child/family, she willfully and maliciously shared my juvenile children's unredacted content under the guise of "government transparency". In the content publicly shared, there is no validation of publicly mingling my husband/children's names and personal matter as a government transparency and further sharing it to her media pages. Adrienne Norris has willfully and maliciously engaged in public harassment/stalking activities, with the use of privacy protected records. On 4/16/25, I issued Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman a notice

of Cease and Desist. I also publicly issued a Notice of Cease and Desist on the Boundary County Watchman shared privacy protected content (multiple times). Boundary county watchman replied in an extortionist manner, essentially stating that I am banned and silenced from her public page, and I better be glad she did not release the full content of my son's records (privacy protected and containing mental health/medical diagnosis information and other privacy protected content).

To date (11/7/25) the content is indeed publicly shared on Adrienne's owned media outlets. The YouTube video has been viewed over 1.2k times. The content had been shared multiple times on social media outlets. Boundary County Watchman Facebook page has over 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of viewers. It is unknown to the extent of other social media outlets the privacy protected content has been shared, as I do not subscribe to all.

Misha Davis (et al. Aliases) had also publicly shared the privacy protected content to her social media outlets AriseZionDaughter and Savage Grace.

I would appreciate your swift action into this matter. My family and children are continuing to be deeply adversely affected by this in all facets of our lives. I look forward to speaking with investigative authorities regarding this matter.

Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:13 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Public Records Request
Attachments: Boundary County Public Request 11.7.25.pdf

Please see the attached Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications from 4/1/25 to present (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorneys Bill Wilson and AndraKay Pluid and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons regarding possession of public records, and/or or harassment of juveniles and citizens, and/or any other matter concerning public records, dissemination of records, and/or all activities requiring attention from Public Officials.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:31 AM
To: Marie Garrett; Andrakay Pluid; 'Travis Stolley'
Subject: Re: Public Records Request

Marie,

Instead of making a records request, I can just tell you what I've done on this. After we realized that the video in question contained medical information about your son, I tried to track down how it first went out. Turns out it was produced to Bushnell Law, so I assumed that firm represented Ms. Evoniuk on a related matter. However, they just picked it up for her as a courtesy and had never viewed or copied the video in question.

Next, I emailed Mallorie and asked her to voluntarily give the records back and confirm if she had copied or shared them with anyone else. She was happy to turn them back in, but she admitted she had given a copy to our friend Ms. Norris. I was satisfied that Mallorie was being honest with me.

Thereafter, I emailed Ms. Norris with the same request. She responded with a long list of complaints against Andrakay and demanded that the matter be conflicted to another county. At that time, Sheriff Stolley suggested that he might have more luck talking to her, so I handed it off to him. I believe that she eventually confirmed to him, in writing, that she had not published the unredacted video and agreed to destroy it, but since I don't have first-hand knowledge of that part of it, I have copied him on this message so that he can contribute as needed.

I hope you understand that we are just trying to do the right thing here, but we're dealing with some very difficult personalities, so we're doing the best we can.

Thanks,

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:12 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications from 4/1/25 to present (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorneys Bill Wilson and AndraKay Pluid and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons regarding possession of public records, and/or or

harassment of juveniles and citizens, and/or any other matter concerning public records, dissemination of records, and/or all activities requiring attention from Public Officials.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 11:09 AM
To: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Marie Garrett'; 'Travis Stolley'
Subject: RE: Public Records Request
Attachments: Binder1_Redacted.pdf

Marie,

Attached are the records responsive to your request within my office.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:31 AM
To: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: Re: Public Records Request

Marie,

Instead of making a records request, I can just tell you what I've done on this. After we realized that the video in question contained medical information about your son, I tried to track down how it first went out. Turns out it was produced to Bushnell Law, so I assumed that firm represented Ms. Evoniuk on a related matter. However, they just picked it up for her as a courtesy and had never viewed or copied the video in question.

Next, I emailed Mallorie and asked her to voluntarily give the records back and confirm if she had copied or shared them with anyone else. She was happy to turn them back in, but she admitted she had given a copy to our friend Ms. Norris. I was satisfied that Mallorie was being honest with me.

Thereafter, I emailed Ms. Norris with the same request. She responded with a long list of complaints against Andrakay and demanded that the matter be conflicted to another county. At that time, Sheriff Stolley suggested that he might have more luck talking to her, so I handed it off to him. I believe that she eventually confirmed to him, in writing, that she had not published the unredacted video and agreed to destroy it, but

since I don't have first-hand knowledge of that part of it, I have copied him on this message so that he can contribute as needed.

I hope you understand that we are just trying to do the right thing here, but we're dealing with some very difficult personalities, so we're doing the best we can.

Thanks,

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:12 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications from 4/1/25 to present (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorneys Bill Wilson and AndraKay Pluid and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons regarding possession of public records, and/or or harassment of juveniles and citizens, and/or any other matter concerning public records, dissemination of records, and/or all activities requiring attention from Public Officials.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 2:10 PM
To: Travis Stolley; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: Confidential- for intended recipient only

This is an addendum to my demand for investigation which I emailed at 9:46 a.m. this morning:

Per Adrienne Norris' aka Boundary County Watchman's email to Sheriff Stolley on 10/3/25, she referenced an understanding of retaining certain privacy protected unredacted juvenile records per a phone conversation with sheriff Stolley on 9/29/25. Adrienne's email states:

Per our verbal agreement on September 29, 2025, I will retain:...The Mother's statement about her younger son riding his bike on the public dirt road."

Texas lane is NOT a public dirt road. It is indeed a private road, and serves no public interest.

After reviewing the received correspondence between Mallorie Evoniuk and Detective Lehman of ISP, by Mallorie's own admission, she acknowledged in her 8/7/25 email to Leslie Lehman that she had knowledge that she was in possession of and disseminating privacy protected records of a Juvenile. Mallorie's email states:

"When I provided the full video to Adrienne in July 2024, I explicitly told her not to share it with anyone. I also recall telling her that "everything before 55 minutes is none of our business because it involves a minor." Right or wrong, I relied on Adrienne to respect the boundaries I had communicated."

Thomas Bushnell claims in a 9/23/25 email to Bill Wilson that his firm had no involvement in any legal matters involving Mallorie Evoniuk other than a conservator/guardianship. However, I was served a subpoena (which was later quashed), dated 8/12/24 which reveals the involvement of Bushnell Law. See below:

Matthew Z. Crotty
ISB 8653
905 W. Riverside Ave. Ste. 208
Spokane, WA 99201
Phone: 509 850 7011
Email: mzc@mrwlj.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF

EVERGREEN HORIZON, ET. AL.

Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,

vs.

ROLINA SCHNUEERLE,

Defendant/Counterclaimant.

Case No. CV22-24-00
SUBPOENA

The State of Idaho to:

Marie Garrett


YOU ARE COMMANDED:

☐ to appear in the Court at the place, date and time specified below to testify in the above case.

☐ to appear at the place, date and time specified below to testify at the trial in the above case.

☒ to produce or permit inspection and copying of the following documents:

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 9:46 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Sheriff Stolley and Prosecutor AndraKay Pluid:

I am demanding an immediate criminal investigation into Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk, Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Misha Davis aka Jamisha Nevarez/Michelle Naumann, et al. and any others that were discovered by County Officials to be in possession/dissemination of my children's unredacted privacy protected records.

I am requesting this investigation to be conflicted to a Third party agency. I am also requesting that a Federal agency be involved, due to the crimes involving protected privacy breaches and shared confidential content to the public internet. I am also requesting this matter to remain confidential with only Boundary County employees/agents privy, unless there is a direct need for involvement in this matter otherwise.

Please inform me if I need to use a different method in reporting this crime. Otherwise, I will assume that reporting the issue to you directly will suffice.

Explicitly named above individuals were involved in some capacity of privacy protected records used to ultimately commit the crimes of publicly harass and stalk my juvenile children and family. There is a component of Civil Right privacy protection law violations. All individuals noted were involved in some capacity of willfully engaging in public harassment/stalking through the malicious use of confidential information, protected by privacy laws.

As an Idaho state Attorney professional, Thomas Bushnell should had reasonably known he was in possession of privacy protected confidential juvenile records. Furthermore, Thomas Bushnell willfully shared privacy protected unredacted records with a citizen, Mallorie Evoniuk (not represented by Bushnell in the matter).

Mallorie Evoniuk was in possession of and willfully shared the privacy protected records with Malicious intent to Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" may be classified as a "media source/reporter/journalist" for the public. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is the owner of multiple media sites with thousands of viewers/followers. Essentially, Mallorie Evoniuk willfully shared confidential information to what can be considered a "media outlet". It is important to note that Mallorie Evoniuk holds previous professional experience as a Paralegal. She should had reasonably known that possession of juvenile confidential privacy protected information was a privacy breach protected by law. Mallorie Evoniuk has also had multi experience with personal/professional legal lawsuits, subpoenaed records, etc which would further give her the knowledge that being in possession of a privacy breach and disseminating said content with the willful intent to publicly humiliate and harass is a crime. Ms. Evoniuk should be reasonably aware of privacy protected information laws considering her background and experience.

Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman was contacted by attorney Bill Wilson on 9/26/25. In an email, she was asked to return privacy protected records over to Boundary County officials. In her reply to Attorney Bill Wilson on 9/28/25, she failed to provide the requested information of who she has disseminated the sensitive records to and did not agree to turn over said records. Adrienne Norris is an acting reporter/journalist with multiple "media outlets" which she operates. She has issued hundreds of public records requests to different agencies. Adrienne Norris should had reasonably known she obtained privacy protected material. On her Boundary County Watchman page, she has had a history of not disclosing juvenile names and providing unredacted information to the public in other instances. For my child/family, she willfully and maliciously shared my juvenile children's unredacted content under the guise of "government transparency". In the content publicly shared, there is no validation of publicly mingling my husband/children's names and personal matter

as a government transparency and further sharing it to her media pages. Adrienne Norris has willfully and maliciously engaged in public harassment/stalking activities, with the use of privacy protected records. On 4/16/25, I issued Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman a notice of Cease and Desist. I also publicly issued a Notice of Cease and Desist on the Boundary County Watchman shared privacy protected content (multiple times). Boundary county watchman replied in an extortionist manner, essentially stating that I am banned and silenced from her public page, and I better be glad she did not release the full content of my son's records (privacy protected and containing mental health/medical diagnosis information and other privacy protected content). To date (11/7/25) the content is indeed publicly shared on Adrienne's owned media outlets. The YouTube video has been viewed over 1.2k times. The content had been shared multiple times on social media outlets. Boundary County Watchman Facebook page has over 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of viewers. It is unknown to the extent of other social media outlets the privacy protected content has been shared, as I do not subscribe to all.

Misha Davis (et al. Aliases) had also publicly shared the privacy protected content to her social media outlets AriseZionDaughter and Savage Grace.

I would appreciate your swift action into this matter. My family and children are continuing to be deeply adversely affected by this in all facets of our lives. I look forward to speaking with investigative authorities regarding this matter.

Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 4:41 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Public records request
Attachments: Boundary County Public Request 11.7.25. (2).pdf

Please see the attached public records request for:

Any and all communications between any agent of Boundary County for the date range of 9/1/25 to present, pertaining to the issue of unredacted privacy protected records released via public records requests.

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 4:53 PM
To: Bill Wilson
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; 'Travis Stolley'
Subject: Re: Public Records Request

Bill,

I understand. This was the basis of my contacts with many different Boundary county officials over the past 7 months. As result of this situation, my family and children are deeply affected by the activities of the known individuals. We all continue to be adversely affected. The individuals involved are not honest. They have all engaged in disseminating protected privacy information of a juvenile. Mallorie Evoniuk is our neighbor, who has been longstanding engaging in harassment/false reporting activities on my family alongside Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman and Misha Davis aka many other aliases. There is a lot of history behind these individuals' activities.

FYI- to date, as of 11/7/25, my children's unredacted records are publicly disseminated to the internet by Adrienne Norris and her media outlets. One source that I have located has over 1.2k views of my children's unredacted information that was provided to Adrienne by Boundary County. Boundary County watchman Facebook page has over 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of public viewers. It is unknown how many other areas of public view the sensitive info has been disseminated to. Many community members in my area sure do know about it. I do request you look into this. One of my children affected by this has issues, that have done nothing but exacerbate since this has happened to him/ our family. If my child goes off and commits suicide as result of the effect and continuing issues from this, who is going to care then? Have you researched the rapidly increasing rate of teen suicide-which is commonly triggered through cyber bullying and public harassment?

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 10:31 AM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Marie,

Instead of making a records request, I can just tell you what I've done on this. After we realized that the video in question contained medical information about your son, I tried to track down how it first went out. Turns out it was produced to Bushnell Law, so I assumed that firm represented Ms. Evoniuk on a related matter. However, they just picked it up for her as a courtesy and had never viewed or copied the video in question.

Next, I emailed Mallorie and asked her to voluntarily give the records back and confirm if she had copied or shared them with anyone else. She was happy to turn them back in, but she admitted she had given a copy to our friend Ms. Norris. I was satisfied that Mallorie was being honest with me.

Thereafter, I emailed Ms. Norris with the same request. She responded with a long list of complaints against Andrakay and demanded that the matter be conflicted to another county. At that time, Sheriff Stolley suggested that he might have more luck talking to her, so I handed it off to him. I believe that she eventually confirmed to him, in writing, that she had not published the unredacted video and agreed to destroy it, but since I don't have first-hand knowledge of that part of it, I have copied him on this message so that he can contribute as needed.

I hope you understand that we are just trying to do the right thing here, but we're dealing with some very difficult personalities, so we're doing the best we can.

Thanks,

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:12 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached Public Records Request for:
Any and all communications from 4/1/25 to present (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorneys Bill Wilson and AndraKay Pluid and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons regarding possession of public records, and/or or harassment of juveniles and citizens, and/or any other matter concerning public records, dissemination of records, and/or all activities requiring attention from Public Officials.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:39 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Travis Stolley
Subject: 3rd email Re: Confidential- for intended recipient only

This is a third email, to be included with my 2 previous emails sent today regarding a demand for a criminal investigation.

Adrienne Norris' aka Boundary County Watchman's email to Sheriff Stolley on 10/3/25 states:

"Per our verbal agreement on September 29, 2025, I will retain:

Link to seven minute video ("Unlisted", only available to those with this link): <https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

The seven minutes of video referenced by Adrienne contain my juvenile children's NAMES UNREDACTED. I do not find it appropriate to provide our documented harasser the permission to continue to possess and disseminate privacy protected unredacted juvenile records.

Additionally, I have located the meaning of "Unlisted" web link. In no way does an unlisted web link secure privacy of confidential information. Anyone who has the link can access the content. Additionally, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman has publicly shared said "Unlisted" link on the "Boundary County Watchman" Facebook page multiple times, as well as shared to other confirmed unknown locations via Facebook. It should be noted the Boundary County Watchman page has 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of public viewers. An "unlisted" web link does nothing to secure privacy of unredacted records that should not be possessed or disseminated to anyone.

Agreeing to such is a continued violation of privacy laws.

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 2:09 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

This is an addendum to my demand for investigation which I emailed at 9:46 a.m. this morning:

Per Adrienne Norris' aka Boundary County Watchman's email to Sheriff Stolley on 10/3/25, she referenced an understanding of retaining certain privacy protected unredacted juvenile records per a phone conversation with sheriff Stolley on 9/29/25. Adrienne's email states:

Per our verbal agreement on September 29, 2025, I will retain:...The Mother's statement about her younger son riding his bike on the public dirt road."

Texas lane is NOT a public dirt road. It is indeed a private road, and serves no public interest.

After reviewing the received correspondence between Mallorie Evoniuk and Detective Lehman of ISP, by Mallorie's own admission, she acknowledged in her 8/7/25 email to Leslie Lehman that she had knowledge that she was in possession of and disseminating privacy protected records of a Juvenile. Mallorie's email states:

"When I provided the full video to Adrienne in July 2024, I explicitly told her not to share it with anyone. I also recall telling her that "everything before 55 minutes is none of our business because it involves a minor." Right or wrong, I relied on Adrienne to respect the boundaries I had communicated."

Thomas Bushnell claims in a 9/23/25 email to Bill Wilson that his firm had no involvement in any legal matters involving Mallorie Evoniuk other than a conservator/guardianship. However, I was served a subpoena (which was later quashed), dated 8/12/24 which reveals the involvement of Bushnell Law. See below:

Matthew Z. Crotty
ISB 8653
905 W. Riverside Ave. Ste. 208
Spokane, WA 99201
Phone: 509 850 7011
Email: mzc@rnlwlg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SHOSHONE

EVERGREEN HORIZON, ET. AL.

Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,

vs.

ROLINA SCHNUERLE,

Defendant/Counterclaimant.

Case No. CV22-00000
SUBPOENA

The State of Idaho to:

Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]

YOU ARE COMMANDED:

☐ to appear in the Court at the place, date and time specified below in this case.

☐ to appear at the place, date and time specified below to testify in the above case.

☒ to produce or permit inspection and copying of the following documents:

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 9:46 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Sheriff Stolley and Prosecutor AndraKay Pluid:

I am demanding an immediate criminal investigation into Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk, Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Misha Davis aka Jamisha Nevarez/Michelle Naumann, et al. and any others that were discovered by County Officials to be in possession/dissemination of my children's unredacted privacy protected records.

I am requesting this investigation to be conflicted to a Third party agency. I am also requesting that a Federal agency be involved, due to the crimes involving protected privacy breaches and shared confidential content to the public internet. I am also requesting this matter to remain confidential with only Boundary County employees/agents privy, unless there is a direct need for involvement in this matter otherwise.

Please inform me if I need to use a different method in reporting this crime. Otherwise, I will assume that reporting the issue to you directly will suffice.

Explicitly named above individuals were involved in some capacity of privacy protected records used to ultimately commit the crimes of publicly harass and stalk my juvenile children and family. There is a component of Civil Right privacy protection law violations. All individuals noted were involved in some capacity of willfully engaging in public harassment/stalking through the malicious use of confidential information, protected by privacy laws.

As an Idaho state Attorney professional, Thomas Bushnell should had reasonably known he was in possession of privacy protected confidential juvenile records. Furthermore, Thomas Bushnell willfully shared privacy protected unredacted records with a citizen, Mallorie Evoniuk (not represented by Bushnell in the matter).

Mallorie Evoniuk was in possession of and willfully shared the privacy protected records with Malicious intent to Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" may be classified as a "media source/reporter/journalist" for the public. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is the owner of multiple media sites with thousands of viewers/followers. Essentially, Mallorie Evoniuk willfully shared confidential information to what can be considered a "media outlet". It is important to note that Mallorie Evoniuk holds previous professional experience as a Paralegal. She should had reasonably known that possession of juvenile confidential privacy protected information was a privacy breach protected by law. Mallorie Evoniuk has also had multi experience with personal/professional legal lawsuits, subpoenaed records, etc which would further give her the knowledge that being in possession of a privacy breach and disseminating said content with the willful intent to publicly humiliate and harass is a crime. Ms. Evoniuk should be reasonably aware of privacy protected information laws considering her background and experience.

Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman was contacted by attorney Bill Wilson on 9/26/25. In an email, she was asked to return privacy protected records over to Boundary County officials. In her reply to Attorney Bill Wilson on 9/28/25, she failed to provide the requested information of who she has disseminated the sensitive records to and did not agree to turn over said records. Adrienne Norris is an acting reporter/journalist with multiple "media outlets" which she operates. She has issued

hundreds of public records requests to different agencies. Adrienne Norris should have reasonably known she obtained privacy protected material. On her Boundary County Watchman page, she has had a history of not disclosing juvenile names and providing unredacted information to the public in other instances. For my child/family, she willfully and maliciously shared my juvenile children's unredacted content under the guise of "government transparency". In the content publicly shared, there is no validation of publicly mingling my husband/children's names and personal matter as a government transparency and further sharing it to her media pages. Adrienne Norris has willfully and maliciously engaged in public harassment/stalking activities, with the use of privacy protected records. On 4/16/25, I issued Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman a notice of Cease and Desist. I also publicly issued a Notice of Cease and Desist on the Boundary County Watchman shared privacy protected content (multiple times). Boundary county watchman replied in an extortionist manner, essentially stating that I am banned and silenced from her public page, and I better be glad she did not release the full content of my son's records (privacy protected and containing mental health/medical diagnosis information and other privacy protected content). To date (11/7/25) the content is indeed publicly shared on Adrienne's owned media outlets. The YouTube video has been viewed over 1.2k times. The content had been shared multiple times on social media outlets. Boundary County Watchman Facebook page has over 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of viewers. It is unknown to the extent of other social media outlets the privacy protected content has been shared, as I do not subscribe to all.

Misha Davis (et al. Aliases) had also publicly shared the privacy protected content to her social media outlets AriseZionDaughter and Savage Grace.

I would appreciate your swift action into this matter. My family and children are continuing to be deeply adversely affected by this in all facets of our lives. I look forward to speaking with investigative authorities regarding this matter.

Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, November 8, 2025 12:22 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Travis Stolley
Subject: Re: 4th email Re: Confidential- for intended recipient only

I apologize for a 4th email to add to my demand for a criminal investigation.

I would also like to note that Mallorie Evoniuk is a licensed Realtor in Idaho. She previously resided in Washington where she was also a licensed realtor.

As licensing procedure in the states of Washington and Idaho, Realtors must have knowledge of confidentiality and privacy laws pertaining to personal information, use of confidential information and privacy breaches. Mallorie Evoniuk can not be presumed as being ignorant to privacy laws and privacy breaches. There is substantial evidence to prove she willfully with Malicious intent disseminated privacy protected records as a means of humiliation and engaged in harassment.

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 10:38 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

This is a third email, to be included with my 2 previous emails sent today regarding a demand for a criminal investigation.

Adrienne Norris' aka Boundary County Watchman's email to Sheriff Stolley on 10/3/25 states:

"Per our verbal agreement on September 29, 2025, I will retain:

Link to seven minute video ("Unlisted", only available to those with this link):

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

The seven minutes of video referenced by Adrienne contain my juvenile children's NAMES UNREDACTED. I do not find it appropriate to provide our documented harasser the permission to continue to possess and disseminate privacy protected unredacted juvenile records.

Additionally, I have located the meaning of "Unlisted" web link. In no way does an unlisted web link secure privacy of confidential information. Anyone who has the link can access the content. Additionally, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman has publicly shared said "Unlisted" link on the "Boundary County Watchman" Facebook page multiple times, as well as shared to other confirmed unknown locations via Facebook. It should be noted the Boundary County Watchman page has 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of public viewers. An "unlisted" web link does nothing to secure privacy of unredacted records that should not be possessed or disseminated to anyone.

Agreeing to such is a continued violation of privacy laws.

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 2:09 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

This is an addendum to my demand for investigation which I emailed at 9:46 a.m. this morning:

Per Adrienne Norris' aka Boundary County Watchman's email to Sheriff Stolley on 10/3/25, she referenced an understanding of retaining certain privacy protected unredacted juvenile records per a phone conversation with sheriff Stolley on 9/29/25.

Adrienne's email states:

Per our verbal agreement on September 29, 2025, I will retain:...The Mother's statement about her younger son riding his bike on the public dirt road."

Texas lane is NOT a public dirt road. It is indeed a private road, and serves no public interest.

After reviewing the received correspondence between Mallorie Evoniuk and Detective Lehman of ISP, by Mallorie's own admission, she acknowledged in her 8/7/25 email to Leslie Lehman that she had knowledge that she was in possession of and disseminating privacy protected records of a Juvenile. Mallorie's email states: "When I provided the full video to Adrienne in July 2024, I explicitly told her not to share it with anyone. I also recall telling her that "everything before 55 minutes is none of our business because it involves a minor." Right or wrong, I relied on Adrienne to respect the boundaries I had communicated."

Thomas Bushnell claims in a 9/23/25 email to Bill Wilson that his firm had no involvement in any legal matters involving Mallorie Evoniuk other than a conservator/guardianship. However, I was served a subpoena (which was later quashed), dated 8/12/24 which reveals the involvement of Bushnell Law. See below:

Matthew Z. Crotty
ISB 8653
905 W. Riverside Ave. Ste. 208
Spokane, WA 99201
Phone: 509 850 7011
Email: mzc@rnwllg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE FIRST
FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE

EVERGREEN HORIZION, ET. AL.

Case No.
SUBPOI

Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,

vs.

ROLINA SCHNUERLE,

Defendant/Counterclaimant.

The State of Idaho to:

Marie Garrett


YOU ARE COMMANDED:

☐ to appear in the Court at the place, date and time specific
case.

☐ to appear at the place, date and time specified below to t
in the above case.

☒ to produce or permit inspection and copying of the follow

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 9:46 AM, Marie Garrett

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Sheriff Stolley and Prosecutor AndraKay Pluid:

I am demanding an immediate criminal investigation into Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk, Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Misha Davis aka Jamisha Nevarez/Michelle Naumann, et al. and any others that were discovered by County Officials to be in possession/dissemination of my children's unredacted privacy protected records.

I am requesting this investigation to be conflicted to a Third party agency. I am also requesting that a Federal agency be involved, due to the crimes involving protected privacy breaches and shared confidential content to the public internet. I am also requesting this matter to remain confidential with only Boundary County employees/agents privy, unless there is a direct need for involvement in this matter otherwise.

Please inform me if I need to use a different method in reporting this crime. Otherwise, I will assume that reporting the issue to you directly will suffice.

Explicitly named above individuals were involved in some capacity of privacy protected records used to ultimately commit the crimes of publicly harass and stalk my juvenile children and family. There is a component of Civil Right privacy protection law violations. All individuals noted were involved in some capacity of willfully engaging in public harassment/stalking through the malicious use of confidential information, protected by privacy laws.

As an Idaho state Attorney professional, Thomas Bushnell should had reasonably known he was in possession of privacy protected confidential juvenile records. Furthermore, Thomas Bushnell willfully shared privacy protected unredacted records with a citizen, Mallorie Evoniuk (not represented by Bushnell in the matter).

Mallorie Evoniuk was in possession of and willfully shared the privacy protected records with Malicious intent to Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" may be classified as a "media source/reporter/journalist" for the public. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is the owner of multiple media sites with thousands of viewers/followers. Essentially, Mallorie Evoniuk willfully shared confidential information to what can be considered a "media outlet". It is important to note that Mallorie Evoniuk holds previous professional experience as a Paralegal. She should had reasonably known that possession of juvenile confidential privacy protected information was a privacy breach protected by law. Mallorie Evoniuk has also had multi experience with personal/professional legal lawsuits, subpoenaed records, etc which would further give her the knowledge that being in possession of a privacy breach and disseminating said content with the willful intent to publicly humiliate and harass is a crime. Ms. Evoniuk should be reasonably aware of

privacy protected information laws considering her background and experience.

Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman was contacted by attorney Bill Wilson on 9/26/25. In an email, she was asked to return privacy protected records over to Boundary County officials. In her reply to Attorney Bill Wilson on 9/28/25, she failed to provide the requested information of who she has disseminated the sensitive records to and did not agree to turn over said records. Adrienne Norris is an acting reporter/journalist with multiple "media outlets" which she operates. She has issued hundreds of public records requests to different agencies. Adrienne Norris should have reasonably known she obtained privacy protected material. On her Boundary County Watchman page, she has had a history of not disclosing juvenile names and providing unredacted information to the public in other instances. For my child/family, she willfully and maliciously shared my juvenile children's unredacted content under the guise of "government transparency". In the content publicly shared, there is no validation of publicly mingling my husband/children's names and personal matter as a government transparency and further sharing it to her media pages. Adrienne Norris has willfully and maliciously engaged in public harassment/stalking activities, with the use of privacy protected records. On 4/16/25, I issued Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman a notice of Cease and Desist. I also publicly issued a Notice of Cease and Desist on the Boundary County Watchman shared privacy protected content (multiple times). Boundary county watchman replied in an extortionist manner, essentially stating that I am banned and silenced from her public page, and I better be glad she did not release the full content of my son's records (privacy protected and containing mental health/medical diagnosis information and other privacy protected content).

To date (11/7/25) the content is indeed publicly shared on Adrienne's owned media outlets. The YouTube video has been viewed over 1.2k times. The content had been shared multiple times on social media outlets. Boundary County Watchman Facebook page has over 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of viewers. It is unknown to the extent of other social media outlets the privacy protected content has been shared, as I do not subscribe to all.

Misha Davis (et al. Aliases) had also publicly shared the privacy protected content to her social media outlets AriseZionDaughter and Savage Grace.

I would appreciate your swift action into this matter. My family and children are continuing to be deeply adversely affected by this in all facets of our lives. I look forward to speaking with investigative authorities regarding this matter.

Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, November 8, 2025 9:44 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Travis Stolley
Subject: Re: 5th email Re: Confidential- for intended recipient only

This is a 5th addendum to my demand for criminal investigation, which I emailed to you 11/7/25 at 9:46 a.m.:

It has been known that Misha Davis aka (et.al aliases) had also publicly disseminated my children's unredacted privacy protected records on social media outlets (TikTok and Facebook are 2 I am aware of).

In Mallorie Evoniuk's 8/7/25 email to Detective Lehman, she states knowledge and "permission" for Adrienne to disseminate "a portion of" unredacted video with Misha's defense lawyer. Subsequently, the unredacted content Misha Davis also disseminated to public social media did contain my children's names unredacted.

Mallorie Evoniuk admittedly gave permission to Adrienne Norris to disseminate my juvenile children's unredacted protected privacy records. Given that Mallorie wilfully and maliciously disseminated unredacted protected records of a Juvenile to a media source (Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman), then further gave "permission" to disseminate the unredacted records to another should be clear of the intent of the public harassment/humiliation/cyber bullying component.

Additionally, I would like to point out that in Bill Wilson/Andrakay Pluid's email exchange with Mallorie Evoniuk in September 2025, Evoniuk failed to disclose she admittedly had given "permission" for Adrienne Norris to further disseminate the protected records.

I would suggest that Mallorie Evoniuk be contacted again and asked who else she has given "permission" for unredacted privacy protected records to be disseminated to.

Marie Garrett

From: Josh & Mallorie Evoniuk [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, August 7, 2025 3:18 PM
To: Lehman, Leslie <Leslie.Lehman@isp.idaho.gov>
Subject: Re: Follow Up

CAUTION: This email originated outside the State of Idaho network. Verify links, click or open, even if you recognize and/or trust the sender. Contact your agency concerns.

Hi Leslie,

I want to clarify something I said during the interview yesterday. When you Adrienne Norris not to share the video, I became confused by the question

1

[REDACTED]

of the dash cam video: the full version I received from BCSO, and the short presumably, Adrienne. I believe I answered "no" and that I had no indication but that response needs more context. When I provided the full video to Adrienne, I told her not to share it with anyone. I also recall telling her that "everything is for our business because it involves a minor." Right or wrong, I relied on Adrienne's advice. I had communicated. My intent was strictly to ensure my family's safety in light of and anticipated retaliation we experienced after calling 911.

The dash cam video was made available to me through a lawful public record request. It came with no redactions, no indications of confidentiality, and no stated restrictions. I asked if she could share only the portion of the video where the adults are talking to Misha with Misha's defense lawyer, and I did not object to that. (At the time

On Saturday, November 8th, 2025 at 12:21 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I apologize for a 4th email to add to my demand for a criminal investigation. I would also like to note that Mallorie Evoniuk is a licensed Realtor in Idaho. She previously resided in Washington where she was also a licensed realtor. As licensing procedure in the states of Washington and Idaho, Realtors must have knowledge of confidentiality and privacy laws pertaining to personal information, use of confidential information and privacy breaches. Mallorie Evoniuk can not be presumed as being ignorant to privacy laws and privacy breaches. There is substantial evidence to prove she willfully with Malicious intent disseminated privacy protected records as a means of humiliation and engaged in harassment.

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 10:38 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

This is a third email, to be included with my 2 previous emails sent today regarding a demand for a criminal investigation.

Adrienne Norris' aka Boundary County Watchman's email to Sheriff Stolley on 10/3/25 states:

"Per our verbal agreement on September 29, 2025, I will retain:

Link to seven minute video ("Unlisted", only available to those with this link):

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

The seven minutes of video referenced by Adrienne contain my juvenile children's NAMES UNREDACTED. I do not find it appropriate to provide our documented harasser the permission to continue to possess and disseminate privacy protected unredacted juvenile records.

Additionally, I have located the meaning of "Unlisted" web link. In no way does an unlisted web link secure privacy of confidential information. Anyone who has the link can access the content. Additionally, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman has publicly shared said "Unlisted" link on the "Boundary County Watchman" Facebook page multiple times, as well as shared to other confirmed unknown locations via Facebook. It should be noted the Boundary County Watchman page has 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of public viewers. An "unlisted" web link does nothing to secure privacy of unredacted records that should not be possessed or disseminated to anyone. Agreeing to such is a continued violation of privacy laws.

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 2:09 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

This is an addendum to my demand for investigation which I emailed at 9:46 a.m. this morning:

Per Adrienne Norris' aka Boundary County Watchman's email to Sheriff Stolley on 10/3/25, she referenced an understanding of retaining certain privacy protected unredacted juvenile records per a phone conversation with sheriff Stolley on 9/29/25. Adrienne's email states:

Per our verbal agreement on September 29, 2025, I will retain:...The Mother's statement about her younger son riding his bike on the public dirt road."

Texas lane is NOT a public dirt road. It is indeed a private road, and serves no public interest.

After reviewing the received correspondence between Mallorie Evoniuk and Detective Lehman of ISP, by Mallorie's own admission, she acknowledged in her 8/7/25 email to Leslie Lehman that she had knowledge that she was in possession of and disseminating privacy protected records of a Juvenile. Mallorie's email states:

"When I provided the full video to Adrienne in July 2024, I explicitly told her not to share it with anyone. I also recall telling her that "everything before 55 minutes is none of our business because it involves a minor." Right or wrong, I relied on Adrienne to respect the boundaries I had communicated."

Thomas Bushnell claims in a 9/23/25 email to Bill Wilson that his firm had no involvement in any legal matters involving Mallorie Evoniuk other than a conservator/guardianship. However, I was served a subpoena (which was later quashed), dated 8/12/24 which reveals the involvement of Bushnell Law. See below:

Matthew Z. Crotty
ISB 8653
905 W. Riverside Ave. Ste. 208
Spokane, WA 99201
Phone: 509 850 7011
Email: mzc@rnwlg.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

IN THE DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR

EVERGREEN HORIZION, ET. AL.

Ct
SI

Plaintiffs/Counterdefendants,

vs.

ROLINA SCHNUERLE,

Defendant/Counterclaimant.

The State of Idaho to:

Marie Garrett


YOU ARE COMMANDED:

☐ to appear in the Court at the place, date and time s
case.

☐ to appear at the place, date and time specified bel
in the above case.

☒ to produce or permit inspection and copying of the

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 9:46 AM, Marie Garrett

<[REDACTED]> wrote:

Dear Sheriff Stolley and Prosecutor AndraKay Pluid:

I am demanding an immediate criminal investigation into Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk, Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Misha Davis aka Jamisha Nevarez/Michelle Naumann, et al. and any others that were discovered by County Officials to be in possession/dissemination of my children's unredacted privacy protected records.

I am requesting this investigation to be conflicted to a Third party agency. I am also requesting that a Federal agency be involved, due to the crimes involving protected privacy breaches and shared confidential content to the public internet. I am also requesting this matter to remain confidential with only Boundary County employees/agents privy, unless there is a direct need for involvement in this matter otherwise.

Please inform me if I need to use a different method in reporting this crime. Otherwise, I will assume that reporting the issue to you directly will suffice.

Explicitly named above individuals were involved in some capacity of privacy protected records used to ultimately commit the crimes of publicly harass and stalk my juvenile children and family. There is a component of Civil Right privacy protection law violations. All individuals noted were involved in some capacity of willfully engaging in public harassment/stalking through the malicious use of confidential information, protected by privacy laws.

As an Idaho state Attorney professional, Thomas Bushnell should had reasonably known he was in possession of privacy protected confidential juvenile records. Furthermore, Thomas Bushnell willfully shared privacy protected unredacted records with a citizen, Mallorie Evoniuk (not represented by Bushnell in the matter).

Mallorie Evoniuk was in possession of and willfully shared the privacy protected records with Malicious intent to Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" may be classified as a "media source/reporter/journalist" for the public. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is the owner of multiple media sites with thousands of viewers/followers. Essentially, Mallorie Evoniuk willfully shared confidential information to what can be considered a "media outlet". It is important to note

that Mallorie Evoniuk holds previous professional experience as a Paralegal. She should have reasonably known that possession of juvenile confidential privacy protected information was a privacy breach protected by law. Mallorie Evoniuk has also had multi experience with personal/professional legal lawsuits, subpoenaed records, etc which would further give her the knowledge that being in possession of a privacy breach and disseminating said content with the willful intent to publicly humiliate and harass is a crime. Ms. Evoniuk should be reasonably aware of privacy protected information laws considering her background and experience.

Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman was contacted by attorney Bill Wilson on 9/26/25. In an email, she was asked to return privacy protected records over to Boundary County officials. In her reply to Attorney Bill Wilson on 9/28/25, she failed to provide the requested information of who she has disseminated the sensitive records to and did not agree to turn over said records. Adrienne Norris is an acting reporter/journalist with multiple "media outlets" which she operates. She has issued hundreds of public records requests to different agencies. Adrienne Norris should have reasonably known she obtained privacy protected material. On her Boundary County Watchman page, she has had a history of not disclosing juvenile names and providing unredacted information to the public in other instances. For my child/family, she willfully and maliciously shared my juvenile children's unredacted content under the guise of "government transparency". In the content publicly shared, there is no validation of publicly mingling my husband/children's names and personal matter as a government transparency and further sharing it to her media pages. Adrienne Norris has willfully and maliciously engaged in public harassment/stalking activities, with the use of privacy protected records. On 4/16/25, I issued Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman a notice of Cease and Desist. I also publicly issued a Notice of Cease and Desist on the Boundary County Watchman shared privacy protected content (multiple times). Boundary county watchman replied in an extortionist manner, essentially stating that I am banned and silenced from her public page, and I better be glad she did not release the full content of my son's records (privacy protected and containing mental health/medical diagnosis information and other privacy protected content). To date (11/7/25) the content is indeed publicly shared on Adrienne's owned media outlets. The YouTube video has been viewed over 1.2k times. The content had been shared multiple times on social media outlets. Boundary County Watchman Facebook page has over 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of viewers. It is unknown to the extent of other social

media outlets the privacy protected content has been shared, as I do not subscribe to all.

Misha Davis (et al. Aliases) had also publicly shared the privacy protected content to her social media outlets AriseZionDaughter and Savage Grace.

I would appreciate your swift action into this matter. My family and children are continuing to be deeply adversely affected by this in all facets of our lives. I look forward to speaking with investigative authorities regarding this matter.

Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, November 8, 2025 9:51 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Possession/ dissemination of unredacted privacy protected records inquiry

Hello Bill and Andrakay,

It has been known and documented that Misha Davis aka (et.al aliases) is another individual who publicly disseminated my children's unredacted privacy protected records on social media outlets (TikTok and Facebook are 2 I am aware of).

I would like to know why there is no documentation to date that shows Misha Davis has been contacted to request return of said protected records?

I would also like to know at what point will my children/family be acknowledged as victims in the crimes that are being committed/privacy breach that has occurred?

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 8:08 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public records request

Good morning,

You are in receipt of the records the Prosecutor's Office has regarding this from your request last Friday. If the intent is to request similar records from other departments, please submit the request to the individual department's records custodians.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 4:41 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public records request

Please see the attached public records request for:

Any and all communications between any agent of Boundary County for the date range of 9/1/25 to present, pertaining to the issue of unredacted privacy protected records released via public records requests.

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 8:40 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public records request

Hello,

My PRR earlier in the day of 11/7/25 specified communications between noted individuals specifically. My afternoon 11/7/25 request is more broad: I am requesting/all communications between *any agent of Boundary County* (e.g. between Boundary County Commissioners and Andrakay Pluid or Bill Wilson; Between Sheriff Travis Stolley and Andrakay Pluid and Bill Wilson; etc.).

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 8:08 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good morning,

You are in receipt of the records the Prosecutor's Office has regarding this from your request last Friday. If the intent is to request similar records from other departments, please submit the request to the individual department's records custodians.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 4:41 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public records request

Please see the attached public records request for:

Any and all communications between any agent of Boundary County for the date range of 9/1/25 to present, pertaining to the issue of unredacted privacy protected records released via public records requests.

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 8:46 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public records request

You are in receipt of all records available. Any attorney-client communications are exempt from disclosure under Idaho Code 74-104.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 8:40 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: Public records request

Hello,

My PRR earlier in the day of 11/7/25 specified communications between noted individuals specifically. My afternoon 11/7/25 request is more broad: I am requesting/all communications between *any agent of Boundary County* (e.g. between Boundary County Commissioners and Andrakay Pluid or Bill Wilson; Between Sheriff Travis Stolley and Andkrakay Pluid and Bill Wilson; etc.).

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 8:08 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good morning,

You are in receipt of the records the Prosecutor's Office has regarding this from your request last Friday. If the intent is to request similar records from other departments, please submit the request to the individual department's records custodians.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 4:41 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public records request

Please see the attached public records request for:

Any and all communications between any agent of Boundary County for the date range of 9/1/25 to present, pertaining to the issue of unredacted privacy protected records released via public records requests.

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Bill Wilson; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Public Records Request
Attachments: Boundary County Public Request 11.10.25. unredacted return inquiry.pdf

Please see the attached public records request for the following information:

Any/all documentation pertaining to an injunction regarding the possession/dissemination of unredacted juvenile records.

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she disseminated the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she disseminated the privacy protected records and in what format ? (e.g: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 9:52 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public Records Request

Ms. Garrett,

As I said earlier, you have been provided the public records relating to your inquiry attached. I can confirm that Ms. Evoniuk dropped off the records we had requested be returned.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached public records request for the following information:

Any/all documentation pertaining to an injunction regarding the possession/dissemination of unredacted juvenile records.

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she disseminated the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she disseminated the privacy protected records and in what format ? (e.g: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 10:36 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: Public Records Request

I feel that any person dealing with a situation such as ours, would be equally as concerned/upset and demanding answers. Since we do not know the full extent of dissemination and possession of the publicly released privacy breach content involving juveniles, I am attempting to understand where Boundary County is at in the process of damage control of this situation which continues to deeply impact my family.

I am concerned the victims in this privacy breach had not been informed regarding Official discovery of the breach. I happened to locate County Official acknowledgement of the breach SOLELY through my own investigation via public records requests. It is seeming that now I am only obtaining answers via public records request. This does not seem quite right, considering this matter is involving my children's privacy protected records that were released by Boundary County! I feel myself and children deserve to be informed and updated in a situation that concerns their privacy as result of Boundary County releasing the content.

I understand the agencies are attempting to- according to Adrienne Norris "prevent the tort claim from escalating". However, considering this situation is a clear privacy breach, myself and children do expect to be handled no different than that of being a citizen with no Tort Claim filed. I understand the Idaho Laws of Data Breach procedure, and I feel this privacy breach of records may hold an element of Data Breach.

Moving forward, will the victim parties of the privacy breach be contacted by Boundary County Officials with updates regarding this breach?

Assuming from your reply stating that Mallorie Evoniuk returned the unredacted records- Can you verify if the returned records were the original format? Did Mallorie Evoniuk disclose what other formats she possessed/disseminated the records?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman return to Boundary County the complete unredacted/protected content? If not- What are the measures Boundary County will be using to rescind the complete records? I believe it is also important to investigate to determine additional non authorized people who are in possession of/disseminating the records, as well as learning the formats in which the known parties disseminated/ are continuing to disseminate the protected records.

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 9:51 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Ms. Garrett,

As I said earlier, you have been provided the public records relating to your inquiry attached. I can confirm that Ms. Evoniuk dropped off the records we had requested be returned.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached public records request for the following information:

Any/all documentation pertaining to an injunction regarding the possession/dissemination of unredacted juvenile records.

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she disseminated the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what

format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she disseminated the privacy protected records and in what

format ? (e.g: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 10:43 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Travis Stolley'
Subject: RE: Public Records Request

I completely understand why you are upset. However, public records requests are not designed to handle the questions you are asking.

The communications that we had with Ms. Evoniuk were given to you in their entirety and I confirmed in my last email that she returned the DVD she was provided by BCSO. She stated she had no other digital or hard copies in her possession.

The extent of the communications my office had with Ms. Norris were also given to you. I believe Sheriff Stolley was able to have a more productive dialogue with her, but he would have to speak to that as you saw how she reacted to Mr. Wilson's request email. I am cc'ing the sheriff so he may be able to provide any communication he had with her.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 10:36 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request

I feel that any person dealing with a situation such as ours, would be equally as concerned/upset and demanding answers. Since we do not know the full extent of dissemination and possession of the publicly released privacy breach content involving juveniles, I am attempting to understand where Boundary County is at in the process of damage control of this situation which continues to deeply impact my family.

I am concerned the victims in this privacy breach had not been informed regarding Official discovery of the breach. I happened to locate County Official acknowledgement of the breach SOLELY through my own investigation via public records requests. It is seeming that now I am only obtaining answers via public records request. This does not seem quite right, considering this matter is involving my children's privacy protected records that were released by Boundary County! I feel myself and children deserve to be informed and updated in a situation that concerns their privacy as result of Boundary County releasing the content.

I understand the agencies are attempting to- according to Adrienne Norris "prevent the tort claim from escalating". However, considering this situation is a clear privacy breach, myself and children do expect to be handled no different than that of being a citizen with no Tort Claim filed. I understand the Idaho Laws of Data Breach procedure, and I feel this privacy breach of records may hold an element of Data Breach.

Moving forward, will the victim parties of the privacy breach be contacted by Boundary County Officials with updates regarding this breach?

Assuming from your reply stating that Mallorie Evoniuk returned the unredacted records- Can you verify if the returned records were the original format? Did Mallorie Evoniuk disclose what other formats she possessed/disseminated the records?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman return to Boundary County the complete unredacted/protected content? If not- What are the measures Boundary County will be using to rescind the complete records? I believe it is also important to investigate to determine additional non authorized people who are in possession of/disseminating the records, as well as learning the formats in which the known parties disseminated/ are continuing to disseminate the protected records.

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 9:51 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Ms. Garrett,

As I said earlier, you have been provided the public records relating to your inquiry attached. I can confirm that Ms. Evoniuk dropped off the records we had requested be returned.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached public records request for the following information:

Any/all documentation pertaining to an injunction regarding the possession/dissemination of unredacted juvenile records.

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she disseminated the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what

format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she disseminated the privacy protected records and in what

format ? (e.g: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 10:57 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Travis Stolley'
Subject: RE: Public Records Request

In what method may I obtain the complete answers to my questions involving this privacy breach, aside from public records requests? I asked these same questions on 11/6/25 in an email and never received a reply- so I submitted the PRR with my questions today.

I know I do not necessarily have the full extent of information regarding this situation- to even know exactly what to public records request. For this additional reason, I feel it would be appropriate for information/updates regarding our matter to be forthcoming from officials.

I am merely attempting to find answers to my questions that are relevant in knowing the extent of this breach situation that is affecting my family.

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 10:42 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

I completely understand why you are upset. However, public records requests are not designed to handle the questions you are asking.

The communications that we had with Ms. Evoniuk were given to you in their entirety and I confirmed in my last email that she returned the DVD she was provided by BCSO. She stated she had no other digital or hard copies in her possession.

The extent of the communications my office had with Ms. Norris were also given to you. I believe Sheriff Stolley was able to have a more productive dialogue with her, but he would have to speak to that as you saw how she reacted to Mr. Wilson's request email. I am cc'ing the sheriff so he may be able to provide any communication he had with her.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 10:36 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request

I feel that any person dealing with a situation such as ours, would be equally as concerned/upset and demanding answers. Since we do not know the full extent of dissemination and possession of the publicly released privacy breach content involving juveniles, I am attempting to understand where Boundary County is at in the process of damage control of this situation which continues to deeply impact my family.

I am concerned the victims in this privacy breach had not been informed regarding Official discovery of the breach. I happened to locate County Official acknowledgement of the breach SOLELY through my own investigation via public records requests. It is seeming that now I am only obtaining answers via public records request. This does not seem quite right, considering this matter is involving my children's privacy protected records that were released by Boundary County! I feel myself and children deserve to be informed and updated in a situation that concerns their privacy as result of Boundary County releasing the content.

I understand the agencies are attempting to- according to Adrienne Norris "prevent the tort claim from escalating". However, considering this situation is a clear privacy breach, myself and children do expect to be handled no different than that of being a citizen with no Tort Claim filed. I understand the Idaho Laws of Data Breach procedure, and I feel this privacy breach of records may hold an element of Data Breach.

Moving forward, will the victim parties of the privacy breach be contacted by Boundary County Officials with updates regarding this breach?

Assuming from your reply stating that Mallorie Evoniuk returned the unredacted records- Can you verify if the returned records were the original format? Did Mallorie Evoniuk disclose what other formats she possessed/disseminated the records?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman return to Boundary County the complete unredacted/protected content? If not- What are the measures Boundary County will be using to rescind the complete records? I believe it is also important to investigate to determine additional non authorized people who are in possession of/disseminating the records, as well as learning the formats in which the known parties disseminated/ are continuing to disseminate the protected records.

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 9:51 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Ms. Garrett,

As I said earlier, you have been provided the public records relating to your inquiry attached. I can confirm that Ms. Evoniuk dropped off the records we had requested be returned.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached public records request for the following information:

Any/all documentation pertaining to an injunction regarding the possession/dissemination of unredacted juvenile records.

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she disseminated the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what

format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she disseminated the privacy protected records and in what

format ? (e.g: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 1:46 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: Caleb Watts; 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: Request's for Investigation

Marie,

I have received your investigation requests.

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley
Boundary County Sheriff's Office
208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: Link to Video

In the link you provided to me, which Adrienne references that she has retained....at the 4:26 and 4:28 mark, my juvenile children's names were unredacted. Please review.

Additionally, has Adrienne turned over the complete protected record, which discloses further protected content, which should had never been disseminated to the public in the first place?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 2:03 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Here is the link to the video Adrienne references that she has retained. It doesn't contain any protected information.

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 3:37 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Fw: Re: Malicious use of public records

FYI

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 5:56 PM
Subject: Re: Malicious use of public records
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

> Are you referring to your 7/22/2025 email stating "Boundary County
> Sheriff's Office has determined that there is no evidence of criminal
> activity warranting further investigation or referral to an outside
> agency at this time. As such, we will not be pursuing this matter further."?
>
>
> Again, you do not have the authority to not investigate/conflict
> criminal complaints to a different agency, just because a tort claim
> was filed with your office/county. Obviously, you conflicted others'
> complaints for investigation against myself, yet you are refusing to
> conflict/investigate my valid and provided criminal complaint for investigation?
>
>
>
>
> Sent with Proton Mail secure email.
>
>
> On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 1:43 PM, Travis Stolley
> tstolley@boundarysheriff.org wrote:
>
>> Marie,
>>
>> I would refer you to the email I sent 7-22-25 answering this question.
>>
>> Sheriff Stolley

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 3:38 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Fw: Re: Malicious use of public records

FYI

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 8:59 AM
Subject: Re: Malicious use of public records
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Hi,

I am following up on the below email sent to you on July 22, 2025. Based on the documents I received via Public records request, it is evident an investigation/review was not conducted. There is no content that reveals that you have appropriately handled my criminal complaint. No investigative report. No evidence of an investigation.

My 4/21/2025 criminal complaint needs to be investigated by your office. I can just send this up the chain if need be, but would rather not- considering you are the Sheriff and it is your statutory duty to properly handle criminal complaints of citizens.

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 4:19 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sheriff Stolley,

I am in receipt of the records pertaining to my 4/21/25 20+ page criminal complaint. I see the 7 pages received contain the email threads between you and I, with ONE screenshot of a text message between unknown parties.

There are no documents indicating an investigation was completed regarding my 4/21/25 complaint.

Please advise why you are conflicting others' criminal complaints to outside agencies (due to professional associations), yet you are apparently ignoring my request for criminal investigation and unwilling to conflict my complaint to an outside agency?

If you are not willing to appropriately handle my criminal complaint, who should I direct this to?

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 3:40 PM, Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Emily Carey is our records technician. She can be reached at

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org

Sheriff Stolley

----- Original Message -----

From: "Marie Garrett"

Sent: 7/22/2025 3:35:11 PM

To: "Travis Stolley"

Subject: Re: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records

Travis,

For sake of clarification: on 6/13/25, I received an email from Mike Rosenthal, public records custodian, directing me to send my public records requests to Dale Anderson.

Since that date, I have been directing my public records requests to Dale Anderson. I have emails that confirm I have been sent in circles and none of my public records requests have been fulfilled since. Please let me know if you would like to view the several emails.

Specifically, who is supposed to be handling my public records requests?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 3:02 PM, Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Any records request needs to go through our records department.

Sheriff Stolley

----- Original Message -----

From: "Marie Garrett"

Sent: 7/22/2025 10:47:56 AM

To: "Travis Stolley"

Cc: "'George Blickenstaff" , "'Bill Wilson"

Subject: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records

Sheriff Stolley,

Please provide me the case number for the investigation of my 4/21/25 complaint.

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 10:27 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Subject: Re: Status of Complaint
Submitted on 4/21/25

Marie,

Thank you for following up regarding the complaint you submitted to our office on April 21, 2025. After a thorough review of the 20+ page document you provided, the Boundary County Sheriff's Office has determined that there is no evidence of criminal activity warranting further investigation or referral to an outside agency at this time. As such, we will not be pursuing this matter further.

We take all complaints seriously and have addressed your concerns in accordance with our procedures. Please be assured that our office is committed to serving all citizens of Boundary County without discrimination or retaliation.

If you have any additional information or new concerns, please feel free to contact our office directly. Regarding your Notice of Tort Claim filed on June 11, 2025, as previously advised, this matter should be directed to the Idaho Counties Risk Management Program (ICRMP) for further handling.

Sincerely,

Sheriff Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

CC: George Blickenstaff

From: Marie Garrett
<[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 7:14 AM
To: Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: George Blickenstaff
<GBlick@icrmp.org>
Subject: Re: RE: Malicious use of public records

Sheriff Stolley,

I am following up on my communications to you regarding alleged criminal activity to be investigated/ or sent to an outside agency for investigation. This is in reference to the 20+ page documents I hand delivered to your office on 4/21/25. Please advise status on my complaint.

I am copying George Blickenstaff on this email per your previous email directing me to send my complaint to ICRMP due to my Notice of Tort Claim filed 6/11/25. (Though I disagreed that ICRMP would not be the proper agency to investigate this).

As a citizen of Boundary county, State of Idaho. I do expect my concerns/ complaint be properly addressed by the governing agency, without discrimination/retaliatory actions against me.

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 15th, 2025 at 4:54 PM, Marie Garrett

< [REDACTED]
wrote:

Sheriff Stolley,

My 4/21/25 complaint outlines the criminal activities with included evidence. Do you need another copy of it?

I am not a Law enforcement officer, so it would be unreasonable to expect me to determine exactly which laws would apply in this matter. However, I have done some research of my own and see the below laws for your reference. I did provide substantial evidence to your office, which needs to be investigated. Did you read my 4/21/25 complaint or send it to any outside agency for investigation?

18-7906 (1) (a)

28-51-105

18-6710 (1) (c)

18-4801

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 15th,
2025 at 3:48 PM,
Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

What
crimes
are you
allegin
g
happen
ed?

Sheriff
Stolley

Original
|
Message -----
From:
"Marie
Garrett
"

Sent:
7/15/20
25
1:01:20
PM
To:
"Travis
Stolley"
Cc:
"Dale
Anders
on"
Subject
: RE:
Malicio
us use
of
public
records

Sheriff
Stolley:

Idaho
Counti
es Risk
manag
ement
progra
m is

not an
investig
atory
agency
. It is
your
duty as
Sheriff
to
investig
ate
crimina
l
matters
. If you
have a
conflict
of
interest
, why
are you
not
forward
ing this
to an
outside
agency
? My
compla
int
alleged
crimina
l
conduc
t.

Additio
nally, I
would
like to
point
out I
deliver
ed my
compla
int to
your
office
on
4/21/25
. I
followe
d up
with an
email
for

status
on
5/29/25
. On
6/2/25
you
respon
ded
that
you
would
be
getting
back to
me
within
the
next
few
days.
You
never
did.

I filed a
Notice
of tort
claim
on
6/11/25
, which
was
nearly
2
months
subseq
uent to
my
request
for you
to
addres
s my
concer
ns of
how
unreda
cted
juvenile
records
are
being
used to
crimina
lly
harass

families
and
juvenile
s. I do
expect
for you
to
addres
s these
concer
ns in a
timely
manner
.

I want
to
confirm
you are
telling
me that
you are
not
willing
to
investig
ate my
compla
int
deliver
ed
4/21/25
? ISP
informe
d me
they
did not
receive
my
4/21/25
20+
page
crimina
l
compla
int from
your
office.

Thank
you,

Marie
Garrett

On
Tuesda
y, July
15th,
2025 at
11:18
AM,
Travis
Stolley
<tstolley@boundarys.heriff.org>
wrote:

I
w
o
u
l
d
r
e
f
e
r
a
n
y
f
u
r
t
h
e
r
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
c
a
t
i
o
n
a
b
o

u
t
t
h
i
s
i
s
s
u
e
t
o
o
u
r
l
d
a
h
o
C
o
u
n
t
i
e
s
R
i
s
k
M
a
n
a
g
e
m
e
n
t
P
r
o
g
r
a
m
(
I
C
R
M

P
)
r
e
p
r
e
s
e
n
t
a
t
i
o
n
.
I
t
i
s
m
y
u
n
d
e
r
s
t
a
n
d
i
n
g
y
o
u
h
a
v
e
f
i
l
e
d
a
n
o
t
i
c
e

o
f
c
l
a
i
m
a
d
d
r
e
s
s
i
n
g
t
h
i
s
i
s
s
u
e
w
i
t
h
I
C
R
M
P
a
n
d
t
h
e
y
h
a
v
e
r
e
s
p
o
n
d
e
d

·
I
u
n
d
e
r
s
t
a
n
d
y
o
u
d
o
n
,
t
a
g
r
e
e
w
i
t
h
t
h
e
i
r
v
i
e
w
o
n
t
h
e
i
s
s
u
e
s
b
u
t
a
t
t

h
i
s
c
u
r
r
e
n
t
t
i
m
e
l
c
a
n
n
o
t
d
i
s
c
u
s
s
i
t
f
u
r
t
h
e
r
a
s
t
h
e
r
e
m
a
y
b
e
p
e
n
d
i
n

g
l
e
g
a
l
a
c
t
i
o
n
c
o
m
i
n
g
f
r
o
m
y
o
u
i
n
r
e
g
a
r
d
s
t
o
t
h
i
s
.

R
e
s
p
e
c
t
f
u
l
l

y
,

S
h
e
r
i
f
f
S
t
o
l
l
e
y

**F
r
o
m**
:
M
a
r
i
e
G
a
r
r
e
t
t
<
[m](#)
[a](#)
[r](#)
[i](#)
[e](#)
[g](#)
[a](#)
[r](#)
[r](#)
[e](#)
[t](#)
[t](#)
[@](#)

p
r
o
t
o
n
m
a
i
l
:
c
o
m
>
S
e
n
t
:
S
a
t
u
r
d
a
y
,
J
u
l
y
1
2
,
2
0
2
5
1
:
1
3
P
M
T
o
:
T
r
a

v
i
s
S
t
o
l
l
e
y
<
[t](#)
[s](#)
[t](#)
[o](#)
[l](#)
[l](#)
[e](#)
[y](#)
[@](#)
[b](#)
[o](#)
[u](#)
[n](#)
[d](#)
[a](#)
[r](#)
[y](#)
[s](#)
[h](#)
[e](#)
[r](#)
[i](#)
[f](#)
[f](#)
:
[o](#)
[r](#)
[g](#)
>
C
c
:
D
a
l
e
A
n
d
e
r

s
o
n
<
[d](#)
[a](#)
[n](#)
[d](#)
[e](#)
[r](#)
[s](#)
[o](#)
[n](#)
[@](#)
[b](#)
[o](#)
[u](#)
[n](#)
[d](#)
[a](#)
[r](#)
[y](#)
[s](#)
[h](#)
[e](#)
[r](#)
[i](#)
[f](#)
[f](#)
[i](#)
[o](#)
[r](#)
[g](#)
>
S
u
b
j
e
c
t
:
R
E
:
M
a
l
i
c
i
o

u
s
u
s
e
o
f
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s

S
h
e
r
i
f
f
S
t
o
l
l
e
y
:

I
a
m
f
o
l
l
o
w
i
n
g
u
p
o
n

m
y
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
c
a
t
i
o
n
t
o
y
o
u
f
r
o
m
5
/
2
9
/
2
5
.

O
n
6
/
2
/
2
5
,
y
o
u
i
n
d
i
c
a
t
e
d
y

o
u
w
o
u
l
d
b
e
g
e
t
t
i
n
g
b
a
c
k
w
i
t
h
m
e
i
n
a
f
e
w
d
a
y
s
r
e
g
a
r
d
i
n
g
t
h
i
s
m
a
t
t
e
r
.
T
o

d
a
t
e
,
I
h
a
v
e
r
e
c
e
i
v
e
d
n
o
r
e
p
l
y
t
o
t
h
i
s
i
m
p
o
r
t
a
n
t
m
a
t
t
e
r
.

I
w
o
u
l
d

a
p
p
r
e
c
i
a
t
e
a
r
e
s
p
o
n
s
e
f
r
o
m
y
o
u
,
c
o
n
c
e
r
n
i
n
g
t
h
e
n
e
x
t
s
t
e
p
s
.

T
h
a
n

k
y
o
u
,

M
a
r
i
e
G
a
r
r
e
t
t

O
n
M
o
n
d
a
y
,
J
u
n
e
2
n
d
,
2
0
2
5
a
t
1
1
:
3
3
A
M
,
T
r

a
v
i
s
s
t
o
l
l
e
y
<
[t
s
t
o
l
l
e
y
@
b
o
u
n
d
a
r
y
s
h
e
r
i
f
f
:
o
r
g
>](#)
w
r
o
t
e
:

M
a
r
i
e
,

I
h
a
v
e
r
e
c
e
i
v
e
d
y
o
u
r
e
m
a
i
l
;
I
w
i
l
l
g
e
t
b
a
c
k
t
o
y
o
u
w
i
t
h
a
n
a
n
s
w
e
r
a
b
o

u
t
n
e
x
t
s
t
e
p
s
w
i
t
h
i
n
t
h
e
n
e
x
t
c
o
u
p
l
e
o
f
d
a
y
s
.
I
,
v
e
a
t
t
a
c
h
e
d
C
h
i
e
f
D

e
p
u
t
y
A
n
d
e
r
s
o
n
s
o
h
e
c
a
n
b
e
i
n
c
l
u
d
e
d
i
n
f
u
t
u
r
e
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
c
a
t
i
o
n
s
.

S
h
e
r
i
f
f
S
t
o
l
l
e
y

**F
r
o
m
:** M
a
r
i
e
G
a
r
r
e
t
t

[REDACTED]

[t](#)
[REDACTED]
>
S
e
n
t
:
T
h
u
r
s
d
a
y
,
M
a
y
2
9
,
2
0
2
5
1
1
:
2
3
A
M
T
o
:
[t](#)
[s](#)
[t](#)
[o](#)
[l](#)
[l](#)

e
y
@
b
o
u
n
d
a
r
y
s
h
e
r
i
f
f
:
o
r
g
S
u
b
j
e
c
t
:
M
a
l
i
c
i
o
u
s
u
s
e
o
f
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e

c
o
r
d
s

S
h
e
r
i
f
f
S
t
o
l
l
e
y
,

I
a
m
w
r
i
t
i
n
g
t
o
f
o
l
l
o
w
u
p
i
n
r
e
g
a
r
d
t
o

m
y
c
o
n
c
e
r
n
s
o
f
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
r
e
q
u
e
s
t
s
o
f
n
o
n
p
a
r
t
y
c
i
t
i
z
e
n
s
o
b
t
a
i
n
i

ng documents regarding juvenile files and using / distributing the publi

c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
a
s
a
m
e
a
n
s
o
f
h
a
r
a
s
s
i
n
g
f
a
m
i
l
i
e
s
/
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
s
.
O
n
A
p
r
i
l
2
1
,
2

0
2
5
,
l
h
a
n
d
d
e
l
i
v
e
r
e
d
a
p
a
c
k
e
t
c
o
n
t
a
i
n
i
n
g
2
0
+
p
a
g
e
s
i
n
c
l
u
d
i
n
g
a
l
e
t
t
e

r
a
d
d
r
e
s
s
e
d
t
o
y
o
u
a
n
d
U
n
d
e
r
s
h
e
r
i
f
f
Z
i
m
m
e
r
m
a
n
a
n
d
s
u
p
p
o
r
t
i
n
g
d
o
c
u
m
e

nts
t
s
·
l
d
e
t
a
i
l
e
d
m
y
f
a
m
i
l
y
'
s
e
x
p
e
r
i
e
n
c
e
o
f
h
o
w
t
h
e
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
o
b
t
a

i
n
e
d
a
r
e
b
e
i
n
g
u
s
e
d
a
s
a
m
e
a
n
s
o
f
h
a
r
m
b
y
3
k
n
o
w
n
a
c
t
o
r
s
.
W
e
k
n
o
w
t
h
e
s
p
i
r

i
t
o
f
t
h
e
P
u
b
l
i
c
R
e
c
o
r
d
s
A
c
t
i
s
i
n
t
e
n
d
e
d
f
o
r
t
r
a
n
s
p
a
r
e
n
c
y
i
n
G
o
v
e
r
n
m
e

nt
t
,
n
o
t
a
s
a
m
e
a
n
s
t
o
h
a
r
v
e
s
t
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
t
o
h
a
r
a
s
s
,
h
a
r
m
a
n
d
s
m
e
a
r
f
a
m
i
l
i
e

s
a
n
d
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
s
,
w
h
i
l
e
i
n
t
e
r
t
w
i
n
i
n
g
p
u
b
l
i
c
o
f
f
i
c
i
a
l
s
,
t
i
t
l
e
s
. T
h
e
p

u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
t
h
a
t
a
r
e
b
e
i
n
g
s
h
a
r
e
d
a
l
l
o
v
e
r
t
h
e
i
n
t
e
r
n
e
t
a
n
d
o
u
r
s
m
a
l

I
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
t
y
i
s
a
r
e
s
u
l
t
o
f
3
a
c
t
o
r
s
c
o
l
l
a
b
o
r
a
t
i
n
g
t
o
h
a
r
m
m
y
e
n
t
i
r
e
f
a
m

i
l
y
·
l
t
i
s
i
n
v
o
l
v
i
n
g
m
y
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
c
h
i
l
d
r
e
n
·
M
y
s
e
l
f
a
n
d
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
c
h
i
l
d

r
e
n
d
o
n
o
t
h
a
v
e
F
i
r
s
t
A
m
e
n
d
m
e
n
t
p
r
o
t
e
c
t
i
o
n
s
.
I
h
o
l
d
s
t
r
o
n
g
c
o
n
v
i
c
t
i

o
n
t
h
e
s
e
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
s
h
o
u
l
d
h
a
d
n
e
v
e
r
b
e
e
n
r
e
l
e
a
s
e
d
i
n
t
h
e
f
i
r
s
t
p
l
a
c
e
,
l
e

t
a
l
o
n
e
w
i
t
h
m
y
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
n
a
m
e
s
u
n
r
e
d
a
c
t
e
d
.
A
s
r
e
s
u
l
t
o
f
o
u
r
s
i
t
u
a
t
i
o
n

b
e
i
n
g
d
i
s
r
e
g
a
r
d
e
d
a
n
d
t
h
e
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
b
e
i
n
g
p
r
o
v
i
d
e
d
a
n
d
u
n
r
e
d
a
c
t
e
d
,
m
y

f
a
m
i
l
y
a
n
d
c
h
i
l
d
r
e
n
a
r
e
b
e
a
r
i
n
g
t
h
e
b
u
r
d
e
n
o
f
t
h
i
s
p
u
b
l
i
c
h
a
r
a
s
s
m
e
n
t

/libel . . . issued a Notice of Cease and Desist to Adrienne Norrison Apr

i
l
1
6
,
w
h
i
c
h
w
a
s
a
c
k
n
o
w
l
e
d
g
e
d
b
y
h
e
r
.
I
t
s
e
e
m
s
t
o
m
e
t
h
e
a
c
t
o
r
s
i
n
v
o
l
v
e

d
a
r
e
c
o
m
m
i
t
t
i
n
g
t
h
e
c
r
i
m
e
o
f
l
i
b
e
l
a
n
d
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
c
a
t
i
o
n
s
h
a
r
a
s
s
m
e
n
t
. C

o
n
s
i
d
e
r
i
n
g
t
h
i
s
i
s
c
o
n
t
i
n
u
i
n
g
,
a
n
d
w
i
l
l
c
o
n
t
i
n
u
e
u
n
t
i
l
s
o
m
e
t
h
i
n
g
i
s

d
o
n
e
,
c
a
n
y
o
u
p
l
e
a
s
e
a
d
v
i
s
e
w
h
a
t
c
a
n
b
e
d
o
n
e
a
b
o
u
t
t
h
i
s
?

T
h
a
n
k
y
o
u

,
M
a
r
i
e
G
a
r
r
e
t
t

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 4:36 PM
To: Travis Stolley
Cc: Caleb Watts; 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: Re: Request's for Investigation

Thank you.

Can you advise of which agency(s) will be handling the investigation?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 1:45 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I have received your investigation requests.

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 5:41 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Fw: Re: Demand for Rescind of Records notice/Notice of Cease and Desist to be issued by BCSO

FYI

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 8:53 AM
Subject: Re: Demand for Rescind of Records notice/Notice of Cease and Desist to be issued by BCSO
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
CC: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Hi,

I am following up on the below email sent to you on July 23, 2025. To date, I have not received a reply.

On Wednesday, July 23rd, 2025 at 11:20 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sheriff Stolley,

This email serves as a Demand for Boundary County Sheriff's Office Officials to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to the known individuals in possession of UNREDACTED JUVENILE PROTECTED RECORDS. Said records contain unredacted identifying information of a Juvenile, protected health information and sealed court record information of a Juvenile.

Boundary County Sheriff's office released the unredacted above mentioned records to a non party requester on or about July 9, 2024. Subsequent to that action of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office, said records have been publicly disseminated on the internet with intent to harm, harass and permanently damage individual(s). The mentioned records contain protected information, which is not public information. It is now the duty of Boundary County Sheriff's Office to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and a Notice of Cease and Desist to the below known individuals who are known to possess and disseminate the protected records with unlawful intent:

1. Mallorie Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
2. Joshua Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
3. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman and all other pseudonyms
4. Jamisha Nevarez aka Misha Davis aka Jamisha Davis aka Savage Grace aka AriseZionDaughter and all other pseudonyms

I request that violation of the Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist result in the individual(s) criminally liable to the full extent of the Law.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 5:53 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: Link to Video

Marie,

The linked video has nothing to do with the medical information you were concerned with initially, which is what I was listening for. I called Adreinne this afternoon and asked for the portion of the video where you say the first name of your two children to be redacted and at this time, I do not believe it will happen.

My office is declining to further investigate this matter as criminal as I believe all further recourse would be civil in nature between you and the listed parties. I understand you do not agree with that assessment but after talking with council and considering the circumstances that is the decision I have made.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Re: Link to Video

In the link you provided to me, which Adrienne references that she has retained....at the 4:26 and 4:28 mark, my juvenile children's names were unredacted. Please review.

Additionally, has Adrienne turned over the complete protected record, which discloses further protected content, which should had never been disseminated to the public in the first place?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 2:03 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Here is the link to the video Adrienne references that she has retained. It doesn't contain any protected information.

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 5:54 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Fw: RE: Question with a request for reply

FYI

Particularly the 5/23/25 @ 2:10p email from Mike Rosenthal informing me he did not have the ability to redact records prior to release of records.

I am concerned of the length of time/what extent of unredacted privacy protected records have been released. Negligence of public records handling should spur a third party agency investigation. It is evident there are other likely victims of a breach.

Mike Rosenthal and other Public Records custodians should be investigated. Routinely not applying IPRA laws is NOT acting in good faith.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, June 3rd, 2025 at 11:42 AM
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Sheriff Stolley,

Can you please explain where you are gathering that I am upset when sensitive information is prevented from being released? This is not the issue. If you would re-read my emails, I am clear in that I am concerned that for me to obtain certain records that cannot be redacted, I am required to appear at the Sheriff's office to listen to the records. However, this same protocol WAS NOT applied to the release of my own family/juvenile's records that were released and unredacted. That is the point here. This is why I am asking the question of what is the protocol in determining the application of Idaho Statutes concerning Public requests laws, to maintain the balance of transparency in Government while protecting personal privacy?

It is not that I "feel" something different should had happened regarding the records concerning my family and child. I am pointing out the apparent privacy standards of Idaho law, not being applied to my family/children's records. There must be consistency! What is the purpose of Idaho Statute regarding personal privacy, if it is not going to actually be applied when it needs to be applied? (Especially when it involves personal information and names of children)

Can you please provide me your thoughts of a potential remedy to this situation we are in? I respect that you are committed to improvement of future requests. I feel there is a great need for this to be addressed, based on this experience. However, there is still the damage done/continuing to occur to my own family and juvenile, as result of the pre-informed fallout of the release of records.

My letter dated 4/21/25/ documents provided to you and Undersheriff Zimmerman regarding the malicious use of these records at hand does piggyback off of this release of records. However, really it is a separate matter and I would still appreciate a response in what the course of action will be regarding that separate issue.

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, June 3rd, 2025 at 11:08 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

As you know every records request is different and requires us to make the best decision we can on a case per case basis. I recognize your where your frustrations are. Going forward we will continue to work with the information given and apply the law as we understand it along with the advice of our legal counsel. You are upset information was released you believe should not have, yet when our office makes a decision to prevent sensitive information from being released you have a problem with it.

I understand you feel something different should have happened in your case initially, our focus is on future requests and how we handle them to make sure we are conducting business professionally. I am committed to working on improving how we process requests by hiring additional personnel, adding training and improving technology to continue to address the needs of our entire records request process.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:19 AM
To: Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: tstolley@boundarysheriff.org; Danderson@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

Yes, you are requiring me to listen to the call in person (of someone accusing me of brandishing a gun on my property), due to your inability to redact personal information. You have stated you cannot release the record to me due to the inability to redact certain content. For your information- my harassing Neighbor, Mallorie Evoniuk was involved in that claim of me as well.

The glaring issue here is that you released the UNREDACTED audio/dashcam records of MY OWN JUVENILE CHILDREN to my neighbor- Mallorie Evoniuk, who was already evidenced as engaging in harassment on my family/children. Since you did not have the

ability to redact content (as you have stated), then why would you release the record and not require the requester to appear to listen in person? (as you are requiring in other instances).

I am finding issue with the lack of consistency of how you are applying the laws that protect individuals' privacy rights. My family and juvenile children deserve the very same application of protections that you provide to others. As a direct result of the failure on your part to apply these same protections to my family/children-personal information of sensitive content and my juvenile children's names have been publicly disseminated, with threats of blackmail of releasing the entire video of the officer inside my home (after I issued a notice of cease and desist) As I'm sure you are aware, the entire video would include sensitive personal information regarding my teenage son's struggles and be even more so publicly humiliating to my child. (Please note that I had already informed you on 7/8/24 and 7/9/24 this was likely to be the intent of the records request- before you even released them)

The gravity of serious nature of this is greater than I believe you realize. Do you realize the rate of teen suicide? Do you realize the irreparable harm and damages that are done when you do not apply the Idaho codes that are set forth to protect against unwarranted invasions of personal privacy? Do you understand how this affects juveniles?

You still have failed to answer my inquiry of when would you apply Idaho code 74-124(c) to a public records request? I would like a clear answer to this question. In your role of records custodian, I do believe it would be reasonable for you to have the ability to communicate under what circumstances you would apply this statute to a records request.

Marie Garrett

On Monday, June 2nd, 2025 at 11:39 AM, Mike Rosenthal
<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie – I was in interviews Thursday and half of Friday for the new Records Custodian and then off work, so did not have a chance to respond to your email. I am so sorry for the misunderstanding – it was never a “requirement” but only an invitation to timely allow you to review and record the portion of the record you did not have yet and as to which I was told we did not have the technology to make a certain redaction. I am open to suggestions as to meeting at some other location where I could play the call and you could record the parts that do not require redaction, and I am entirely open to any other suggestions you might have or to release the record to someone acting on your behalf as long as I can get the call to you as previously discussed. I could also have one of our deputies present in my office and record the call (while I make the redaction) and then get you a copy of that body cam footage. Please let me know what would work best for

you given the above. The new Records Custodian will begin with our agency in about two weeks, and I hope her background, training, and the changes in policy envisioned by Sheriff Stolley will better meet your expectations make future interactions with the Sheriff's Office more positive for you.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnors Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by

telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2025 4:32 PM
To: Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

Why is it that you require public records requesters to appear at the Sheriff's office to listen to audio which cannot be redacted, However, the records containing my family's Juvenile children names (which you said you did not have the ability to redact), were released to the requesters directly, unredacted? (Now shared all over the internet). What method of determination of policy was applied in releasing my juvenile children's names unredacted directly to the requester?

This is a large concern of mine. This is why I am asking the questions regarding your policy and procedure for clarification. Based on these discrepancies, it is evident there is no consistency in what you are allowing to be released to requesters. This is a serious concern, particularly when it is concerning juveniles and public records being used to harass and invade the privacy of families. The intent of the Public Records act is not to allow privacy breaches to be used to carry out harassment on families and children.

You stated you did not know the records requested would be used to carry out harassment on my family and juvenile children. If you will recall, I had informed you on 7/8/24, that on Sunday 7/7/24(before the 7/5/24 records were even requested), "Boundary County Watchman" was using knowledge of the 7/5/24 call to harass publicly. I had shared with you the concern that the only person that would had known about the call of Law Enforcement to our home was none other than Mallorie Evoniuk (The neighbor who made the falsely narrated claim to request LE to our home/ public records requester.) On 7/9/24, you called me to inform me that Mallorie Evoniuk submitted a request for all the 7/5/24 records. I explained to you in great detail of the detriment to my family if the records would be released, and reiterated the details of harassment. It was in that conversation, you assured me that juvenile names would be redacted if released. What further proof did you need, beyond myself explaining to you the harassment mission and public smear campaign? Considering that non party Mallorie Evoniuk was the perpetrator of the false claim, and requester of records on 7/9/25(which you provided her all, including unredacted records containing juvenile names.), What further insight did you need to be provided in order to apply 74-124 (1)(c); 74-102(16)(a)(i)(ii)? Can you provide me an example of when you would in fact apply these statutes?

Again, what is your remedy for this current situation and future situations of the like?

Marie Garrett

On Friday, May 23rd, 2025 at 2:10 PM, Mike Rosenthal
<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie – I am sorry you are unable to meet in person anytime soon. In lieu of a meeting you are insisting on having various questions answered. Obviously, we are now far, far beyond my normal duties in simply processing a records request. I have many times now attempted since last year to provide explanations as to how records requests are handled. I have clearly failed to provide any helpful clarification in that regard. I will again attempt below to provide some answer to your two questions, but even those answers probably suggest that it would be best if your questions and concerns be expressed to Sgt. Valenzuela, Chief Deputy Dale Andersen, or Sheriff Stolley, especially as it relates to how the processing of records requests will be handled in the future. First, you asked “[w]hat is your plan for remedying the current situation?” That question highlights an apparent complete misunderstanding between the two of us. I will assume you are going back to the release of records concerning our agency report 01-2024-00375. As you are aware, the processing of records requests is but a fraction of my assigned duties. As I recall, you had filed a records request for the records in that case, both written and 911 call, and also subsequently inquired about sealing the record. I believe I was able within three days to get the records to you, which centered around a 911 call made by your neighbor in which you son’s name was provided to the dispatcher by the neighbor. I attempted to process your records request according to what our agency practice would have been at the time, and given the limitations of our agency equipment on redacting materials from audio, video, or other records. Shortly thereafter I received a records request from the 911 caller (the neighbor) for all records of any kind for the incident. At the time I did not have available the technology or equipment to redact material from a video, and there was not then and we still do not have to this date, according to our IT person, the technology to delete sections from the recording of a 911 or other

phone call. The case had been closed, there was no active investigation, and the record was ultimately released to the same person that had previously provided the 911 dispatcher with your son's name. In applying the Idaho Public Records Act to release of the records, you have focused on Section 74-124(c). The sum total of Title 74, Chapter 1, up to that code section is that law enforcement investigatory reports are to be released, whether active or inactive, with certain limited exceptions, including the issue of whether release of a record or information in that record would "constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." As you have pointed out, there is guidance on what that concept means in Section 74-101(16)(a)(i), specifically: "Disclosure of information used to identify, locate, or harass a juvenile." At the time the records were released I did not know that your neighbor or anyone else intended to release the records into any public forum with the intent to smear your family name or otherwise "weaponize" the record to damage your family or family's reputation (i.e., harass a juvenile). The disclosure of the records to the neighbor that placed the 911 call, which on its face (the call) appeared to be motivated by a concern for a possible "domestic" involving harm to your son, whom she named in the call, at the time and with the information available to me did not lead me to believe that the information would be used to harass a juvenile. The requestor already had your son's name so the concern about identifying or locating a juvenile was not an issue. I did my best at the time, under the then existing circumstances, to process the records requests. Your emails to me in the days following your requests inquired whether the public had access to certain records and whether the record concerning your son could be sealed. I attempted to address those issues. Also, I do not currently have nor have I since last year had any access to the dash cam footage from Deputy Wion. That would have been recorded by him on a disc at the time and otherwise I assume remains in his possession. As I recall, I reviewed that video footage on my office computer and could barely discern what was being said by Deputy Wion, your husband, and yourself as the three of you stood a considerable distance from Deputy Wion's patrol dash cam. Nothing I heard at the time alerted me that the record was not subject to release, or that release of the record to the neighbor placing the 911 call would result in its being used by the neighbor or third parties to harass your family. You have made clear your conviction that the neighbor actually falsely narrated the call. I fully accept your convictions

in that regard and your concerns about what has been done by others with the records since release. I can only go back and explain that I did not thru our agency have the means at the time to redact any portions of the video if such redaction appeared warranted. I have not viewed the video since. I do not access Facebook or any other similar social media site under any circumstance for any reason. I have to this date never viewed, listened to, nor sought to discover anything released by entities such as 9B News, Boundary Watchman, and so on. I am sincerely sorry that third parties chose to place any portion of the records, altered, original, or clipped, into the public forum to harm your family. Records requests have a specific time frame by which a response is required. The overriding public policy is to favor release of agency records. At the time the records were released in this case I attempted in good faith to respond to the requests presented to me for processing within the scope of the training, experience, equipment, and information available to me. As previously explained, our agency policy for records request processing is continually undergoing review and change. Every single records request that is processed, every single time our agency seeks guidance from our civil counsel, every time the Prosecutor's Office issues directives concerning the release of investigatory records, the pool of resources that guide the decision regarding the processing of the next records request expands. We have a new Sheriff since the processing of the requests in this case. He is working on a significant overhaul of our agency policy guidelines. The volume of records requests and the caustic nature by which some parties have used records to inflame public passion on social media caused the Prosecutor's Office to change their requirements as to when our agency releases certain records and has resulted in a decision by the Sheriff to employ a full time Records Custodian under new, future policy guidelines. Again, I assume by "remedying the current situation" you are continuing to express your frustration regarding release of the above records, and by inquiring about what the plan is "to prevent this from happening in again in the future," you are asserting the same concern based on your view that there should have been no question Idaho Code 74-124(c) and 74-101(16)(a)(i) would have and should have led to a clear cut determination at the time that no record be released, and that even if any record was released to you as parent (i.e., also therefor outside of our agency's control) that record should have been completely redacted as to information concerning your son. I can only reiterate that at the time I acted to the best of my ability with what was available to me to

handle the records requests as expediently as possible with the information, guidelines, and interpretations available. I recommend contacting Sheriff Stolley directly for more information on his plans for the processing of future records requests, be they from you, your family, or any other source.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under

applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2025 10:12 AM
To: Mike Rosenthal <bcscivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

I do not have the availability to meet with you anytime soon. In the meantime, I would like my questions answered.

What is your plan for remedying the current situation? What is the plan to prevent this from happening again in the future? Whether it be my family or someone else?

On Friday, May 23rd, 2025 at 9:27 AM, Mike Rosenthal <bcscivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

No additional records request is necessary. I can explain the process, to the extent possible, when we meet. I have nothing *in writing* beyond what you already have with Idaho Code. All other sources would be the sum total of prior interactions with and guidance of the PA's office or civil counsel on other records requests, together with the decisions and judgment calls made in the last sixteen years processing other requests. That material obviously contains writings, but I assume that is not the kind of

documentation/resource/guide you are describing.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's
office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnors Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law

including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett

<[REDACTED]>

Sent: Friday, May 23, 2025 8:08 AM

To: Mike Rosenthal

<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

Can you provide me with the policy/procedure information that you used in July 2024, to determine the release of records pertaining to juveniles? If this is something that needs to be requested through formal public request or another method, please let me know.

I am requesting clarification in how IC 74-124 (1)(c) or any other relevant statutes/juvenile safeguards does not apply to the records request regarding my juvenile son?

STATE OF
 TRANSPARENT AND ETHICAL GOVERNMENT
 CHAPTER 3
 PUBLIC RECORDS ACT

§4-124. EXEMPTIONS FROM DISCLOSURE - CONFIDENTIALITY. Notwithstanding any statute or rule of court to the contrary, nothing in this chapter nor chapter 110, article 32, laws 2004, shall be construed to require disclosure of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes by a law enforcement agency; but such exemption for disclosure applies only to the extent that the production of such records would:

- (a) Interfere with enforcement proceedings;
- (b) Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication;
- (c) Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
- (d) Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by a confidential source;
- (e) Disclose investigative techniques and procedures;
- (f) Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel; or
- (g) Disclose the identity of a reporting party maintained by any law enforcement entity or the department's health and welfare relating to the investigation of child abuse, neglect or abandonment unless the reporting party consents in writing to the disclosure or the disclosure of the reporting party's identity is required in any administrative or judicial proceeding.

Per our lengthy phone conversation prior to you releasing the records, I informed you the extent of the harassment my family has been experiencing. I also informed you 1) Mallorie Evoniuk, who made the public records request is also the individual who falsely narrated the call to dispatch, requesting LEO come to our home. 2) I detailed to you of how Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman" had already used the event in concert with Mallorie Evoniuk to smear my family and Husband's professional title on the internet on 7/7/24- on a Sunday prior to the records being released. 3) I spoke to you regarding my concerns of this being an invasion of privacy, and particularly since it was involving our juvenile child, the records release needed to be reviewed thoroughly.

In the Law Enforcement audio, I spoke of how it was a fabricated call as a means of harassment and I elaborated on the harassment we have endured from the same actor and their affiliates.

Can you please clarify why the records released contained my juvenile children's names unredacted from the audio call? What criteria was used in decision that it was not necessary to redact my children's

names from the audio/ or any other records that were provided, prior to sending the records to the requesters?

Is there a measure Boundary County Sheriff's office can take to rescind the records and/or cease and desist the actors who subsequently shared the records to the internet to carry out harassment on my family by way of public records requests?

I would appreciate clarification to my above questions.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Thursday, May 22nd, 2025 at 10:12 AM, Mike Rosenthal
<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie – starting with the last issue first, I was approached this morning by Detective Watts also inquiring about when I released the Post Office incident investigation report to Adrienne Norris. I informed him and reviewed with him consistent, repeated emails by me to you, Misha Nevarez, and Adrienne Norris, and possibly others (we did not check every email to every person) reflecting the exact same position from the first time I received any request for the record – **that the case had been**

forwarded to other agencies for investigation and was unavailable for release.

I have no idea how Adrienne Norris obtained a copy of the report. In addition to my paper trail of interim denials of the report to all requesting parties, I have no personal recollection whatsoever of the report being released by our office.

As to the other concerns, please let me know what date and time would best meet your needs. I hope at that meeting to not only play the third recording of the call for Event 22732, but also hope at the same meeting to address your perceptions that different standards or consideration is being applied/given to different individuals on records request responses. That is absolutely not the case. Please understand that every single records request is unique as to the record or records being sought, how much or how little and in what form the record should be produced, and many are very complex. The attempt to respond to any request is filtered by a single person through the dictates of the Idaho Public Records Act, agency policy, and often the advice and/or dictates of the handling

detective or deputy, the Sheriff, the Prosecuting Attorney, or our agency civil counsel. Simply looking at Idaho Code Section 74-124(1) demonstrates immediately the subjective nature of the decisions that are made as to whether release of a record would: "Interfere with enforcement proceedings;" or "Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication;" or "Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;" or "Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source;" or "Disclose investigative techniques and procedures;" or "Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel; . . . " What amounts to "interference with

enforcement proceedings?" Twice in my time with the Sheriff's Office has the interpretation of that criteria flipflopped at the direction of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office. The same applies to what, whether, or how much the release of a record would "deprive a person of a right to an impartial adjudication." When does release of a record or a part thereof sufficiently "disclose investigative techniques and procedures or endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel" to warrant the denial of a records request or do certain redactions ? The balancing of Idaho's crystal clear public policy favoring transparency and the release of records on the one hand against limited and very open-ended restrictions on release under Idaho Code is not as easy as some might believe. I have many times differed in opinion with the current and former two Sheriffs, or Undersheriffs, or Prosecuting Attorney, and especially the current and prior attorneys representing our agency on whether certain records, or parts thereof, should

or should not be released. My position has always been on the more restrictive side of not releasing material. I know that this will never satisfy your clear and understandable position that as to records concerning your son, none tending in any way to identify him should ever have been released to anyone but you or your husband, and even then if the same standards are applied across the board regardless of the requesting party (to assure no protected information is "out there") I would also have redacted any identifying information about your son from the records released to you or your husband. Please let me know when a good time would be to meet. I would suggest some time in the afternoon to allow for sufficient time to play/record the 911 call and to discuss release of your son's information, or the release of any other records and/or responses to records requests.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary
County Sheriff's
office

6438 Kootenai
St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry,
Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151
ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



**CONFIDENTIALITY
NOTICE: This e-mail is
intended only for the
personal and
confidential use of the
individual(s) named as
recipients (or the
employee or agent
responsible to deliver it
to the intended
recipient) and is
covered by the
Electronic
Communications**

Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett
<mariegarrett@protonmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2025 9:35 PM
To: Mike Rosenthal
<bcsocivil@boundarysherriff.org>
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

In reference to my questions/concerns pertaining to Idaho statutes pertaining to public records and the release of records containing my juvenile children's names unredacted, I do request a clear answer. I am happy to

sit down with you to discuss my questions pertaining to the release of my juvenile children's records and unwarranted invasion of privacy issues which are being weaponized against my family through public records requests.

When I was previously inquiring on a meeting date with you, It was understood by me this meeting was to listen to a 911 dispatch call of allegations against me from 2022 (which I just recently learned about through public record online blast harassment by Adrienne Norris). This was a meeting for me to listen to said 911 call because you stated you could not release the records to me due to inability to redact protected information. I am concerned with inconsistencies due to the fact my juvenile child's records were released (unredacted) with no issue, to a known harasser (subsequent to my husband and I both expressing concern of privacy issues/harassment by said individual and affiliates). However, I am unable to be provided a copy of a 911 dispatch call of allegations against me due to protection of privacy to an individual. Why was this same method not applied to the release

of unredacted records concerning my juvenile child? This inconsistency is one reason I would like clarification on procedure of release of records pertaining to juveniles and in instances of unwarranted invasion of privacy.

In reference to my records request dated 3/12/25, a copy of those records has apparently been provided to Adrienne Norris/or her affiliates. Adrienne Norris has publicly posted snippets of the investigation documents online on her page "Boundary County Watchman". If others were able to obtain the same documents I am requesting, why am I being denied those same documents?

I understand changes within the Sheriff's office. However if one citizen has the ability to obtain the exact documents I formally requested (2+ months ago), then I should have the same ability. As is if one person is entitled to expectation of privacy per Idaho statute, then my juvenile children and family expect the same applicable consideration.

On Wednesday, May
21st, 2025 at 3:45 PM,
Mike Rosenthal
<bcscivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie –
please
accept
this
email in
response
to
both of
your
email
inquiries
dated
today:
(1) one
regarding
your
concerns
for
future
agency
responses
relative
to
records
requests
concerning
your
family,
and (2)
one
concerning
a
prior
records
request
involving
records
relating
to
Misha
Nevarez/
Naumann.

First –
Per
your
email
of 5/6
inquirin
g about
meetin
g
“Thursd
ay
afterno
on” and
my
reply of
5/7
where,
If I
recall
correctl
y, I
indicate
d that
Thursd
ay
would
work
fine but
to let
me
know
the
time, it
was my
intent
upon
meetin
g to
fully
address
your all
of your
questio
ns
regardi
ng
number
(1)
above. I
would
still like
to meet

to
discuss
that
issue as
the
answer
has also
change
d with
the
intende
d
interview
wing
next
week of
multipl
e
candida
tes for
a new
full-
time
agency
Records
Custodi
an. I am
comple
tely
inundat
ed with
records
request
s and
their
process
ing and
comple
tion
will, as
you can
imagine
,
change
with a
new
person
handlin
g those
request
s. At
some

level,
that is
simply
inevitable.
What
you are
looking
for is a
guarantee
as to
policy,
procedure,
and
implementation
assuring
compliance
with
Idaho
Code
for the
purpose of
protecting the
privacy
interests of
your
family.
All I am
able to
do in
meeting to
discuss
this
issue is
explain
our
current
process
, hope
to
answer
questions

about how the previous responses were handled, and what plans are for the handling of records requests in the future. Sheriff Stolley is diligently working with multiple agency staff to implement a new agency policy covering every department and aspect of the Sheriff's Office, including the processing of records requests. I do

not
know
what
that
policy
will
provide
, either
general
ly or as
to any
specific
require
ment.
There
are also
expecte
d
agency
comma
nd staff
change
s by the
end of
this
week,
to
include
aspects
of my
supervi
sion.
With
those
change
s I
simply
cannot
state
with
any
certaint
y
“what”
the
future
looks
like
with
respect
to the
handlin

g of
your
records
request
s or
those
of any
other
person.
An
exampl
e of this
dovetai
ls into a
status
update
on
number
(2)
above. I
have
multipl
e
pendin
g
records
request
s from
at least
six (6)
differen
t
parties,
yourself
f
include
d, some
of
which I
have
had for
weeks
if not
months
– which
request
s at
least in
part
overlap
each
other

as to
the
named
parties
and the
records
being
request
ed. An
exampl
e would
be
records
request
s
involvin
g Misha
Nevare
z. First I
was
told
reports
had
been
sent to
the PA
for
review.
Then I
was
told
that
due to
a
conflict
of
interest
the
reports
were
sent to
the
PA's
office
of two
other
countie
s for
review.
In
trying
to

follow
up with
as to
those
reports,
as well
as
others,
I was
told to
check
with
the
Sheriff
as the
local
PA's
office
was not
being
kept in
the
loop as
to the
chargin
g
decisio
ns of
the
out-of-
county
PAs. In
checkin
g with
the
Sheriff,
I was
informe
d that
an
"outsid
e
agency"
investig
ation
was
being
conduc
ted
involvin
g
several

of the
named
individu
als
coincid
entally
involve
d in the
overlap
ping
records
request
s and
that
“nothin
g is
being
release
d at this
time”
until
that/th
ose
investig
ation
is/are
comple
te. As a
Records
Custodi
an
under
those
circums
tances I
simply
cannot
give
you
much
more
informa
tion at
this
time
becaus
e I am
not
being
given
more
than

what I
have
told
you. I
would
again
invite
you to
meet in
person
so that
I can
perhap
s
address
your
specific
concern
s one
by one
with
whatev
er
informa
tion is
availabl
e to
me.
You are
more
than
welcom
e to
record
our
meetin
g if that
helps in
any
manner
. I am
currentl
y going
off shift
but
should
be back
in the
office
tomorr
ow

mornin
g.

Mike
Rosen
thal

Civil
Deput
y

Boun
dary
Count

y
Sheri
ff's
office

6438
Koote
nai
St.

P.O.
Box
127

Bonn
ers
Ferry,
Idaho
8380
5

(208)
267-
3151
ext.
205

bcso@vil@boundarysherriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE
: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to

deliver
it to
the
intende
d
recipie
nt) and
is
covere
d by
the
Electro
nic
Commu
nicatio
ns
Privacy
Act, 18
U.S.C.
§§
2510-
2521. It
may
contain
inform
ation
that is
privileg
ed,
confide
ntial
and/or
protect
ed
from
disclos
ure
under
applica
ble law
includi
ng, but
not
limited
to, the
attorne
y client
privileg
e
and/or
work
product

doctrin
e. If
you are
not the
intende
d
recipie
nt of
this
transmi
ssion,
please
notify
the
sender
immedi
ately
by
telepho
ne. Do
not
deliver,
distribu
te or
copy
this
transmi
ssion,
disclos
e its
content
s or
take
any
action
in
relianc
e on
the
inform
ation it
contain
s.

From:
Marie
Garrett
<marie.garrett@proto>

[nmail.com](mailto:bcrosoci@boheriff.org)>

Sent:

Wednesday,
May
21,
2025
12:01
PM

To:

Mike
Rosenthal
<bcrosoci@boheriff.org>

Subject

:
Question with a
request
for
reply

Hello
Mike,

I am
resending
this
question
which
I asked
via
email
to you
on
5/6/25.
I never
received
a
reply.
Considering
this is
involving
my
juvenile
child, I
feel it

needs
to be
addres
sed
and I
request
an
answer
to my
questio
n/conc
erns:

In the
future
how
can I
be
ensure
d
that Ida
ho
code
section
s 74-
101
(16)(a)
(i); 74-
101(16
) (a)(ii);
74-
124(c)
will be
applied
prior to
the
releas
e of
my
minor
child's
record
s? I
am
concer
ned
with
the
unwarr
anted
invasio
n of
privacy
of my

minor
childre
n as a
means
of
harass
ment.
This is
a
concer
n that I
explicit
ly
expres
sed to
you in
July
2024,
prior to
the
record
s being
releas
ed to
my
neighb
or,
Mallori
e
Evoniu
k.
Subse
quent
to you
providi
ng her
the
very
record
s and
(unred
acted
juvenil
e
NAME
S), she
shared
them
with
"Bound
ary
County
Watch
man"
aka
Adrien

ne
Norris
and
Misha
Nevare
z-
Davis
who in
turn
have
spread
the
videos
far and
wide
across
the
interne
t. My
minor
childre
n's
names
and
my
son's
person
al
situatio
n is
being
weapo
nized
as a
metho
d of
harass
ment,
and is
deeply
affectin
g my
childre
n and
my
family.

Please
reply in
how
this will
be
handle

d in
the
future
for any
further
public
inform
ation
reques
ts
involvi
ng my
childre
n.

Thank
you,

Marie
Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 6:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: Link to Video

Is it customary to allow unredacted juvenile records (regardless of medical/identifying information, etc.) to be maintained by unauthorized individuals?

It is apparent Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is still in possession of the records that do contain protected medical information. No agent of Boundary County has confirmed her return of said records to date.

Does Boundary County intend on pursuing legal action to claw back the unredacted records that were negligently released by BoundaryCounty?

Has any agent of Boundary County contacted any other agency to request a third party investigation into the matter? I would like to know why you conflicted a claim about me in April 2025 (a claim received from the same actors involved in this matter), but you are refusing to conflict my reporting of a criminal matter?
On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 5:53 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The linked video has nothing to do with the medical information you were concerned with initially, which is what I was listening for. I called Adreinne this afternoon and asked for the portion of the video where you say the first name of your two children to be redacted and at this time, I do not believe it will happen.

My office is declining to further investigate this matter as criminal as I believe all further recourse would be civil in nature between you and the listed parties. I understand you do not agree with that assessment but after talking with council and considering the circumstances that is the decision I have made.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Re: Link to Video

In the link you provided to me, which Adrienne references that she has retained....at the 4:26 and 4:28 mark, my juvenile children's names were unredacted. Please review.

Additionally, has Adrienne turned over the complete protected record, which discloses further protected content, which should have never been disseminated to the public in the first place?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 2:03 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Here is the link to the video Adrienne references that she has retained. It doesn't contain any protected information.

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 6:46 PM
To: Travis Stolley; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Re: Request for Investigation into compliance of IPRA

I wanted to check in regarding the below specific investigation request. I understand you are denying an investigation into the unredacted records matter of ours, however, I would like to know status on forwarding the below investigation request to the appropriate agency.

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 9:06 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts,

I am writing to formally request an investigation of Boundary County Sheriff's Office compliance of the Idaho Public Records Act (IPRA) from the retention date to present date. If this investigation cannot be completed by your office, can you please advise of what agency you will be forwarding my request to?

I am requesting an investigation into many facets in which I have experienced IPRA being violated. Including but not limited to: unredacted release of records containing identifying information/protected health information/sealed Juvenile information, IPRA exemptions not being applied upon release of records, non-compliance of Federal/State privacy laws; mishandling of public records requests; IPRA law protocol/deadlines not being adhered to.

My formal request is subsequent to the verifiable privacy violations I have experienced.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2025 7:50 AM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Public Records Request

This is a public records request for any/all communications, (written and A/V recordings), and /or "dialogue" between Travis Stolley and Adrenne Norris, Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk and Misha Davis (et.al all aliases) between the dates of 9/26/25 to present date

Requester:
Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2025 7:58 AM
To: Travis Stolley
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: Link to Video

FYI- as of 11/11/25, at the time of writing this email, the unredacted juvenile privacy protected content is still being disseminated via the link yiu provided me yesterday.

What is your plan for "next steps"?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 6:14 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Is it customary to allow unredacted juvenile records (regardless of medical/identifying information, etc.) to be maintained by unauthorized individuals?

It is apparent Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is still in possession of the records that do contain protected medical information. No agent of Boundary County has confirmed her return of said records to date.

Does Boundary County intend on pursuing legal action to claw back the unredacted records that were negligently released by BoundaryCounty?

Has any agent of Boundary County contacted any other agency to request a third party investigation into the matter? I would like to know why you conflicted a claim about me in April 2025 (a claim received from the same actors involved in this matter), but you are refusing to conflict my reporting of a criminal matter?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 5:53 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The linked video has nothing to do with the medical information you were concerned with initially, which is what I was listening for. I called Adreinne this afternoon and asked for the portion of the video where you say the first name of your two children to be redacted and at this time, I do not believe it will happen.

My office is declining to further investigate this matter as criminal as I believe all further recourse would be civil in nature between you and the listed parties. I understand you do not agree with that assessment but after talking with council and considering the circumstances that is the decision I have made.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Re: Link to Video

In the link you provided to me, which Adrienne references that she has retained....at the 4:26 and 4:28 mark, my juvenile children's names were unredacted. Please review.

Additionally, has Adrienne turned over the complete protected record, which discloses further protected content, which should had never been disseminated to the public in the first place?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 2:03 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Here is the link to the video Adrienne references that she has retained. It doesn't contain any protected information.

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2025 8:37 AM
To: Marie Garrett
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: Re: RE: Link to Video

Marie

I have answered your questions to the best of my ability. We have acknowledged the issue and taken the actions already described to retrieve the information. Your son's medical information is no longer in circulation to the best of my knowledge.

My office will not be taking any further action on this case as I believe all future remedies are civil in nature between you and the involved parties.

To be clear, this is my final response to your questions regarding this matter. There will be no future updates or investigation conducted by my office.

I am not requesting an outside agency look into this matter again. ISP has already looked into this while investigating a similar complaint. The facts haven't changed, the BCSO released the records, acknowledged that fact and attempted to retrieve them. The medical portions have been destroyed or removed. The portion left is a part of a video where both of your children's first names are mentioned referring to riding a bike on the road.

I reached out to Adrienne and requested she remove the video and allow my office to redact the video containing the two names. She is not cooperating at this time. In my opinion, this is now a civil matter between you and Adrienne as it doesn't reach a criminal threshold.

Sheriff Stolley

FYI- as of 11/11/25, at the time of writing this email, the unredacted juvenile privacy protected content is still being disseminated via the link you provided me yesterday.

What is your plan for "next steps"?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 6:14 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Is it customary to allow unredacted juvenile records (regardless of medical/identifying information, etc.) to be maintained by unauthorized individuals?

It is apparent Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is still in possession of the records that do contain protected medical information. No agent of Boundary County has confirmed her return of said records to date.

Does Boundary County intend on pursuing legal action to claw back the unredacted records that were negligently released by BoundaryCounty?

Has any agent of Boundary County contacted any other agency to request a third party investigation into the matter? I would like to know why you conflicted a claim about me in April 2025 (a claim received from the same actors involved in this matter), but you are refusing to conflict my reporting of a criminal matter?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 5:53 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The linked video has nothing to do with the medical information you were concerned with initially, which is what I was listening for. I called Adreinne this afternoon and asked for the portion of the video where you say the first name of your two children to be redacted and at this time, I do not believe it will happen.

My office is declining to further investigate this matter as criminal as I believe all further recourse would be civil in nature between you and the listed parties. I understand you do not agree with that assessment but after talking with council and considering the circumstances that is the decision I have made.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Re: Link to Video

In the link you provided to me, which Adrienne references that she has retained....at the 4:26 and 4:28 mark, my juvenile children's names were unredacted. Please review.

Additionally, has Adrienne turned over the complete protected record, which discloses further protected content, which should had never been disseminated to the public in the first place?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 2:03 PM, Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Here is the link to the video Adrienne references that
she has retained. It doesn't contain any protected
information.

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 11, 2025 9:07 AM
To: Travis Stolley; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: RE: Link to Video

Travis and Andrakay:

Who did Adrienne return the rest of the protected content to (medicalinformation)? Returned on what date and what format? This is news to me.

Since you are aware the protected records have been disseminated digitally, have any of the known parties involved in possession of and disseminating signed a non disclosure agreement?

Was a demand for return of the protected juvenile records sent to the 1 other documented individual? (As of 11/7/25, no notice was sent)

On Tuesday, November 11th, 2025 at 8:36 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie

I have answered your questions to the best of my ability. We have acknowledged the issue and taken the actions already described to retrieve the information. Your son's medical information is no longer in circulation to the best of my knowledge.

My office will not be taking any further action on this case as I believe all future remedies are civil in nature between you and the involved parties.

To be clear, this is my final response to your questions regarding this matter. There will be not future updates or investigation conducted by my office.

I am not requesting an outside agency look into this matter again. ISP has already looked into this while investigating a similar complaint. The facts haven't changed, the BCSO released the records, acknowledged that fact and attempted to retrieve them. The medical portions have been destroyed or removed. The portion left is a part of a video where both of your childrens first names are mentioned referring to riding a bike on the road.

I reached out to Adrienne and requested she remove the video and allow my office to redact the video containing the two names. She is not cooperating at this time. In my in my opinion, this is now a civil matter between you and Adrienne as it doesn't reach a criminal threshold.

Sheriff Stolley

FYI- as of 11/11/25, at the time of writing this email, the unredacted juvenile privacy protected content is still being disseminated via the link yiu provided me yesterday.

What is your plan for "next steps"?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 6:14 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Is it customary to allow unredacted juvenile records (regardless of medical/identifying information, etc.) to be maintained by unauthorized individuals?

It is apparent Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is still in possession of the records that do contain protected medical information. No agent of Boundary County has confirmed her return of said records to date.

Does Boundary County intend on pursuing legal action to claw back the unredacted records that were negligently released by BoundaryCounty?

Has any agent of Boundary County contacted any other agency to request a third party investigation into the matter? I would like to know why you conflicted a claim about me in April 2025 (a claim received from the same actors involved in this matter), but you are refusing to conflict my reporting of a criminal matter?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 5:53 PM, Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The linked video has nothing to do with the medical information you were concerned with initially, which is what I was listening for. I called Adreinne this afternoon and asked for the portion of the video where you say the first name of your two children to be redacted and at this time, I do not believe it will happen.

My office is declining to further investigate this matter as criminal as I believe all further recourse would be civil in nature between you and the listed parties. I understand you do not agree with that assessment but after talking with council and considering the circumstances that is the decision I have made.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: Re: Link to Video

In the link you provided to me, which Adrienne references that she has retained....at the 4:26 and 4:28 mark, my juvenile children's names were unredacted. Please review.

Additionally, has Adrienne turned over the complete protected record, which discloses further protected content, which should have never been disseminated to the public in the first place?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 2:03 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Here is the link to the video Adrienne references that she has retained. It doesn't contain any protected information.

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Fluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:10 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Cpl.Reynolds,

As result of our neighbor's Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk reporting that my child's 2 sweet and timid dogs killed their chicken on 11/13/25, we have been attempting to remove the liability from our lives due to the egregious harassment and claims we have continually been subjected to by the Evoniuk's and their cohort group. The Evoniuk's are now reporting to others (according to Twyla Wall), that the dogs killed a cat. This is news to us, as Jeremy was only made aware of the chicken. Did the Evoniuk's report to you that the dogs killed a cat?

Immediately after learning of this alleged chicken killing incident concerning my child's 2 dogs, I began making public social media posts in attempt to locate homes for the 2 dogs. Immediately, my posts were reported and flagged. My Facebook account was immediately restricted from "group participation". We have made contact with every animal shelter from Bonners Ferry down to Spokane. We have contacted the shelter in Lincoln County, MT. All shelters have informed they are either at capacity or there is a waitlist. Better Together Animal alliance in Ponderay require the dogs to be listed on "Home to Home" website. We immediately did that. Their shelter will do an owner surrender after the dogs have been on the Home to Home website for 2 weeks.

I wanted to make you aware of some history with the Evoniuk's and animals:

In 2021, Mallorie Evoniuk texted telling me we needed to be concerned about a lawsuit due to our dog barking. I informed her our dog barked at wildlife and human/vehicle stimulus. Mallorie contacted the other neighbor, Steve Harris and he began making LE reports of our barking dog. On one known date of complaint to LE, claiming incessant dog barking, Deputy Schuman drove out to the end of our road and listened for a barking dog. He reported he did not hear our barking dog. Another neighbor informed Steve Harris the constant barking dogs was the Evoniuk's, but they were telling everyone in the neighborhood it was ours.

3 years ago, the Evoniuk's cats got into our secure chicken brooder and killed approximately 40 chicks. I contacted Mallorie Evoniuk. She was nasty with me and ultimately told me to screw off and that her cats were part of the ecosystem.

Over the years, the Evoniuk's have told neighbors and community members that we poison their cats and kill their cats. We have not killed any cats or poisoned any cats.

The Evoniuk's have claimed to neighbors that my husband is a bear poacher. In 2022, Mallorie Evoniuk texted me she shot at a bear up a tree with a 9mm and it was then ravaging their property and her husband was not home. She feared for hwr and her kids safety. She sent me photos of canned foods that were stored outside and had been crushed with teeth marks, and a photo of bear paw prints on the side of their camper. Out of safety concern, I offered my husband to help if she needed it. I also suggested she report the bear to Fish and Game. My husband never went to help. Soon after, she began telling the community my husband is a bear poacher.

Spring/summer 2024, the Evoniuk's 2 dogs were at our property frequently. One of our dogs (who we no longer have) would get out and run off with the Evoniuk's dogs, always running toward the east side of our property, which parallels with Humboldt drive. I drove down Humboldt drive to recover my dog. Recently, I was made aware that the Evoniuk's made a Law enforcement reports which made claims I trespassed onto their road.

In October 2024, The Evoniuk's 2 dogs visited our property daily for over 2 weeks. During this time it drove our dogs in their fenced pastures nuts, but they all stayed in their pasture. The Evoniuk's 2 dogs killed our chickens, guinea hen and a goose. Regretfully, We did not file a LE report, as it was around the time that I had to appear in Court as result of the Evoniuk's attempt to drag me into their business lawsuit through subpoena abuse of the court system (my subpoena was quashed). My husband told me to let the matter of their dogs killing our livestock go, we didn't need any further fabrications, public harassment and further issues in our lives created by the Evoniuks and their cohort group.

The Evoniuk's cats have roamed our property for years. Recently we have been seeing a large black cat within close area of our dog pasture. Out of the blue our dogs began desiring to leave their fenced/hotwired pasture just last week. A dog with a dead chicken in it's mouth does not indicate my child's dog killed the chicken. We know for

certain the Evoniuk's dogs are chicken/livestock killers. I would not put it past the Evoniuk's to give the dog a dead chicken to carry around for a photo opportunity. We previously had free range chickens that were subjected to our dogs. We did not have an issue with our dogs killing chickens.

Which brings me to the next relevant detail. Soon after I posted on Facebook social media, looking for the dogs to be rehomed- Twyla Wall screen shot the post (not shared). I did not see the post until 11/16/25. See below post/comments.

I wrote to Twyla Wall, informing her what she commented has not been our experience with the 2 dogs and that it is questionable in what the neighbors are claiming. She wrote " she received the info from an anonymous person.



twyla wall



Boundary And Bonner C Lost, Found and Rehom



Admin



Twyla Wall · 2d ·

have to be re homed immedia
(tonight). It is absolutely the

Both are neutered.

Please no public ridicule. This
choice.

Please contact me if you are





Posts

All comments ▾



Colleen Marie · 1d

omg....poor pups!!

Reply



4



Britt Driggars · 1d

Colleen Marie you c
this twice just FYI

Reply



2



Colleen Marie · 1d

drop them off at shelter.



Posts



I want the hound doggy!

Reply



6



Twyla Wall · 1d ·  [Author](#)

If someone does adopt please let it be known the owner does have some them and poultry attack possibly other pet comp the owner of this group reposted this for the orig would highly recommen

11:10 [camera icon] [Instagram icon] [Instagram icon] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

10:31 AM

I saw where you
my post. Since s
reported my post
unable to comm
right now. We ha
an issue with my
dogs we are bein
rehome due to cl
neighbor. There i
history there, and

11:10 [message icon] [instagram icon] [instagram icon] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

I had someone come to who wishes to remain anonymous stating she was called, chickens were killed and also a cat. If you read my comment on this I stated a home with no poultry or other animals your name and their name it and I even deleted a comment where someone said to put them down.



11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

And I 100% agree with y
and the animals the one
retriever I breed and sell
I know the lineage and
hunting lines



The problem is d
person that came
guessing my neig
friend) actually s
dog kill the chick
for a fact the neig

11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

Thank you. I feel
put you in the mi
Unfortunately, the
suspect (if it was
neighbor herself)
of the level of ext
my family has en
that post with yo
saying child's dog
chicken killers an
issues with other

It is obvious to us what the Evoniuk's are doing. The fact that the Evoniuk's and their cohort group have been publicly sabotaging my family, while always involving others to engage in the sabotaging is a pattern. In this instance, the involved are engaging in methods to sabotage the ability to rehome my child's 2 dogs, based on untruths.

I would suggest you interview Twyla Wall to determine who the "anonymous person" is, and what the intent of the anonymous person encouraging her to share unverified information about the 2 dogs we are now trying to rehome is. I believe an inquiry into a killed cat is worthwhile.

I realize this matter may seem trivial, concerning the 2 dogs that escaped pasture. I am not refuting the fact that the dogs need to be in pasture. The Evoniuk's provoking cats and dogs that visit our property and pasture also need to be home and fenced in. However, I do want this information documented, as it is a piece of much serious and larger issues surrounding the people involved. Additionally, I am confident this event will be twisted into another public smear campaign, dragging my children and our personal matters into the limelight of "Government transparency" misinformation public campaign to continue to personally damage our family.

Over the years, we have experienced many false claims of the Evoniuk's, which always seem to land on the "Boundary County Watchman" viral media sites. For this reason, I am documenting with the authorities.

PLEASE REPLY AS CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF THIS EMAIL.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, November 18, 2025 8:09 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; mhodges@boundarycountyid.org
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: PRR

Marie – I have researched my emails and I can not locate your public records request of 11/10/25. I do notice that this request went to Matt Hodges, so I'm really not sure what you are looking for. All Tort claims are public information and are available should someone wish to look at the Torts. I will ask my staff if anyone has come in during the past 2 months to examine the torts, but again I don't really know what the public records request asked for. I will also double check with Matt to see if he received this request.

Glenda Poston

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 11:45 PM
To: mhodges@boundarycountyid.org
Cc: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Re: PRR

I am following up on the status of the attached public records request

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 8:50 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Please see the attached Public records request for:
All public records requests received by your office 9/1/25 to present, pertaining to: Requesters seeking Notices of Tort filed against Boundary County

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 5:20 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Travis Stolley'; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Marie,

The case # is 25-00761

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506
Boundary County Sheriff's Office
Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:22 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]> Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Greg Reynolds <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside to statue of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached). Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM
Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 9:36 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Travis Stolley'; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you. Can you confirm if the new case number will be referenced in the 2022 dispatch log? (Event #22732)

I feel if I ever have a background check done, event 22732 will be a record that appears. Since the claims were not investigated or contact made with me in 2022, my statement needs to be referenced or associated in the 2022 call record somehow.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 5:19 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The case # is 25-00761

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, Eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside to statute of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org

Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM
Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:22 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]> Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Greg Reynolds <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Andrakay Pluid

From: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 10:15 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Travis Stolley'; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Marie,

Your name was not associated with any written log in Event #22732 and would not show up in a background check.

Your name is only mentioned in the phone call that came into dispatch. There was no crime to investigate in 2022 because a 3rd party (lillegard) can't be a victim for someone else (unknown male realtor) and no one filed a police report naming you as having committed a crime. The statute of limitations for reporting it has passed as well.

If that initial call to dispatch had turned into the male subject making a report, you would have been contacted and named in it as a possible suspect. The fact that no one actually filed a report and you are not named in a written report works in your favor.

But at your request, you are the reporting party in Case 25-00761. This report includes the entire email you sent me, where you reference event # 22732, so your name is now associated with that event # where it was not before.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506
Boundary County Sheriff's Office
Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 9:36 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you. Can you confirm if the new case number will be referenced in the 2022 dispatch log? (Event #22732)

I feel if I ever have a background check done, event 22732 will be a record that appears. Since the claims were not investigated or contact made with me in 2022, my statement needs to be referenced or associated in the 2022 call record somehow.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 5:19 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The case # is 25-00761

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, Eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside the statute of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM
Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:22 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]> Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Greg Reynolds
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 11:40 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Travis Stolley'; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you for the clarification.

Is it possible to correct event 22732 event name of Jeremy Garrett? He had nothing to do with the claimed event, yet his name is listed. In fact, he was at work during the time of the alleged claims. I am not sure why his name would be on the record. This adversely affects him.

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 10:14 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Your name was not associated with any written log in Event #22732 and would not show up in a background check.

Your name is only mentioned in the phone call that came into dispatch. There was no crime to investigate in 2022 because a 3rd party (lillegard) can't be a victim for someone else (unknown male realtor) and no one filed a police report naming you as having committed a crime. The statute of limitations for reporting it has passed as well.

If that initial call to dispatch had turned into the male subject making a report, you would have been contacted and named in it as a possible suspect. The fact that no one actually filed a report and you are not named in a written report works in your favor.

But at your request, you are the reporting party in Case 25-00761. This report includes the entire email you sent me, where you reference event # 22732, so your name is now associated with that event # where it was not before.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 9:36 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you. Can you confirm if the new case number will be referenced in the 2022 dispatch log? (Event #22732)

I feel if I ever have a background check done, event 22732 will be a record that appears. Since the claims were not investigated or contact made with me in 2022, my statement needs to be referenced or associated in the 2022 call record somehow.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 5:19 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The case # is 25-00761

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, Eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside the statute of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>;
Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>

Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM

Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached

To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org

<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:22 PM

To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]>

Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org

Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>;

Greg Reynolds <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Andrakay Pluid

From: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Sent: Friday, November 21, 2025 2:35 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'; 'Travis Stolley'; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Marie,

I'm not sure what you mean by his name is listed. He's not associated with that event in our system.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506
Boundary County Sheriff's Office
Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 11:40 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you for the clarification.

Is it possible to correct event 22732 event name of Jeremy Garrett? He had nothing to do with the claimed event, yet his name is listed. In fact, he was at work during the time of the alleged claims. I am not sure why his name would be on the record. This adversely affects him.

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 10:14 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Your name was not associated with any written log in Event #22732 and would not show up in a background check.

Your name is only mentioned in the phone call that came into dispatch. There was no crime to investigate in 2022 because a 3rd party (lillegard) can't be a victim for someone else (unknown male realtor) and no one filed a police report naming you as having committed a crime. The statute of limitations for reporting it has passed as well.

If that initial call to dispatch had turned into the male subject making a report, you would have been contacted and named in it as a possible suspect. The fact that no one actually filed a report and you are not named in a written report works in your favor.

But at your request, you are the reporting party in Case 25-00761. This report includes the entire email you sent me, where you reference event # 22732, so your name is now associated with that event # where it was not before.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 9:36 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you. Can you confirm if the new case number will be referenced in the 2022 dispatch log? (Event #22732)

I feel if I ever have a background check done, event 22732 will be a record that appears. Since the claims were not investigated or contact made with me in 2022, my statement needs to be referenced or associated in the 2022 call record somehow.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 5:19 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The case # is 25-00761

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, Eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside the statute of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>;

Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org

Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM
Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:22 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett' <[REDACTED]>
Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>;

Greg Reynolds <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, November 21, 2025 2:51 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; Travis Stolley; Caleb Watts
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Event #22732 has Jeremy's name listed. Please see below. This error is problematic. You can see how it is being used publicly. This event had nothing to do with Jeremy. Jeremy was actually working at the Bonners Ferry Police Department on 7/29/22 while an unknown man was walking and flying a drone on our property, and I was home alone with children.

Under Fire for Speaking Out!

2022
PAGE 1

Boundary County Watchman

Nature of Call: THREATS
NOC Type: LAW ENFORCEMENT
Location: [REDACTED]
Cross St:
District:
Reported to: MKREJCI
Respond to:
Disposition: BCSO-19 - AA/NO REPORT

Event Names

[REDACTED] KIM
[REDACTED] KIM
GARRETT, JEREMY ANDREW
[REDACTED], ERIC

RE
OV
OT
WI

Event Remarks

RP ADV SHE IS SELLING HER PROPERTY IN BOUNDARY C
PROPERTY CAME OUT 'BRANDISHING A [REDACTED] APON AND TH
THINKING ABOUT WHAT TO DO ABOUT THIS INCIDENT AN

Event Agencies/Units

BOUNDARY COUNTY SHERIFF

Notified

Event Activity Log

Officer/Unit	Date	Time	Activity
1458	07/29/2022	13:45:24	*CAI

Circumstances

Vehicles

Wreckers

On Friday, November 21st, 2025 at 2:35 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I'm not sure what you mean by his name is listed. He's not associated with that event in our system.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 11:40 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you for the clarification.

Is it possible to correct event 22732 event name of Jeremy Garrett? He had nothing to do with the claimed event, yet his name is listed. In fact, he was at work during the time of the alleged claims. I am not sure why his name would be on the record. This adversely affects him.

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 10:14 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Your name was not associated with any written log in Event #22732 and would not show up in a background check.

Your name is only mentioned in the phone call that came into dispatch. There was no crime to investigate in 2022 because a 3rd party (lillegard) can't be a victim for someone else (unknown male realtor) and no one filed a police report naming you as having committed a crime. The statute of limitations for reporting it has passed as well.

If that initial call to dispatch had turned into the male subject making a report, you would have been contacted and named in it as a possible suspect. The fact that no one actually filed a report and you are not named in a written report works in your favor.

But at your request, you are the reporting party in Case 25-00761. This report includes the entire email you sent me, where you reference event # 22732, so your name is now associated with that event # where it was not before.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 9:36 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>;
'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>;

Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org

Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you. Can you confirm if the new case number will be referenced in the 2022 dispatch log? (Event #22732)

I feel if I ever have a background check done, event 22732 will be a record that appears. Since the claims were not investigated or contact made with me in 2022, my statement needs to be referenced or associated in the 2022 call record somehow.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 5:19 PM,
greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The case # is 25-00761

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, Eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false

report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside to statue of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett
<[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025
8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>;
Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
<apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional
info attached

Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff
Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment, which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has

been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett

<[REDACTED]>

Date: On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 1:36 AM

Subject: Event #22732 additional info attached

To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please see the attached excerpt of screen recorded video from the 4/18/25 Adrienne Norris "Boundary County watchman" public post regarding the 7/29/22 allegations of which I am requesting an investigation into.

From: Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Sent: Wednesday,
November 5, 2025 2:22

PM

To: 'Marie Garrett'

<mariegarrett@protonmail.com>;

Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org

Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'

<apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Greg

Reynolds

<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: Event

#22732 additional info

attached

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 9:17 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Public Records Request
Attachments: Boundary County Public Request 11.25.25.pdf

Please see the attached Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications from 11/7/25 to present (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorney Bill Wilson, AndraKay Pluid and/or any other agent of Boundary County and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons in possession of unauthorized records and any activities requiring attention from Boundary County Officials.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 11:30 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Unauthorized records possessors/disseminators: REPLY REQUESTED

Boundary County Attorneys Andrakay Pluid and Bill Wilson,

Per the forwarded email from Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk to Leslie Lehman, ISP dated 8/7/25 -which your office received from ISP, sometime after 8/7/25, it is written by unauthorized records disseminator herself, Mallorie Evoniuk that Misha Davis (et. Al. Aliases) is also in possession of the unauthorized records concerning my juvenile children (records received per Mallorie Evoniuk's 7/9/24 BCSO Public Records Request)

Beginning April 2025, I began informing Boundary County officials (multiple times since) that the unredacted/unauthorized records of my juvenile children were being publicly disseminated by known individuals including Misha Davis (et.al aliases)

I am aware that Bill Wilson began emailing Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk and Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman on 9/23/25 with requests for return of the unauthorized records.

To date, Misha Davis (et. Al aliases) has NOT been contacted by Boundary County with notice to return the unauthorized records.

Additionally, Adrienne Norris continues to date (as of writing this email) to publicly disseminate the unauthorized records identifying my juvenile children in a mingled manner which harms my family's safety and wellbeing. My family is continuing to be compromised in many ways, including our safety, privacy and reputation.

I request a reply to this email with an update of how Boundary County officials intend to address the 2 known above referenced individuals (Misha Davis et.al aliases and Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman) who have current possession of unauthorized records and dissemination of said unauthorized records.

I am also requesting you forward this matter to a third party agency to complete an investigation in all matters relating to the released unredacted records of Boundary County Sheriff's Office.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 12:01 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid; Travis Stolley; Caleb Watts
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sgt. Reynolds of Boundary County Sheriff's Office,

I am inquiring on the status of the supplemental information I provided to you 8/1/25 regarding event#22732. I received a response to my public records request today, 11/25/25, that indicates you have not added or referenced my supplemental information to event #22732.

I have been requesting for nearly **4 months** the supplemental information I provided on 8/1/25 to be added to event #22732. Why has this not been done to date?

This is a Public Records Request for the following:
Any and all written and audio/visual records regarding Event #22732 and any re
number that was added or updated after 7/29/22

Requester: Marie Garrett
PO Box 1744
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph (208) 603-1477

Event Names

LILLEGARD, KIM
LILLEGARD, KIM
GARRETT, JEREMY ANDREW
CRAIG, ERIC

Event Remarks

RP ADV SHE IS SELLING HER PROPERTY
PROPERTY CAME OUT 'BRANDISHING' /
THINKING ABOUT WHAT TO DO ABOUT

Event Agencies/Units

BOUNDARY COUNTY SHERIFF

Event Activity Log

<u>Officer/Unit</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Time</u>
1458	07/29/2022	13:45

Circumstances

Vehicles

Wreckers

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 21st, 2025 at 2:50 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Event #22732 has Jeremy's name listed. Please see below. This error is problematic. You can see how it is being used publicly. This event had nothing to do with Jeremy. Jeremy was actually working at the Bonners Ferry Police Department on 7/29/22 while an unknown man was walking and flying a drone on our property, and I was home alone with children.

Under Fire for Speaking Out

Boundary County Watchman

07/29/2022
PAGE 1

Nature of Call: THREATS
NOC Type: LAW ENFORCEMENT
Location: [REDACTED]
Cross St: [REDACTED]
District:
Reported to: MKREJCI
Respond to:
Disposition: BCSO-19 - AA/NO REPORT

Event Names

[REDACTED] KIM
[REDACTED] KIM
GARRETT, JEREMY ANDREW
[REDACTED], ERIC

Event Remarks

RP ADV SHE IS SELLING HER PROPERTY IN BOUNDARY COUNTY. SHE
PROPERTY CAME OUT 'BRANDISHING A GUN' UPON. SHE WAS
THINKING ABOUT WHAT TO DO ABOUT THIS INCIDENT.

Event Agencies/Units

	Notified
BOUNDARY COUNTY SHERIFF	

Event Activity Log

Officer/Unit	Date	Time
1458	07/29/2022	13:45:24

Circumstances

Vehicles

Wreckers

/ 7:17

On Friday, November 21st, 2025 at 2:35 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I'm not sure what you mean by his name is listed. He's not associated with that event in our system.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025 11:40 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional info attached

Thank you for the clarification.

Is it possible to correct event 22732 event name of Jeremy Garrett? He had nothing to do with the claimed event, yet his name is listed. In fact, he was at work during the time of the alleged claims. I am not sure why his name would be on the record. This adversely affects him.

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 10:14 PM,
greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>
wrote:

Marie,

Your name was not associated with any written log in Event #22732 and would not show up in a background check.

Your name is only mentioned in the phone call that came into dispatch. There was no crime to investigate in 2022 because a 3rd party (lillegard) can't be a victim for someone else (unknown male realtor) and no one filed a police report naming you as having committed a crime. The statute of limitations for reporting it has passed as well.

If that initial call to dispatch had turned into the male subject making a report, you would have been contacted and named in it as a possible suspect. The fact that no one actually filed a report and you are not named in a written report works in your favor.

But at your request, you are the reporting party in Case 25-00761. This report includes the entire email you sent me, where you reference event # 22732, so your name is now associated with that event # where it was not before.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett
<[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, November 20, 2025
9:36 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid'
<apluid@boundarycountyid.org>;
'Travis Stolley'
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>;
Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Event #22732 additional
info attached

Thank you. Can you confirm if the new case number will be referenced in the 2022 dispatch log? (Event #22732)

I feel if I ever have a background check done, event 22732 will be a record that appears. Since the claims were not investigated or contact made with me in 2022, my statement needs to be referenced or associated in the 2022 call record somehow.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Thursday, November 20th, 2025 at 5:19 PM,
greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>
wrote:

Marie,

The case # is 25-00761

Sergeant Greg Reynolds
#1506

Boundary County
Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

Marie,

Looking into this case it appears the reporting party did not follow through with filing any criminal complaint. We did not have a victim so no further investigation was required. The caller stated she was filling an information report only would call back with more info but never followed up.

With that, I do not see the elements of Idaho code 18-5413 present. Here is the language of the code.

IC 18-5413 - Providing false information to law enforcement officers, government agencies, or specified professionals. (1) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he

knowingly gives or causes to be given false information to any law enforcement officer, any state or local government agency or personnel, or to any person licensed in this state to practice social work, psychology or counseling, concerning the commission of an offense, knowing that the offense did not occur or knowing that he has no information relating to the offense or danger.

(2) A person is guilty of a misdemeanor if he knowingly gives or causes to be given false information regarding his or another's identity to any law enforcement officer investigating the commission of an offense.

This person was calling in as a third party, reporting what she believed to be true. The alleged victim, eric, did not contact us to file a report so no further action was taken. With the facts given I do not see the elements of filing a false report. Additionally this occurred in July of 2022, which is outside to statue of limitations to file this complaint.

We will complete an information report documenting your statements.

I will have Sgt. Reynolds contact you via email with the report number when it is completed.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett
<mariegarrett@protonmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 8:53 AM
To: Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>;
Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
<apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: Event #22732 additional info attached

Sheriff Stolley and
Undersheriff Watts:

Below is a forwarded email with an additional attachment,

which I sent to Cpl. Greg Reynolds on 8/1/25. To date, there is no record of an addendum report to this matter, nor an investigation concluded. Nothing has been entered into the Boundary County Sheriff's office records system since the 7/29/22 false reporting incident.

I received no further contact from Cpl. Reynolds subsequent to my phone call with him on 7/31/25, to which he requested I email him the details/timeline due to the multiple issues/people involved. (Email attached).

Boundary County Sheriff's office is the Jurisdictional Agency for this matter. I would request that Boundary County Sheriff's matter not deny my right to lawful justice.

Sincerely,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded
Message -----
From: Marie Garrett
<mariegarrett@protonmail.com>
Date: On Friday,
August 1st, 2025 at
1:36 AM
Subject: Event #22732
additional info attached
To:
greynolds@boundarys

heriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>

Please
see the
attache
d
excerpt
of
screen
recorde
d video
from
the
4/18/25
Adrien
ne
Norris
"Bound
ary
County
watch
man"
public
post
regardi
ng the
7/29/22
allegati
ons of
which I
am
request
ing an
investig
ation
into.

From:
Travis
Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Sent:
Wedne
sday,
Novem
ber 5,
2025
2:22

PM
To:
'Marie
Garrett'
<marie.garrett@protonmail.com>;
Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org
Cc:
'Andrak
ay
Pluid'
<apluid@boundarycoountyid.org>;
Greg
Reynolds
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject
: RE:
Event
#22732
additional info
attached

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 7:09 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR
Attachments: Boundary County Public Request 12.4.25.pdf

Please see the attached public records request.

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 7:52 AM
To: Travis Stolley
Cc: Caleb Watts; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Re: RE: Link to Video

Sheriff Stolley,

I am following up regarding the issue of the unauthorized unredacted records of my children. At this point in time, who is still known by your agency to possess the records of my children? What is status on retrieving said records and an investigation into the extent of the breach?

I would also like to point out that in your email to me dated 11/11/25, you stated "ISP has already looked into this while investigating a similar complaint." This is actually false information. The fact is you never provided ISP with my complaint I delivered to your office 4/21/25. You had sent ISP Misha Davis and Mallorie Evoniuk's complaints on me, but oddly did not forward my complaint. I have confirmed this. My 4/21/25 complaint regarding the release of unauthorized records/dissemination was never fully investigated by any agency.

ISP Detective Lehman's investigatory report dated 9/17/25 does not indicate an investigation was concluded regarding the matters of BCSO release of unauthorized records and the subsequent dissemination of said records. In fact, it is stated in the report it was referred to Boundary County Prosecutor office for consideration. See line item #15 in the provided document

Your willful blatant refusal to investigate the extent of the unauthorized records released by your agency and civil deputy (who is still employed with your agency) is alarming.

As the Sheriff of Boundary County it would be appropriate for you to address and investigate this serious matter. I am growing tired of communicating to a brick wall on a serious issue created by your agency. It is 7+ months overdue for you to investigate the matters surrounding release of unauthorized records by your office. Meanwhile, my family continues to be harmed.

further crimes being committed. The interview was conducted by phone due to ISP office.

2. I made [REDACTED] aware of the civil aspects of the case and no findings of criminal counter complaints and associated media I have reviewed.

3. I made it clear to [REDACTED] I will not be conducting any further investigation in regarding her ongoing criminal and civil cases. I also made it clear the original stalking, and I need to keep the focus on that complaint.

4. I told [REDACTED] the online banter from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Garrett, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] are opinions and entertainment placed on several third-party platforms. case, it has been brought to my attention [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has also used her commentary and opinion about the aforementioned individuals.

5. The complaint filed by [REDACTED] is complicated by several inconsistencies dis They include:

6. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was not on the board at the Senior Hospitality Center and di the hiring or firing of [REDACTED] Reference [REDACTED] interview transcript lines 63 003.

7. [REDACTED] son-in-law did not step back from law enforcement because of behi

Report Officer
2867/LEHMAN, LESLIE

Printed At
09/17/2025 15:33

CASE SUMMARY

Idaho State Police

Narrative

Reference [REDACTED] interview transcript lines 728 - 732. Reference Supplemen

8. [REDACTED] was the administrator of the Senior Hospitality Center (SHC) Facet Under her administration, the SHC was granted access to the Bonners Ferry R (BFRRRH) page, therefore giving [REDACTED] clear ability to see all the posting. 1 that she did not "join" the BFRRRH page. Reference [REDACTED] interview transcri Supplement 005 and corresponding media.

9. [REDACTED] volunteered she has been deemed a "False Historian." Reference 1652

Thanks!
Marie Garrett

AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Travis and Andrakay:

Who did Adrienne return the rest of the protected content to (medical information)? Returned on what date and what format? This is news to me.

Since you are aware the protected records have been disseminated digitally, have any of the known parties involved in possession of and disseminating signed a non disclosure agreement?

Was a demand for return of the protected juvenile records sent to the 1 other documented individual? (As of 11/7/25, no notice was sent)

On Tuesday, November 11th, 2025 at 8:36 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie

I have answered your questions to the best of my ability. We have acknowledged the issue and taken the actions already described to retrieve the information. Your son's medical information is no longer in circulation to the best of my knowledge.

My office will not be taking any further action on this case as I believe all future remedies are civil in nature between you and the involved parties.

To be clear, this is my final response to your questions regarding this matter. There will be no future updates or investigation conducted by my office.

I am not requesting an outside agency look into this matter again. ISP has already looked into this while investigating a similar complaint. The facts haven't changed, the BCSO released the records, acknowledged that fact and attempted to retrieve them. The medical portions have been destroyed or removed. The portion left is a part of a video where both of your children's first names are mentioned referring to riding a bike on the road.

I reached out to Adrienne and requested she remove the video and allow my office to redact the video containing the two names. She is not cooperating at this time. In my opinion, this is now a civil matter between you and Adrienne as it doesn't reach a criminal threshold.

Sheriff Stolley

FYI- as of 11/11/25, at the time of writing this email, the unredacted juvenile privacy protected content is still being disseminated via the link you provided me yesterday.

What is your plan for "next steps"?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 6:14 PM, Marie Garrett
<[REDACTED]> wrote:

Is it customary to allow unredacted juvenile records (regardless of medical/identifying information, etc.) to be maintained by unauthorized individuals?

It is apparent Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman is still in possession of the records that do contain protected medical information. No agent of Boundary County has confirmed her return of said records to date.

Does Boundary County intend on pursuing legal action to claw back the unredacted records that were negligently released by BoundaryCounty?

Has any agent of Boundary County contacted any other agency to request a third party investigation into the matter? I would like to know why you conflicted a claim about me in April 2025 (a claim received from the same actors involved in this matter), but you are refusing to conflict my reporting of a criminal matter?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 5:53 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

The linked video has nothing to do with the medical information you were concerned with initially, which is what I was listening for. I called Adreinne this afternoon and asked for the portion of the video where you say the first name of your two children to be redacted and at this time, I do not believe it will happen.

My office is declining to further investigate this matter as criminal as I believe all further recourse would be civil in nature between you and the listed parties. I understand you do not agree with that assessment but after talking with council and considering the circumstances that is the decision I have made.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett
<[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 2:14 PM
To: Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
<apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Re: Link to Video

In the link you provided to me, which Adrienne references that she has retained....at the 4:26 and 4:28 mark, my juvenile children's names were unredacted. Please review.

Additionally, has Adrienne turned over the complete protected record, which discloses further protected content, which should had never been disseminated to the public in the first place?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 2:03 PM, Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Here is the link to the video Adrienne references that she has retained. It doesn't contain any protected information.

<https://youtu.be/lvVdHdLBrQg>

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County
Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 8:09 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications from 11/25/25 to present date (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorney Bill Wilson, Andrakay Pluid and/or any other agent of Boundary County for whom you manage records and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons in possession of unauthorized records/dissemination and any activities requiring attention from Boundary County Officials.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 11:23 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:
The responsive documents from Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman" 11/24/25 public records request

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 4:15 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:
The responsive documents for Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk public records request dated 7/10/25

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 9:25 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR
Attachments: M. Evoniuk Response 7-11-2025_Redacted.pdf

Attached.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 4:15 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:
The responsive documents for Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk public records request dated 7/10/25

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 11:03 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

Thank you for sending.

I have a concern which I feel needs to be addressed. Why were communications from Leslie Lehman of ISP regarding an active investigation released to Evoniuk prior to the conclusion of investigation? The investigation was concluded 9/17/25. The communications were sent to Evoniuk 7/10/25. It is also important to note Evoniuk had not been interviewed by the detective at the point she was made privy to the communications regarding the active investigation. Evoniuk was interviewed 8/6/25.

Is it customary for requesters to be privy to communications regarding an active investigation they are involved in?

On Friday, December 5th, 2025 at 9:25 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Attached.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 4:15 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

The responsive documents for Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk public records request dated 7/10/25

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 11:08 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Subject: RE: PRR

In my review of the emails provided, there was not any information that met the redaction parameter requirements of Idaho Code involving active investigations.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 11:03 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Thank you for sending.

I have a concern which I feel needs to be addressed. Why were communications from Leslie Lehman of ISP regarding an active investigation released to Evoniuk prior to the conclusion of investigation? The investigation was concluded 9/17/25. The communications were sent to Evoniuk 7/10/25. It is also important to note Evoniuk had not been interviewed by the detective at the point she was made privy to the communications regarding the active investigation. Evoniuk was interviewed 8/6/25.

Is it customary for requesters to be privy to communications regarding an active investigation they are involved in?

On Friday, December 5th, 2025 at 9:25 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Attached.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 4:15 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnerco.id.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

The responsive documents for Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk public records request dated 7/10/25

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 3:10 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

It is evident Mallorie Evoniuk was made privy to the ISP detective communications, nearly one month prior to Mallorie Evoniuk being interviewed in the active investigation. I understand the matters of the unredacted records release/dissemination of my juvenile child was never fully investigated. It was acknowledged by Detective Lehman and email communications indicate Detective Lehman was suggesting Boundary County to consider the issues. Detective Lehman did ask Evoniuk questions regarding the matter on 8/6/25. I am not understanding how it is appropriate for Evoniuk to be made privy in advance regarding a subject in a matter of an active investigation of which she was interviewed by a Detective on 8/6/25. The investigatory report of ISP detective Leslie Lehman dated 9/17/25 references on line item #15 the issues of the unredacted release of records which Mallorie Evoniuk was involved in disseminating.

I have attached the communication I am referring to for reference along with Detective Lehman's 9/17/25



investigation report.

Further crimes being committed. The interview was conducted by phone due to ISP office.

2. I made [REDACTED] aware of the civil aspects of the case and no findings of criminal counter complaints and associated media I have reviewed.

3. I made it clear to [REDACTED] I will not be conducting any further investigation in regarding her ongoing criminal and civil cases. I also made it clear the original stalking, and I need to keep the focus on that complaint.

4. I told [REDACTED] the online banter from [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Garrett, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] are opinions and entertainment placed on several third-party platforms. case, it has been brought to my attention [REDACTED] [REDACTED] has also used her commentary and opinion about the aforementioned individuals.

5. The complaint filed by [REDACTED] is complicated by several inconsistencies. They include:

6. [REDACTED] [REDACTED] was not on the board at the Senior Hospitality Center and did not have the hiring or firing of [REDACTED]. Reference [REDACTED] interview transcript lines 630-633.

7. [REDACTED] son-in-law did not step back from law enforcement because of behavior.

Report Officer
2867/LEHMAN, LESLIE

Printed At
09/17/2025 15:33

CASE SUMMARY

Idaho State Police

Narrative

Reference [REDACTED] interview transcript lines 728 - 732. Reference Supplement 005.

8. [REDACTED] was the administrator of the Senior Hospitality Center (SHC) Facebook page. Under her administration, the SHC was granted access to the Bonners Ferry Record (BFRRRH) page, therefore giving [REDACTED] clear ability to see all the posting. [REDACTED] stated that she did not "join" the BFRRRH page. Reference [REDACTED] interview transcript lines 1651-1652 and Supplement 005 and corresponding media.

9. [REDACTED] volunteered she has been deemed a "False Historian." Reference [REDACTED] interview transcript lines 1652.

On Friday, December 5th, 2025 at 11:08 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

In my review of the emails provided, there was not any information that met the redaction parameter requirements of Idaho Code involving active investigations.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, December 5, 2025 11:03 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Thank you for sending.

I have a concern which I feel needs to be addressed. Why were communications from Leslie Lehman of ISP regarding an active investigation released to Evoniuk prior to the conclusion of investigation? The investigation was concluded 9/17/25. The communications were sent to Evoniuk 7/10/25. It is also important to note Evoniuk had not been interviewed by the detective at the point she was made privy to the communications regarding the active investigation. Evoniuk was interviewed 8/6/25.

Is it customary for requesters to be privy to communications regarding an active investigation they are involved in?

On Friday, December 5th, 2025 at 9:25 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Attached.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 4:15 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson
<bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

The responsive documents for Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk public records request dated 7/10/25

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:01 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: BCSO hidden replies on Facebook page
Attachments: Screen_Recording_20251208_004623_Facebook.mp4

I find it appropriate to bring to your attention the "hidden replies" on the November 2, 2025, post of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office Facebook page. Below is a screenshot. I have also attached a screen recording for your

reference.



The Creative Soul Collect

... ..

Reply



Dawn Vinton · 6d

Thanks for keeping us in

Reply



Sarah Alyea · 6d

My heart just sank! Pray

Reply



Colleen Marie · 6d

What is going on in our

Our government lies

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:10 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

All communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc that contain the below names:

*Marie Garrett

[REDACTED] (minor child)

Time Frame: June 1, 2025 to present.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:25 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Re: BCSO hidden replies on Facebook page

Correction to my previous email: the Facebook post on the BCSO page was 12/2/25

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 1:01 AM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I find it appropriate to bring to your attention the "hidden replies" on the November 2, 2025, post of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office Facebook page. Below is a screenshot. I have also attached a screen recording for your

reference.



The Creative Soul Colle

... ..

Reply



Dawn Vinton · 6d

Thanks for keeping us

Reply



Sarah Alyea · 6d

My heart just sank! Pr

Reply



Colleen Marie · 6d

What is going on in ou

Our government lies

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 2:42 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR
Attachments: BW Garrett emails_Redacted.pdf

Good afternoon,

Mr. Wilson's responsive records are attached and mine are at the link below.

<https://www.dropbox.com/1/2fwOEYwCeqCnQpAG>

Redactions or exceptions to release of records have been made pursuant to Idaho Code sections 74-104 and 74-106.

This request has been reviewed by Mr. Wilson and myself as legal counsel for Boundary County. Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-103, any appeal to the response must be filed within 180 days pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:10 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

All communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc that contain the below names:

*Marie Garrett

[REDACTED] (minor child)

Time Frame: June 1, 2025 to present.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 3:49 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR

This request is incomplete. There are no records included pertaining to the communications with the individuals known to possess my children's protected/unredacted records.

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 2:42 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Mr. Wilson's responsive records are attached and mine are at the link below.

<https://www.dropbox.com/t/2fwOEYwCcqCuQpAG>

Redactions or exceptions to release of records have been made pursuant to Idaho Code sections 74-104 and 74-106.

This request has been reviewed by Mr. Wilson and myself as legal counsel for Boundary County. Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-103, any appeal to the response must be filed within 180 days pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the*

*intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyd.id.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:10 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyd.id.org>, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

All communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc that contain the below names:

*Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (minor child)

Time Frame: June 1, 2025 to present.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnerr Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 4:00 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR
Attachments: Response to M. Garrett 11-7.pdf

You were previously provided the information. See attached.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 3:49 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: PRR

This request is incomplete. There are no records included pertaining to the communications with the individuals known to possess my children's protected/unredacted records.

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 2:42 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Mr. Wilson's responsive records are attached and mine are at the link below.

<https://www.dropbox.com/t/2fwOEYwCcqCuQpAG>

Redactions or exceptions to release of records have been made pursuant to Idaho Code sections 74-104 and 74-106.

This request has been reviewed by Mr. Wilson and myself as legal counsel for Boundary County. Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-103, any appeal to the response must be filed within 180 days pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:10 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

All communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc that contain the below names:

*Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (minor child)

Time Frame: June 1, 2025 to present.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 4:32 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR

I am not locating in the responsive documents any communications to Misha Davis et al aliases from Boundary official(s) pertaining to her known possession and dissemination of unauthorized records of my juvenile children. On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 3:59 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

You were previously provided the information. See attached.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 3:49 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: PRR

This request is incomplete. There are no records included pertaining to the communications with the individuals known to possess my children's protected/unredacted records.

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 2:42 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Mr. Wilson's responsive records are attached and mine are at the link below.

<https://www.dropbox.com/t/2fwOEYwCcqCuQpAG>

Redactions or exceptions to release of records have been made pursuant to Idaho Code sections 74-104 and 74-106.

This request has been reviewed by Mr. Wilson and myself as legal counsel for Boundary County. Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-103, any appeal to the response must be filed within 180 days pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyd.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyd.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:10 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyd.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

All communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc that contain the below names:

*Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (minor child)

Time Frame: June 1, 2025 to present.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 4:35 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR

The Prosecutor's Office not have any records responsive to that specific request. I believe the Sheriff's Office does.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 4:32 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: PRR

I am not locating in the responsive documents any communications to Misha Davis et al aliases from Boundary official(s) pertaining to her known possession and dissemination of unauthorized records of my juvenile children. On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 3:59 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

You were previously provided the information. See attached.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 3:49 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: PRR

This request is incomplete. There are no records included pertaining to the communications with the individuals known to possess my children's protected/unredacted records.

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 2:42 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Mr. Wilson's responsive records are attached and mine are at the link below.

<https://www.dropbox.com/t/2fwOEYwCcQCuQpAG>

Redactions or exceptions to release of records have been made pursuant to Idaho Code sections 74-104 and 74-106.

This request has been reviewed by Mr. Wilson and myself as legal counsel for Boundary County. Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-103, any appeal to the response must be filed within 180 days pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:10 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

All communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc that contain the below names:

*Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] (minor child)

Time Frame: June 1, 2025 to present.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnars Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 8:56 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: BCSO Constitutional violations-REPLY REQUESTED
Attachments: Screen_Recording_20251208_204104_Facebook.mp4

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Bill Wilson, Boundary County Attorneys:

Earlier today I notified your office(s) of comments hidden on the Boundary county Sheriff's Office Facebook page. I have located another Constitutional violation. Boundary County Sheriff's Office has limited who has the ability to comment on their posts. Boundary County Sheriff's Office has removed my personal ability to comment on the public content of the BCSO 11/10/25 Facebook post. On 11/10/25 I had made a non threatening, truthful, opinion based, first amendment protected comment. I soon after deleted my comment for concern of further retaliation and in respect of my spouse's wishes. I did preserve my comment via screen capture. Between the timeframe of me deleting my comment and now, BCSO has removed my lawful First Amendment protected right to comment on said post.

I am attaching a screen recording for evidence, as well as a screenshot for your review. I would be happy to visit your office and show you the real time removal of right for me to comment on said post. Please let me know if you would like to schedule a time for this.

Please reply to this email as confirmation of receipt.

Boundary County S

The Boundary County Sheriff's proud to unveil our new green-uniforms for Patrol Deputies.

This updated design improves functionality, professional pres and a more traditional color fo Sheriff's Office.

We also updated our Detentior havent received everything to c Look for that in the near future

Sincerely,
Marie Garrett
Ph(208) 603-1477

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 9:48 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc) from 5/1/25 through 5/15/25 which contain information pertaining to the death threats and violent rhetoric on Boundary County law enforcement, which spurred a press release by Travis Stolley on 5/12/25.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 11:22 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: Re: BCSO Constitutional violations-REPLY REQUESTED

I have identified 8 total public posts of the public Boundary County Facebook page, where Boundary County Sheriff's Office limited who can comment on the post. Other citizens comments are available for viewing, however, I am unable to comment or reply to any comments. I have documented this and would appreciate a time in which I can schedule to show you the totality of what I have located on the Boundary County Sheriff's Office Facebook public page.

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 9:56 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Bill Wilson, Boundary County Attorneys:

Earlier today I notified your office(s) of comments hidden on the Boundary county Sheriff's Office Facebook page. I have located another Constitutional violation. Boundary County Sheriff's Office has limited who has the ability to comment on their posts. Boundary County Sheriff's Office has removed my personal ability to comment on the public content of the BCSO 11/10/25 Facebook post. On 11/10/25 I had made a non threatening, truthful, opinion based, first amendment protected comment. I soon after deleted my comment for concern of further retaliation and in respect of my spouse's wishes. I did preserve my comment via screen capture. Between the timeframe of me deleting my comment and now, BCSO has removed my lawful First Amendment protected right to comment on said post.

I am attaching a screen recording for evidence, as well as a screenshot for your review. I would be happy to visit your office and show you the real time removal of right for me to comment on said post. Please let me know if you would like to schedule a time for this.

Please reply to this email as confirmation of receipt.

Boundary County

The Boundary County Sheriff's Office is proud to unveil our new green uniforms for Patrol Deputies.

This updated design improves functionality, professional appearance, and a more traditional color for the Sheriff's Office.

We also updated our Detention uniforms. We haven't received everything yet, but look for that in the near future.

Sincerely,
Marie Garrett



Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:00 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

A copy of the exact record(s) of which Josh and/or Mallorie Evlniuk provded your office(s) in September 2025 concerning unredacted records of my children.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:37 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR

The Prosecutor's Office has no records responsive to this request.

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 9:48 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc) from 5/1/25 through 5/15/25 which contain information pertaining to the death threats and violent rhetoric on Boundary County law enforcement, which spurred a press release by Travis Stolley on 5/12/25.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 1:36 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: Fw: PRR
Attachments: PRR BFPD.12.9.25.pdf

I sent the forwarded email to the address on the public records request form I located by the Boundary County Watchman.

The email address on the form bounced back. Would you mind providing me the email address which this request needs to be sent to?

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: On Tuesday, December 9th, 2025 at 1:27 PM
Subject: PRR
To: jjeske@bonnersferry.id.gov <jjeske@bonnersferry.id.gov>

Hello,

Please see the attached public records request.
Email delivery is preferred if available.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 1:41 PM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: Bill Wilson; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

Does this mean Travis Stolley is the only agent who can provide this requested record for Boundary County Sheriff's office? You or someone else does not hold the ability to locate this record?

May I request a different agent of Boundary County Sheriff's Office other than Travis Stolley himself to search and locate these records?

On Tuesday, December 9th, 2025 at 1:27 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

12/9/25

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025

I apologize for the delay in addressing your recent records request. Based on our assessment, fulfilling this request will require additional information from the person you are requesting information from. That person is currently out of the office.

We are prepared to proceed with the request upon receipt of that information

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:51 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025.

Emailed Electronic records is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 2:08 PM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: PRR

That is correct in what I am requesting.

I was specifically inquiring if Travis Stolley is the only agent of BCSO who can retrieve those records. I would request an agent of BCSO other than Travis Stolley to retrieve the records.

On Tuesday, December 9th, 2025 at 1:56 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

You specifically requested for any/all communications between Travis Stolley and the Idaho State Police and other parties, therefore Sheriff Stolley is the only one to retrieve information that is locked in his office. Upon his return we will search for the requested information and release what Idaho Code states we are able to.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 1:41 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Does this mean Travis Stolley is the only agent who can provide this requested record for Boundary County Sheriff's office? You or someone else does not hold the ability to locate this record?

May I request a different agent of Boundary County Sheriff's Office other than Travis Stolley himself to search and locate these records?

On Tuesday, December 9th, 2025 at 1:27 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

12/9/25

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025

I apologize for the delay in addressing your recent records request. Based on our assessment, fulfilling this request will require additional information from the person you are requesting information from. That person is currently out of the office.

We are prepared to proceed with the request upon receipt of that information

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:51 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025.

Emailed Electronic records is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 5:32 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

In all respect, I feel the Prosecutor's Office has NOT put significant work into remedying the situation. As you are aware, two known individuals continue to be in possession of said record(s). One known individual has not been contacted by your office to return the record. The other known has blatantly refused to turn it over. What appropriate judicial proceedings has your office filed to claw the records back?

Based on records I have previously received, I realize it is not customary for the parents of the juvenile children of records to receive redacted records. Let alone, be denied the content that was provided to non party citizens, while 2 additional known non party citizens remain in possession of the same records I am requesting.

As you are aware, the entirety 1hr:05 of records were released completely unredacted by BoundaryCountySheriff'sOffice. It was not portions of the record as you suggest. I have previously suggested for the County official attorney(s) to review the record(s) to verify the extent of protected records released which continue to be in the hands of unauthorized non parties. The records contain MUCH MORE protected content than just identifying names of my children. Let's not continue to act as if this breach is a null issue. I feel that you know better than that, having personally experienced a small fraction of the public humiliation/harassment of your juvenile family by the very same actors.

Have you seen the recent public attempt at justification for knowingly possessing and disseminating unauthorized records of juveniles? Through self admission, one person who continues to possess and disseminate unauthorized records of my children is aware of the stringent protections surrounding juvenile unredacted records. Yet this person proceeded to publicly disseminate the records and mingle the content in a manner which places danger to my children and family in the mix of known death threats and violent statements along with the humiliation/harassment/stalking by tagging the video of my children's identifying information under the categorized content of "Bonners Ferry Police Department "

Myself and children have every right to expect and demand transparency and ACTION of officials in this matter. If we need to take other routes to create action, that is the route I am prepared for. Quite frankly, I am disgusted with having to spend my days begging the officials of my County to apply Idaho code and conduct an investigation into the matters of their screwup in a matter which continues to affect my family as a result of negligence of Boundary County.

It has been apparent since I first brought the officials of Boundary County the evidence of unredacted juvenile records in April 2025, that nobody in power is willing to own up to the issue created by their very own agency. The ones in power whom I'm trying to communicate with have been nothing more than looking the other way and attempting to sweep this under the rug while having casual communications on the backside. I can assure you this will not go away until it is confirmed to be fully resolved in all aspects.

I can tell you wholeheartedly I have never found myself this continuously angered in my life at obvious injustice by a local agency that is governed by laws to oversee and should protect citizens and juveniles from what we have endured. I go to bed angry and I wake angry as a result of what I am dealing with here. One thing for certain is if this continues, this matter will eventually be a public community concern and my children and I will no longer be battling this on our own.

On Tuesday, December 9th, 2025 at 3:34 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Marie,

Respectfully, this request is declined. The Prosecutor's Office, as you are aware, put significant efforts into having this unredacted record returned and/or deleted in the interest of remedying the inadvertent release of portions of the record that may have been subject to redaction.

We recognize that the children involved are your children, but we cannot find an exception relating to the unredacted release of records even for parents of juveniles.

Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115, you may challenge this decision within 180 days with the district court.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:00 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

A copy of the exact record(s) of which Josh and/or Mallorie Evlniuk provided your office(s) in September 2025 concerning unredacted records of my children.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 3:35 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR

Marie,

Respectfully, this request is declined. The Prosecutor's Office, as you are aware, put significant efforts into having this unredacted record returned and/or deleted in the interest of remedying the inadvertent release of portions of the record that may have been subject to redaction.

We recognize that the children involved are your children, but we cannot find an exception relating to the unredacted release of records even for parents of juveniles.

Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115, you may challenge this decision within 180 days with the district court.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:00 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

A copy of the exact record(s) of which Josh and/or Mallorie Evlniuk provided your office(s) in September 2025 concerning unredacted records of my children.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2025 5:22 PM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

74 pages in a file can be emailed on the Boundary County server. I do not believe there is a need to require a flashdrive.

Last week I was able to receive an emailed 486 page file from an email sent on the Boundary County server. I see that all of your responses to my PRRs are now requiring a flashdrive for each request.

On Wednesday, December 10th, 2025 at 5:12 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

There was 74 pages

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnere Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2025 5:07 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

How many pages are contained in this responsive file?

On Wednesday, December 10th, 2025 at 7:44 AM, Emily Carey
<ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

12/10/2025

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: For all public records requests received by Boundary County Sheriff's Office from 11/20/2025 to 12/09/2025.

We have records we have located regarding your request, however the file is too large to send via email. They will be on a flash drive at the Sheriff's Office for pick up. Please be advised that there is a \$5.00 charge for pick up for the cost of the flash drive. Please note that under Idaho Code 74-104 (1), personal information has/have been redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 9:24 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a public records request for all public records requests received by Boundary County Sheriff's Office from 11/20/2025 to present date.

Email is the preferred method of receipt if size permits.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

[REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2025 11:23 PM
To: Emily Carey
Cc: Bill Wilson; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

Emily,

You have not provided me the responsive documents to this specific request dated 12/9/25 @ 12:51pm. The last reply I have from you regarding status of this request is 12/9/25 at 1:27pm

"I apologize for the delay in addressing your recent records request. Based on our assessment, fulfilling this request will require additional information from the person you are requesting information from. That person is currently out of the office.

We are prepared to proceed with the request upon receipt of that information"

Please provide me the requested records.

On Wednesday, December 10th, 2025 at 8:43 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

12/10/2025

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: All communications between Sheriff Travis Stolley and Idaho State Police and other parties

We have sent these documents in your request dated 12/9/25 timestamped at 09:24. This is all responsive documents there were to locate and had previously been provided. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



***CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:** This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains*

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:51 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025.

Emailed Electronic records is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2025 7:48 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: PRR

Some of your requests overlap in their response. This would be one of them and it is included in a request that I have awaiting your pick up here at the Sheriff's Office

*Emily L. Carey
Records Custodian/Civil Deputy
Boundary County Sheriff's office
6438 Kootenai St.
P.O. Box 127
Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805
(208)267-3151 ext 202
ecarey@boundarysheriff.org*



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2025 11:23 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Emily,

You have not provided me the responsive documents to this specific request dated 12/9/25 @ 12:51pm. The last reply I have from you regarding status of this request is 12/9/25 at 1:27pm

"I apologize for the delay in addressing your recent records request. Based on our assessment, fulfilling this request will require additional information from the person you are requesting information from. That person is currently out of the office.

We are prepared to proceed with the request upon receipt of that information"

Please provide me the requested records.

On Wednesday, December 10th, 2025 at 8:43 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

12/10/2025

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: All communications between Sheriff Travis Stolley and Idaho State Police and other parties

We have sent these documents in your request dated 12/9/25 timestamped at 09:24. This is all responsive documents there were to locate and had previously been provided. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:51 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025.

Emailed Electronic records is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]

Bonnors Ferry, ID 83805

Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Thursday, December 11, 2025 11:44 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid; Bill Wilson
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

The allowable file size of which the public records custodian of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office has the ability to send as an attachment on the Boundary County server.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 6:51 AM
To: Marie Garrett; Bill Wilson
Subject: RE: PRR
Attachments: Untitled attachment 00292.txt; Untitled attachment 00295.txt; Untitled attachment 00298.htm; Untitled attachment 00301.txt

This request isn't something the Prosecutor's Office can address. I have sent it to the IT department.

Andrakay Pluid
Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 9:02 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

Thank you. I had sent a message to him with the question as well and did not hear back.
On Friday, December 12th, 2025 at 6:51 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

This request isn't something the Prosecutor's Office can address. I have sent it to the IT department.

Andrakay Pluid
Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

----- Original message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: 12/11/25 11:43 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:
The allowable file size of which the public records custodian of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office has the ability to send as an attachment on the Boundary County server.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 12:09 PM
To: Marie Garrett
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'; 'Emily Carey'
Subject: RE: PRR
Attachments: Untitled attachment 00461.txt; Untitled attachment 00464.txt; Untitled attachment 00467.htm; Untitled attachment 00470.txt

This is the information that was sent to us to by our IT department.

The Sheriff's office email provider let me know that the maximum email size for sending or receiving is 75 MB. This does not give you a total understanding of email routing limitations though. They highly recommend keeping message size much smaller than that (closer to 2 MB). Email has a lot of variables in place, such as:

Sending server max size

Receiving server max size

Attachment type allow list

Internet speed/connectivity for the sender and receiver and both of their mail server locations and all routers between them

DNS routing

SPAM filtering

Andrakay Pluid
Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 12:37 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: PRR

Thank you. Is the Boundary County Prosecutor's Office on the same email server as the Boundary County Sheriff's Office?

I am trying to understand why the Boundary County Prosecutor's Office is able to email me a 486 page file, but the Boundary county Sheriff's Office claims they cannot email me a 58 page file.

On Friday, December 12th, 2025 at 12:08 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

This is the information that was sent to us to by our IT department.

The Sheriff's office email provider let me know that the maximum email size for sending or receiving is 75 MB. This does not give you a total understanding of email routing limitations though. They highly recommend keeping message size much smaller than that (closer to 2 MB). Email has a lot of variables in place, such as:

Sending server max size

Receiving server max size

Attachment type allow list

Internet speed/connectivity for the sender and receiver and both of their mail server locations and all routers between them

DNS routing

SPAM filtering

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

----- Original message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: 12/12/25 9:02 AM (GMT-08:00)
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Thank you. I had sent a message to him with the question as well and did not hear back.

On Friday, December 12th, 2025 at 6:51 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

This request isn't something the Prosecutor's Office can address. I have sent it to the IT department.

Andrakay Pluid
Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

----- Original message -----

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Date: 12/11/25 11:43 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>, Bill Wilson
<bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:
The allowable file size of which the public records custodian of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office has the ability to send as an attachment on the Boundary County server.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]
Bonners Ferry, ID 83805
Ph [REDACTED]

Andrakay Pluid

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 12:52 PM
To: Marie Garrett
Cc: 'Bill Wilson'
Subject: RE: PRR
Attachments: Untitled attachment 00487.txt; Untitled attachment 00490.txt; Untitled attachment 00493.htm; Untitled attachment 00496.txt

I believe we are on the same server, but again, I am not IT so I can't be positive. I do know from experience that I am sometimes shocked at the things the server tells me it won't email because they are too large.

Andrakay Pluid
Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, December 14, 2025 7:05 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org; Travis Stolley; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Sgt. Reynolds, Sheriff Stolley and Andrakay Pluid,

We are continuously having an issue with the Evoniuk's domestic cats trespassing onto our private property. As you are aware, the Evoniuk's domestic cats have been trespassing and creating a problem for us and my kids dogs in the pasture. To the extent, that my kids 2 hound dogs dug out last month due to the cats provoking outside their securely fenced pasture. After the claims of the Evoniuk's last month, we ended up surrendering my kids 2 dogs to the shelter to eliminate any liability of claims from the Evoniuk's. We have 2 remaining Livestock protection dogs in pasture. The Evoniuk's cats trespassing continues to be an issue for our remaining 2 dogs. Our dogs continue to be provoked on their own private property/fenced pasture as result of the Evoniuk's trespassing cats. Additionally, the Evoniuk's cats urinate on our property and damage items permanently by doing so. Cat urine does not clean off and the foul smell penetrates permanently, damaging items.

What can be done about the Evoniuk's numerous cats trespassing onto our property continuously, creating issues for us?





Due to the nature of escalating issues and danger we have been experiencing with these individuals and the cohorts who work in concert, I would like to document that on 12/2/25, my son and husband took the 2 hound dogs to Better Together Animal alliance in Ponderay to surrender the 2 dogs. While they were present in the building doing the surrender, the Evoniuk's affiliate-Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman appeared at the same location, same time. It was apparent she did not have an appointment. She just walked in, asked a question and walked out. I have found this concerning for the fact there is sufficient evidence that my family is being stalked by the Evoniuk's and Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman. Below are just 2 additional concerns:

1. On 11/24/25, Adrienne Norris submitted a PRR. Included in the responsive records, was my email to Greg Reynolds dated 11/17/25, which gave details of our plan to surrender the dogs to Better Together Animal alliance in 2 weeks. (See page 421/486 of PRR responsive doc) Adrienne Norris showed up at Better Together Animal alliance on 12/2/25 during the time of the surrendering of the 2 hound dogs. Potentially as result of knowledge through PRR responsive docs or the suspected use of "stingray tech" tracking device detailed below.

Andrakay Fluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:10 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Cpl.Reynolds,

As result of our neighbor's Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk reporting that my child's 2 chicken on 11/13/25, we have been attempting to remove the liability from our liv harassment and claims we have continually been subjected to by the Evoniuk's : Evoniuk's are now reporting to others (according to Twyla Wall), that the dogs kil Jeremy was only made aware of the chicken. Did the Evoniuk's report to you tha

Immediately after learning of this alleged chicken killing incident concerning my c public social media posts in attempt to locate homes for the 2 dogs. Immediately flagged. My Facebook account was immediately restricted from "group participat every animal shelter from Bonners Ferry down to Spokane. We have contacted 1 All shelters have informed they are either at capacity or there is a waitlist. Better Ponderay require the dogs to be listed on "Home to Home" website. We immedi an owner surrender after the dogs have been on the Home to Home website for I wanted to make you aware of some history with the Evoniuk's and animals:

In 2021, Mallorie Evoniuk texted telling me we needed to be concerned about a l informed her our dog barked at wildlife and human/vehicle stimulus. Mallorie cor Harris and he began making LE reports of our barking dog. On one known date c incessant dog barking, Deputy Schuman drove out to the end of our road and lis reported he did not hear our barking dog. Another neighbor informed Steve Harri the Evoniuk's, but they were telling everyone in the neighborhood it was ours. 3 years ago, the Evoniuk's cats got into our secure chicken brooder and killed a Mallorie Evoniuk. She was nasty with me and ultimately told me to screw off and ecosystem.

Over the years, the Evoniuk's have told neighbors and community members that cats. We have not killed any cats or poisoned any cats.

The Evoniuk's have claimed to neighbors that my husband is a bear poacher. In she shot at a bear up a tree with a 9mm and it was then ravaging their property : She feared for hwr and her kids safety. She sent me photos of canned foods tha crushed with teeth marks, and a photo of bear paw prints on the side of their can offered my husband to help if she needed it. I also suggested she report the bea

2. For quite some time, I have been concerned the Evoniuk's and or Adrienne Norris may be hacking our cell communications transmitter via an IMSI catcher or "stingray tech" tracking device as previously referenced on social media by both Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " and Mallorie Evoniuk. My child had a "Life360" app installed on his phone. His pinned location has consistently jumped around as "short trips" to the vicinity of the Evoniuk's property while he was at home. (The jumping of location has only occurred while he was at home, never



occurring elsewhere.)



youtube.com

The Stingray: How Law Enforcement Can Track Your Every Move

👍 2 🗨️ 1



All comments ▾



James Daniels · 1y

Our acceptance of the loss of any freedom is quickly leading to the loss of all of our freedoms. Americans have been on this slippery slope for decades.

👍 1



Boundary County Watchman · 1y ·  Author

⚠️ The map is outdated. I am being informed Stingray is allegedly being used in our area.

<https://www.aclu.org/issues/privacy-technology/surveillance-technologies/stingray-tracking-devices>



ACLU.ORG

Stingray Tracking Devices | American Civil Liberties ..

👍 1





C's short trip

6:52 am - 8:25 am (1 hr 33 min)



C's trip details

○ Home

6:52 AM

● Home


8:25 AM





C[REDACTED]'s short trip

12:09 am – 2:23 am (2 hr 13 min)






C [REDACTED]

Last updated 30 sec ago



1 mi Trip

2:00 am – 7:10 am (5



I would appreciate the above information documented and a reply to my question of what to do about the Evoniuk's numerous trespassing cats continuing to create issues for us.

Additionally, I feel it may be warranted to conflict an investigation into the potential use of "stingray tech" tracking device and Adrienne Norris' 10/19/24 publicly claimed knowledge of the use of "stingray tech", an invasive tracking device that breaches citizen's privacy and security. It seems this may be a federal crime.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Wednesday, November 19th, 2025 at 10:30 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org <greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I have added your email into a supplemental report for case 25-00737.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:10 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Cpl.Reynolds,

As result of our neighbor's Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk reporting that my child's 2 sweet and timid dogs killed their chicken on 11/13/25, we have been attempting to remove the liability from our lives due to the egregious harassment and claims we have continually been subjected to by the Evoniuk's and their cohort group. The Evoniuk's are now reporting to others (according to Twyla Wall), that the dogs killed a cat. This is news to us, as Jeremy was only made aware of the chicken. Did the Evoniuk's report to you that the dogs killed a cat?

Immediately after learning of this alleged chicken killing incident concerning my child's 2 dogs, I began making public social media posts in attempt to locate homes for the 2 dogs. Immediately, my posts were reported and flagged. My Facebook account was immediately restricted from "group participation". We have made contact with every animal shelter from Bonners Ferry down to Spokane. We have contacted the shelter in Lincoln County, MT. All shelters have informed they are either at capacity or there is a waitlist. Better Together Animal alliance in Ponderay require the dogs to be listed on "Home to Home" website. We immediately did that. Their shelter will do an owner surrender after the dogs have been on the Home to Home website for 2 weeks.

I wanted to make you aware of some history with the Evoniuk's and animals:

In 2021, Mallorie Evoniuk texted telling me we needed to be concerned about a lawsuit due to our dog barking. I informed her our dog barked at wildlife and human/vehicle stimulus. Mallorie contacted the other neighbor, Steve Harris and he began making LE reports of our barking dog. On one known date of complaint to LE, claiming incessant dog barking, Deputy Schuman drove out to the end of our road and listened for a barking dog. He reported he did not hear our barking dog. Another neighbor informed Steve Harris the constant barking dogs was the Evoniuk's, but they were telling everyone in the neighborhood it was ours.

3 years ago, the Evoniuk's cats got into our secure chicken brooder and killed approximately 40 chicks. I contacted Mallorie Evoniuk. She was nasty with me and ultimately told me to screw off and that her cats were part of the ecosystem.

Over the years, the Evoniuk's have told neighbors and community members that we poison their cats and kill their cats. We have not killed any cats or poisoned any cats.

The Evoniuk's have claimed to neighbors that my husband is a bear poacher. In 2022, Mallorie Evoniuk texted me she shot at a bear up a tree with a 9mm and it was then ravaging their property and her husband was not home. She feared for hwr and her kids safety. She sent me photos of canned foods that were stored outside and had been crushed with teeth marks, and a photo of bear paw prints on the side of their camper. Out of safety concern, I offered my husband to help if she needed it. I also suggested she report the bear to Fish and Game. My husband never went to help. Soon after, she began telling the community my husband is a bear poacher.

Spring/summer 2024, the Evoniuk's 2 dogs were at our property frequently. One of our dogs (who we no longer have) would get out and run off with the Evoniuk's dogs, always running toward the east side of our property, which parallels with Humboldt drive. I drove down Humboldt drive to recover my dog. Recently, I was made aware that the Evoniuk's made a Law enforcement reports which made claims I trespassed onto their road.

In October 2024, The Evoniuk's 2 dogs visited our property daily for over 2 weeks. During this time it drove our dogs in their fenced pastures nuts, but they all stayed in their pasture. The Evoniuk's 2 dogs killed our chickens, guinea hen and a goose. Regretfully, We did not file a LE report, as it was around the time that I had to appear in Court as result of the Evoniuk's attempt to drag me into their business lawsuit through subpoena abuse of the court system (my subpoena was quashed). My husband told me to let the matter of their dogs killing our livestock go, we didn't need any further fabrications, public harassment and further issues in our lives created by the Evoniuks and their cohort group.

The Evoniuk's cats have roamed our property for years. Recently we have been seeing a large black cat within close area of our dog pasture. Out of the blue our dogs began desiring to leave their fenced/hotwired pasture just last week. A dog with a dead chicken in it's mouth does not indicate my child's dog killed the chicken. We know for certain the Evoniuk's dogs are chicken/livestock killers. I would not put it past the Evoniuk's to give the dog a dead chicken to carry around for a photo opportunity. We previously had free range chickens that were subjected to our dogs. We did not have an issue with our dogs killing chickens.

Which brings me to the next relevant detail. Soon after I posted on Facebook social media, looking for the dogs to be rehomed- Twyla Wall screen shot the post (not shared). I did not see the post until 11/16/25. See below post/comments.

I wrote to Twyla Wall, informing her what she commented has not been our experience with the 2 dogs and that it is questionable in what the neighbors are claiming. She wrote " she received the info from an anonymous person.



twyla wall



Boundary And Bonn Lost, Found and Rel



Admin



Twyla Wall

have to be re homed imm
(tonight). It is absolutely

Both are neutered.

Please no public ridicule.
choice.

Please contact me if you





Posts

All comments ▾



Colleen Marie · 1d

omg....poor pups!!

Reply



4



Britt Driggars · 1d

Colleen Marie y
this twice just F

Reply



2



Colleen Marie · 1d

drop them off at she

⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮



Posts



I want the hound do

Reply



6



Twyla Wall · 1d ·  [Author](#)

If someone does ad
please let it be know
owner does have sc
them and poultry at
possibly other pet c
the owner of this gr
reposted this for the
would highly recom

11:10 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

10:31 AM

I saw where my post. Since I reported my post I am unable to comment right now. We have an issue with the dogs we are rehoming due to a neighbor. The history there

11:10 [🗨️] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

I had someone come
who wishes to remain
anonymous stating
was called, chickens
killed and also a cat
read my comment on
I stated a home with
poultry or other animals
your name and their
it and I even deleted
comment where someone
said to put them down



11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

And I 100% agree w
and the animals the
retriever I breed and
I know the lineage a
hunting lines



The problem
person that c
guessing my
friend) actual
dog kill the c
for a fact the

11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

Thank you. I
put you in the
Unfortunately
suspect (if it
neighbor her
of the level o
my family ha
that post wit
saying child's
chicken killer
issues with o

It is obvious to us what the Evoniuk's are doing. The fact that the Evoniuk's and their cohort group have been publicly sabotaging my family, while always involving others to engage in the sabotaging is a pattern. In this instance, the involved are engaging in methods to sabotage the ability to rehome my child's 2 dogs, based on untruths.

I would suggest you interview Twyla Wall to determine who the "anonymous person" is, and what the intent of the anonymous person encouraging her to share unverified information about the 2 dogs we are now trying to rehome is. I believe an inquiry into a killed cat is worthwhile.

I realize this matter may seem trivial, concerning the 2 dogs that escaped pasture. I am not refuting the fact that the dogs need to be in pasture. The Evoniuk's provoking cats and dogs that visit our property and pasture also need to be home and fenced in. However, I do want this information documented, as it is a piece of much serious and larger issues surrounding the people involved. Additionally, I am confident this event will be twisted into another public smear campaign, dragging my children and our personal matters into the limelight of "Government transparency" misinformation public campaign to continue to personally damage our family.

Over the years, we have experienced many false claims of the Evoniuk's, which always seem to land on the "Boundary County Watchman" viral media sites. For this reason, I am documenting with the authorities.

PLEASE REPLY AS CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF THIS EMAIL.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, December 14, 2025 7:50 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org; Travis Stolley; Andrakay Pluid
Subject: RE: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Below is a screenshot of additional information to reference the Evoniuk's residence in relation to ours. Directly from the County GIS website. I added the names to the graphic.



Boundary Cou...

ience.arcgis.com



Boundar



F..



Find

Map Layers

Map

Layers

B
o
u
n
d
a

RP63N01E250021A

On Sunday, December 14th, 2025 at 7:04 PM, Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Sgt. Reynolds, Sheriff Stolley and Andrakay Pluid,

We are continuously having an issue with the Evoniuk's domestic cats trespassing onto our private property. As you are aware, the Evoniuk's domestic cats have been trespassing and creating a problem for us and my kids dogs in the pasture. To the extent, that my kids 2 hound dogs dug out last month due to the cats provoking outside their securely fenced pasture. After the claims of the Evoniuk's last month, we ended up surrendering my kids 2 dogs to the shelter to eliminate any liability of claims from the Evoniuk's. We have 2 remaining Livestock protection dogs in pasture. The Evoniuk's cats trespassing continues to be an issue for our remaining 2 dogs. Our dogs continue to be provoked on their own private property/fenced pasture as result of the Evoniuk's trespassing cats.

Additionally, the Evoniuk's cats urinate on our property and damage items permanently by doing so. Cat urine does not clean off and the foul smell penetrates permanently, damaging items.

What can be done about the Evoniuk's numerous cats trespassing onto our property continuously, creating issues for us?





Due to the nature of escalating issues and danger we have been experiencing with these individuals and the cohorts who work in concert, I would like to document that on 12/2/25, my son and husband took the 2 hound dogs to Better Together Animal alliance in Ponderay to surrender the 2 dogs. While they were present in the building doing the surrender, the Evoniuk's affiliate-Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman appeared at the same location, same time. It was apparent she did not have an appointment. She just walked in, asked a question and walked out. I have found this concerning for the fact there is sufficient evidence that my family is being stalked by the Evoniuk's and Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman. Below are just 2 additional concerns:

1. On 11/24/25, Adrienne Norris submitted a PRR. Included in the responsive records, was my email to Greg Reynolds dated 11/17/25, which gave details of our plan to surrender the dogs to Better Together Animal alliance in 2 weeks. (See page 421/486 of PRR responsive doc) Adrienne Norris showed up at Better Together Animal alliance on 12/2/25 during the time of the surrendering of the 2 hound dogs. Potentially as result of knowledge through PRR responsive docs or the suspected use of "stingray tech" tracking device detailed below.

Andrakay Fluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:10 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Cpl.Reynolds,

As result of our neighbor's Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk reporting that my chi chicken on 11/13/25, we have been attempting to remove the liability from harassment and claims we have continually been subjected to by the Evor Evoniuk's are now reporting to others (according to Twyla Wall), that the d Jeremy was only made aware of the chicken. Did the Evoniuk's report to y

Immediately after learning of this alleged chicken killing incident concerning public social media posts in attempt to locate homes for the 2 dogs. Immed flagged. My Facebook account was immediately restricted from "group par every animal shelter from Bonners Ferry down to Spokane. We have conta All shelters have informed they are either at capacity or there is a waitlist. I Ponderay require the dogs to be listed on "Home to Home" website. We in an owner surrender after the dogs have been on the Home to Home websi I wanted to make you aware of some history with the Evoniuk's and animal In 2021, Mallorie Evoniuk texted telling me we needed to be concerned ab informed her our dog barked at wildlife and human/vehicle stimulus. Mallor Harris and he began making LE reports of our barking dog. On one known incessant dog barking, Deputy Schuman drove out to the end of our road a reported he did not hear our barking dog. Another neighbor informed Steve the Evoniuk's, but they were telling everyone in the neighborhood it was o 3 years ago, the Evoniuk's cats got into our secure chicken brooder and kil Mallorie Evoniuk. She was nasty with me and ultimately told me to screw o ecosystem.

Over the years, the Evoniuk's have told neighbors and community member cats. We have not killed any cats or poisoned any cats.

The Evoniuk's have claimed to neighbors that my husband is a bear poach she shot at a bear up a tree with a 9mm and it was then ravaging their prop She feared for hwr and her kids safety. She sent me photos of canned food crushed with teeth marks, and a photo of bear paw prints on the side of the offered my husband to help if she needed it. I also suggested she report th

2. For quite some time, I have been concerned the Evoniuk's and or Adrienne Norris may be hacking our cell communications transmitter via an IMSI catcher or "stingray tech" tracking device as previously referenced on social media by both Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " and Mallorie Evoniuk. My child had a "Life360" app installed on his phone. His pinned location has consistently jumped around as "short trips" to the vicinity of the Evoniuk's property while he was at home. (The jumping of location has only occurred while he was at home, never occurring elsewhere.

✕ Boundary County Wat... 🔍 🌐

Boundary County Watchman Oct 19, 2024 · 🌐

Stingray Technology mimics cell towers, intercepting not only your personal information but also that of everyone nearby —without a warrant.

<https://lawreview.vermontlaw.edu/surveillance-state-how-stingray-technology-undermines-fourth-amendment-rights/>

⚠️ The map is outdated. I am being informed Stingray is allegedly being used in our area.

<https://www.aclu.org/issues/privacy-technology/surveillance-technologies/stingray-tracking-devices>

More details:
<https://youtu.be/Y1E3r2USd8I?feature=shared>



youtube.com

The Stingray: How Law Enforcement Can Track Your Every Move



youtube.com

The Stingray: How Law Enforcement Can Track Your Every Move



2



1



All comments ▾



James Daniels · 1y

Our acceptance of the loss of any freedom is quickly leading to the loss of all of our freedoms. Americans have been on this slippery slope for decades.



1



Boundary County Watchman · 1y · [Author](#)

⚠️ The map is outdated. I am being informed Stingray is allegedly being used in our area.

<https://www.aclu.org/issues/privacy-technology/surveillance-technologies/stingray-tracking-devices>



ACLU.ORG

Stingray Tracking Devices | American Civil Liberties ...



1



← C's short trip
6:52 am - 8:25 am (1 hr 33 min)



C's trip details


○ Home	6:52 AM
📍 Home	8:25 AM





C [REDACTED]'s short trip

12:09 am – 2:23 am (2 hr 13 mi)





C [REDACTED]

Last updated 30 sec ago



1 mi Trip

2:00 am – 7:10 am (



I would appreciate the above information documented and a reply to my question of what to do about the Evoniuk's numerous trespassing cats continuing to create issues for us. Additionally, I feel it may be warranted to conflict an investigation into the potential use of "stingray tech" tracking device and Adrienne Norris' 10/19/24 publicly claimed knowledge of the use of "stingray tech", an invasive tracking device that breaches citizen's privacy and security. It seems this may be a federal crime.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Wednesday, November 19th, 2025 at 10:30 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I have added your email into a supplemental report for case 25-00737.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:10 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Cpl.Reynolds,

As result of our neighbor's Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk reporting that my child's 2 sweet and timid dogs killed their chicken on 11/13/25, we have been attempting to remove the liability from our lives due to the egregious harassment and claims we have continually been subjected to by the Evoniuk's and their cohort group. The Evoniuk's are now reporting to others (according to Twyla Wall), that the dogs

killed a cat. This is news to us, as Jeremy was only made aware of the chicken. Did the Evoniuk's report to you that the dogs killed a cat?

Immediately after learning of this alleged chicken killing incident concerning my child's 2 dogs, I began making public social media posts in attempt to locate homes for the 2 dogs. Immediately, my posts were reported and flagged. My Facebook account was immediately restricted from "group participation". We have made contact with every animal shelter from Bonners Ferry down to Spokane. We have contacted the shelter in Lincoln County, MT. All shelters have informed they are either at capacity or there is a waitlist. Better Together Animal alliance in Ponderay require the dogs to be listed on "Home to Home" website. We immediately did that. Their shelter will do an owner surrender after the dogs have been on the Home to Home website for 2 weeks.

I wanted to make you aware of some history with the Evoniuk's and animals:

In 2021, Mallorie Evoniuk texted telling me we needed to be concerned about a lawsuit due to our dog barking. I informed her our dog barked at wildlife and human/vehicle stimulus. Mallorie contacted the other neighbor, Steve Harris and he began making LE reports of our barking dog. On one known date of complaint to LE, claiming incessant dog barking, Deputy Schuman drove out to the end of our road and listened for a barking dog. He reported he did not hear our barking dog. Another neighbor informed Steve Harris the constant barking dogs was the Evoniuk's, but they were telling everyone in the neighborhood it was ours.

3 years ago, the Evoniuk's cats got into our secure chicken brooder and killed approximately 40 chicks. I contacted Mallorie Evoniuk. She was nasty with me and ultimately told me to screw off and that her cats were part of the ecosystem.

Over the years, the Evoniuk's have told neighbors and community members that we poison their cats and kill their cats. We have not killed any cats or poisoned any cats.

The Evoniuk's have claimed to neighbors that my husband is a bear poacher. In 2022, Mallorie Evoniuk texted me she shot at a bear up a tree with a 9mm and it was then ravaging their property and her husband was not home. She feared for hwr and her kids safety. She sent me photos of canned foods that were stored outside and had been crushed with teeth marks, and a photo of bear paw prints on the side of their camper. Out of safety concern, I offered my husband to help if she needed it. I also suggested she report the bear to Fish and Game. My husband never went to help. Soon after, she began telling the community my husband is a bear poacher.

Spring/summer 2024, the Evoniuk's 2 dogs were at our property frequently. One of our dogs (who we no longer have) would get out and run off with the Evoniuk's dogs, always running toward the east side of our property, which parallels with Humboldt drive. I drove down Humboldt drive to recover my dog. Recently, I was made aware

that the Evoniuk's made a Law enforcement reports which made claims I trespassed onto their road.

In October 2024, The Evoniuk's 2 dogs visited our property daily for over 2 weeks. During this time it drove our dogs in their fenced pastures nuts, but they all stayed in their pasture. The Evoniuk's 2 dogs killed our chickens, guinea hen and a goose. Regretfully, We did not file a LE report, as it was around the time that I had to appear in Court as result of the Evoniuk's attempt to drag me into their business lawsuit through subpoena abuse of the court system (my subpoena was quashed). My husband told me to let the matter of their dogs killing our livestock go, we didn't need any further fabrications, public harassment and further issues in our lives created by the Evoniuks and their cohort group.

The Evoniuk's cats have roamed our property for years. Recently we have been seeing a large black cat within close area of our dog pasture. Out of the blue our dogs began desiring to leave their fenced/hotwired pasture just last week. A dog with a dead chicken in it's mouth does not indicate my child's dog killed the chicken. We know for certain the Evoniuk's dogs are chicken/livestock killers. I would not put it past the Evoniuk's to give the dog a dead chicken to carry around for a photo opportunity. We previously had free range chickens that were subjected to our dogs. We did not have an issue with our dogs killing chickens.

Which brings me to the next relevant detail. Soon after I posted on Facebook social media, looking for the dogs to be rehomed- Twyla Wall screen shot the post (not shared). I did not see the post until 11/16/25. See below post/comments.

I wrote to Twyla Wall, informing her what she commented has not been our experience with the 2 dogs and that it is questionable in what the neighbors are claiming. She wrote " she received the info from an anonymous person.



twyla wall



Boundary And Bo Lost, Found and F



Admin



Twyla W

have to be re homed in
(tonight). It is absolute

Both are neutered.

Please no public ridicul
choice.

Please contact me if yc





Post

All comments ▾



Colleen Marie · 1d

omg....poor pups!

Reply



4



Britt Driggars · 1d

Colleen Marie
this twice just

Reply



2



Colleen Marie · 1d

drop them off at s

⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮



Post



I want the hound c

Reply



Twyla Wall · 1d · Autl

If someone does
please let it be kn
owner does have
them and poultry
possibly other pet
the owner of this
reposted this for t
would highly reco

11:10 [app icon] [app icon] [app icon] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

10:31 A

I saw when
my post. S
reported m
unable to c
right now.
an issue w
dogs we a
rehome du
neighbor. T
history the

11:10 [message icon] [camera icon] [camera icon] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

I had someone co
who wishes to ren
anonymous statin
was called, chicke
killed and also a c
read my commen
I stated a home w
poultry or other a
your name and th
it and I even delet
comment where s
said to put them c



11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

And I 100% agree
and the animals to
retriever I breed a
I know the lineage
hunting lines



The problem
person that
guessing n
friend) act
dog kill the
for a fact t

11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

Thank you
put you in
Unfortunat
suspect (if
neighbor h
of the leve
my family
that post v
saying chil
chicken kil
issues with

It is obvious to us what the Evoniuk's are doing. The fact that the Evoniuk's and their cohort group have been publicly sabotaging my family, while always involving others to engage in the sabotaging is a pattern. In this instance, the involved are engaging in methods to sabotage the ability to rehome my child's 2 dogs, based on untruths.

I would suggest you interview Twyla Wall to determine who the "anonymous person" is, and what the intent of the anonymous person encouraging her to share unverified information about the 2 dogs we are now trying to rehome is. I believe an inquiry into a killed cat is worthwhile.

I realize this matter may seem trivial, concerning the 2 dogs that escaped pasture. I am not refuting the fact that the dogs need to be in pasture. The Evoniuk's provoking cats and dogs that visit our property and pasture also need to be home and fenced in. However, I do want this information documented, as it is a piece of much serious and larger issues surrounding the people involved. Additionally, I am confident this event will be twisted into another public smear campaign, dragging my children and our personal matters into the limelight of "Government transparency" misinformation public campaign to continue to personally damage our family.

Over the years, we have experienced many false claims of the Evoniuk's, which always seem to land on the "Boundary County Watchman" viral media sites. For this reason, I am documenting with the authorities.

PLEASE REPLY AS CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF THIS EMAIL.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

Andrakay Pluid

From: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Sent: Monday, December 15, 2025 10:24 AM
To: 'Marie Garrett'; greynolds@boundarysheriff.org; 'Andrakay Pluid'
Subject: RE: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Marie,

If you are wanting to report a crime, you need to contact Sheriff's Dispatch at 208-267-3151 extension 0. The on-duty deputy will respond and initiate an investigation. You bring up concerns that need to be investigated but you will need to follow the standard procedure we require of everyone which is to contact Sheriff's Dispatch. This will ensure your request is followed up on and documented correctly.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, December 14, 2025 7:05 PM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org; Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Sgt. Reynolds, Sheriff Stolley and Andrakay Pluid,

We are continuously having an issue with the Evoniuk's domestic cats trespassing onto our private property. As you are aware, the Evoniuk's domestic cats have been trespassing and creating a problem for us and my kids dogs in the pasture. To the extent, that my kids 2 hound dogs dug out last month due to the cats provoking outside their securely fenced pasture. After the claims of the Evoniuk's last month, we ended up surrendering my kids 2 dogs to the shelter to eliminate any liability of claims from the Evoniuk's. We have 2 remaining Livestock protection dogs in pasture. The Evoniuk's cats trespassing continues to be an issue for our remaining 2 dogs. Our dogs continue to be provoked on their own private property/fenced pasture as result of the Evoniuk's trespassing cats. Additionally, the Evoniuk's cats urinate on our property and damage items permanently by doing so. Cat urine does not clean off and the foul smell penetrates permanently, damaging items.

What can be done about the Evoniuk's numerous cats trespassing onto our property continuously, creating issues for us?





Due to the nature of escalating issues and danger we have been experiencing with these individuals and the cohorts who work in concert, I would like to document that on 12/2/25, my son and husband took the 2 hound dogs to Better Together Animal alliance in Ponderay to surrender the 2 dogs. While they were present in the building doing the surrender, the Evoniuk's affiliate-Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman appeared at the same location, same time. It was apparent she did not have an appointment. She just walked in, asked a question and walked out. I have found this concerning for the fact there is sufficient evidence that my family is being stalked by the Evoniuk's and Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman. Below are just 2 additional concerns:

1. On 11/24/25, Adrienne Norris submitted a PRR. Included in the responsive records, was my email to Greg Reynolds dated 11/17/25, which gave details of our plan to surrender the dogs to Better Together Animal alliance in 2 weeks. (See page 421/486 of PRR responsive doc) Adrienne Norris showed up at Better Together Animal alliance on 12/2/25 during the time of the surrendering of the 2 hound dogs. Potentially as result of knowledge through PRR responsive docs or the suspected use of "stingray tech" tracking device detailed below.

Andrakay Fluid

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:10 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Cpl.Reynolds,

As result of our neighbor's Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk reporting that my child's 2 chicken on 11/13/25, we have been attempting to remove the liability from our lives. Harassment and claims we have continually been subjected to by the Evoniuk's. The Evoniuk's are now reporting to others (according to Twyla Wall), that the dogs killed Jeremy was only made aware of the chicken. Did the Evoniuk's report to you that


Immediately after learning of this alleged chicken killing incident concerning my child, I posted public social media posts in attempt to locate homes for the 2 dogs. Immediately flagged. My Facebook account was immediately restricted from "group participation". I took every animal shelter from Bonners Ferry down to Spokane. We have contacted 10. All shelters have informed they are either at capacity or there is a waitlist. Better Ponderay require the dogs to be listed on "Home to Home" website. We immediately had an owner surrender after the dogs have been on the Home to Home website for 1 week. I wanted to make you aware of some history with the Evoniuk's and animals:

In 2021, Mallorie Evoniuk texted telling me we needed to be concerned about a liability. I informed her our dog barked at wildlife and human/vehicle stimulus. Mallorie contacted Officer Harris and he began making LE reports of our barking dog. On one known date of incessant dog barking, Deputy Schuman drove out to the end of our road and his report he did not hear our barking dog. Another neighbor informed Steve Harris that the Evoniuk's, but they were telling everyone in the neighborhood it was ours. 3 years ago, the Evoniuk's cats got into our secure chicken brooder and killed a chicken. Mallorie Evoniuk. She was nasty with me and ultimately told me to screw off and leave the ecosystem.

Over the years, the Evoniuk's have told neighbors and community members that we have killed cats. We have not killed any cats or poisoned any cats.

The Evoniuk's have claimed to neighbors that my husband is a bear poacher. In 2018, she shot at a bear up a tree with a 9mm and it was then ravaging their property and she feared for her and her kids safety. She sent me photos of canned foods that were crushed with teeth marks, and a photo of bear paw prints on the side of their car. She offered my husband to help if she needed it. I also suggested she report the bear incident to the sheriff's office. Once after she began telling the community my husband is

2. For quite some time, I have been concerned the Evoniuk's and or Adrienne Norris may be hacking our cell communications transmitter via an IMSI catcher or "stingray tech" tracking device as previously referenced on social media by both Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " and Mallorie Evoniuk. My child had a "Life360" app installed on his phone. His pinned location has consistently jumped around as "short trips" to the vicinity of the Evoniuk's property while he was at home. (The jumping of location has only occurred while he was at home, never



occurring elsewhere.)



youtube.com

The Stingray: How Law Enforcement Can Track Your Every Move

 2  1



All comments ▾



James Daniels · 1y

Our acceptance of the loss of any freedom is quickly leading to the loss of all of our freedoms. Americans have been on this slippery slope for decades.



1



Boundary County Watchman · 1y ·  Author

⚠️ The map is outdated. I am being informed Stingray is allegedly being used in our area.

<https://www.aclu.org/issues/privacy-technology/surveillance-technologies/stingray-tracking-devices>



ACLU.ORG

Stingray Tracking Devices | American Civil Liberties ...



1



← C [redacted] s short trip
6:52 am - 8:25 am (1 hr 33 min)



C [redacted] s trip details


○ Home	6:52 AM
📍 Home	8:25 AM





C [REDACTED]'s short trip

12:09 am – 2:23 am (2 hr 13 min)





C [REDACTED]

Last updated 30 sec ago



1 mi Trip

2:00 am – 7:10 am (5



I would appreciate the above information documented and a reply to my question of what to do about the Evoniuk's numerous trespassing cats continuing to create issues for us. Additionally, I feel it may be warranted to conflict an investigation into the potential use of "stingray tech" tracking device and Adrienne Norris' 10/19/24 publicly claimed knowledge of the use of "stingray tech", an invasive tracking device that breaches citizen's privacy and security. It seems this may be a federal crime.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Wednesday, November 19th, 2025 at 10:30 PM, greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
<greynolds@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I have added your email into a supplemental report for case 25-00737.

Sergeant Greg Reynolds #1506

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

Dispatch: 208-267-3151

From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 17, 2025 8:10 AM
To: greynolds@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: Supplemental information to 11/13/25

Cpl.Reynolds,

As result of our neighbor's Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk reporting that my child's 2 sweet and timid dogs killed their chicken on 11/13/25, we have been attempting to remove the liability from our lives due to the egregious harassment and claims we have continually been subjected to by the Evoniuk's and their cohort group. The Evoniuk's are now reporting to others (according to Twyla Wall), that the dogs killed a cat. This is news to us, as Jeremy was only made aware of the chicken. Did the Evoniuk's report to you that the dogs killed a cat?

Immediately after learning of this alleged chicken killing incident concerning my child's 2 dogs, I began making public social media posts in attempt to locate homes for the 2 dogs. Immediately, my posts were reported and flagged. My Facebook account was immediately restricted from "group participation". We have made contact with every animal shelter from Bonners Ferry down to Spokane. We have contacted the shelter in Lincoln County, MT. All shelters have informed they are either at capacity or there is a waitlist. Better Together Animal alliance in Ponderay require the dogs to be listed on "Home to Home" website. We immediately did that. Their shelter will do an owner surrender after the dogs have been on the Home to Home website for 2 weeks.

I wanted to make you aware of some history with the Evoniuk's and animals:

In 2021, Mallorie Evoniuk texted telling me we needed to be concerned about a lawsuit due to our dog barking. I informed her our dog barked at wildlife and human/vehicle stimulus. Mallorie contacted the other neighbor, Steve Harris and he began making LE reports of our barking dog. On one known date of complaint to LE, claiming incessant dog barking, Deputy Schuman drove out to the end of our road and listened for a barking dog. He reported he did not hear our barking dog. Another neighbor informed Steve Harris the constant barking dogs was the Evoniuk's, but they were telling everyone in the neighborhood it was ours.

3 years ago, the Evoniuk's cats got into our secure chicken brooder and killed approximately 40 chicks. I contacted Mallorie Evoniuk. She was nasty with me and ultimately told me to screw off and that her cats were part of the ecosystem.

Over the years, the Evoniuk's have told neighbors and community members that we poison their cats and kill their cats. We have not killed any cats or poisoned any cats.

The Evoniuk's have claimed to neighbors that my husband is a bear poacher. In 2022, Mallorie Evoniuk texted me she shot at a bear up a tree with a 9mm and it was then ravaging their property and her husband was not home. She feared for hwr and her kids safety. She sent me photos of canned foods that were stored outside and had been crushed with teeth marks, and a photo of bear paw prints on the side of their camper. Out of safety concern, I offered my husband to help if she needed it. I also suggested she report the bear to Fish and Game. My husband never went to help. Soon after, she began telling the community my husband is a bear poacher.

Spring/summer 2024, the Evoniuk's 2 dogs were at our property frequently. One of our dogs (who we no longer have) would get out and run off with the Evoniuk's dogs, always running toward the east side of our property, which parallels with Humboldt drive. I drove down Humboldt drive to recover my dog. Recently, I was made aware that the Evoniuk's made a Law enforcement reports which made claims I trespassed onto their road.

In October 2024, The Evoniuk's 2 dogs visited our property daily for over 2 weeks. During this time it drove our dogs in their fenced pastures nuts, but they all stayed in their pasture. The Evoniuk's 2 dogs killed our chickens, guinea hen and a goose. Regretfully, We did not file a LE report, as it was around the time that I had to appear in Court as result of the Evoniuk's attempt to drag me into their business lawsuit through subpoena abuse of the court system (my subpoena was quashed). My husband told me to let the matter of their dogs killing our livestock go, we didn't need any further fabrications, public harassment and further issues in our lives created by the Evoniuks and their cohort group.

The Evoniuk's cats have roamed our property for years. Recently we have been seeing a large black cat within close area of our dog pasture. Out of the blue our dogs began desiring to leave their fenced/hotwired pasture just last week. A dog with a dead chicken in it's mouth does not indicate my child's dog killed the chicken. We know for certain the Evoniuk's dogs are chicken/livestock killers. I would not put it past the Evoniuk's to give the dog a dead chicken to carry around for a photo opportunity. We previously had free range chickens that were subjected to our dogs. We did not have an issue with our dogs killing chickens.

Which brings me to the next relevant detail. Soon after I posted on Facebook social media, looking for the dogs to be rehomed- Twyla Wall screen shot the post (not shared). I did not see the post until 11/16/25. See below post/comments.

I wrote to Twyla Wall, informing her what she commented has not been our experience with the 2 dogs and that it is questionable in what the neighbors are claiming. She wrote " she received the info from an anonymous person.



twyla wall



Boundary And Bonn Lost, Found and Rel



Admin



Twyla Wall

have to be re homed imm
(tonight). It is absolutely

Both are neutered.

Please no public ridicule.
choice.

Please contact me if you





Posts

All comments ▾



Colleen Marie · 1d

omg....poor pups!!

Reply



4



Britt Driggars · 1d

Colleen Marie y
this twice just F

Reply



2



Colleen Marie · 1d

drop them off at she

⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮ ⋮



Posts



I want the hound do

Reply



6



Twyla Wall · 1d ·  [Author](#)

If someone does ad
please let it be know
owner does have sc
them and poultry at
possibly other pet c
the owner of this gr
reposted this for the
would highly recom

11:10 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

10:31 AM

I saw where my post. Since reported my unable to co right now. We an issue with dogs we are rehome due neighbor. The history there

11:10 [🗨️] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

I had someone come
who wishes to remain
anonymous stating
was called, chickens
killed and also a cat
read my comment of
I stated a home with
poultry or other animals
your name and their
it and I even deleted
comment where someone
said to put them down



11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

And I 100% agree w
and the animals the
retriever I breed and
I know the lineage a
hunting lines



The problem
person that c
guessing my
friend) actual
dog kill the c
for a fact the

11:11 [📷] [📷] [📷] •



Twyla Wall

Active Now

Thank you. I
put you in the
Unfortunately
suspect (if it
neighbor her
of the level o
my family ha
that post wit
saying child's
chicken killer
issues with o

It is obvious to us what the Evoniuk's are doing. The fact that the Evoniuk's and their cohort group have been publicly sabotaging my family, while always involving others to engage in the sabotaging is a pattern. In this instance, the involved are engaging in methods to sabotage the ability to rehome my child's 2 dogs, based on untruths.

I would suggest you interview Twyla Wall to determine who the "anonymous person" is, and what the intent of the anonymous person encouraging her to share unverified information about the 2 dogs we are now trying to rehome is. I believe an inquiry into a killed cat is worthwhile.


I realize this matter may seem trivial, concerning the 2 dogs that escaped pasture. I am not refuting the fact that the dogs need to be in pasture. The Evoniuk's provoking cats and dogs that visit our property and pasture also need to be home and fenced in. However, I do want this information documented, as it is a piece of much serious and larger issues surrounding the people involved. Additionally, I am confident this event will be twisted into another public smear campaign, dragging my children and our personal matters into the limelight of "Government transparency" misinformation public campaign to continue to personally damage our family.

Over the years, we have experienced many false claims of the Evoniuk's, which always seem to land on the "Boundary County Watchman" viral media sites. For this reason, I am documenting with the authorities.

PLEASE REPLY AS CONFIRMATION OF RECEIPT OF THIS EMAIL.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett



Re: PRR

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Fri 12/12/2025 1:42 PM

To Matt Hodges <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Emily Carey' <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>; Boundary Commissioners <commissioners@boundarycountyid.org>

I took care of it.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

----- Original message -----

From: Matt Hodges <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>

Date: 12/12/25 1:41 PM (GMT-08:00)

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>, 'Emily Carey' <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>, Boundary Commissioners <commissioners@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: Re: PRR

Andrakay, Commissioners,

Just verifying that you are not forwarding the information to Ms. Garrett and want me to?

Thanks,
Matt

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 12:04:37 PM

To: Matt Hodges <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Emily Carey' <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: PRR

I think that is generally the case. This really isn't a Sheriff's Office request though, it's IT which is why I sent it along.

Thanks for the information.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

----- Original message -----

From: Matt Hodges <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>

Date: 12/12/25 10:48 AM (GMT-08:00)

To: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>, 'Emily Carey' <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: PRR

Andrakay, Emily,

From what I was told, all records request for the Sheriff's office are supposed to go to Emily Carey. It appears that Ms. Garrett is trying to go around their procedures by going through the Prosecutor's office.

The Sheriff's office email provider let me know that the maximum email size for sending or receiving is 75 MB. This does not give you a total understanding of email routing limitations though. They highly recommend keeping message size much smaller than that (closer to 2 MB). Email has a lot of variables in place, such as:

Sending server max size

Receiving server max size

Attachment type allow list

Internet speed/connectivity for the sender and receiver and both of their mail server locations and all routers between them

DNS routing

SPAM filtering

Thanks,

Matt

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Friday, December 12, 2025 6:52 AM
To: mhodges@boundarycountyid.org
Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: FW: PRR

Matt,

I am forwarding this public records request to you as I would imagine you are the only one who could answer the question.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545

----- Original message -----


From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: 12/11/25 11:43 PM (GMT-08:00)
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

The allowable file size of which the public records custodian of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office has the ability to send as an attachment on the Boundary County server.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]





RE: PRR

From: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Date: Thu 12/11/2025 7:48 AM

To: 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED]

Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Some of your requests overlap in their response. This would be one of them and it is included in a request that I have awaiting your pick up here at the Sheriff's Office

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnors Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, December 10, 2025 11:23 PM

To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: RE: PRR

Emily,

You have not provided me the responsive documents to this specific request dated 12/9/25 @ 12:51pm. The last reply I have from you regarding status of this request is 12/9/25 at 1:27pm

"I apologize for the delay in addressing your recent records request. Based on our assessment, fulfilling this request will require additional information from the person you are requesting information from. That person is currently out of the office.

We are prepared to proceed with the request upon receipt of that information"

Please provide me the requested records.

On Wednesday, December 10th, 2025 at 8:43 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

12/10/2025

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: All communications between Sheriff Travis Stolley and Idaho State Police and other parties

We have sent these documents in your request dated 12/9/25 timestamped at 09:24. This is all responsive documents there were to locate and had previously been provided. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

*Emily L. Carey
Records Custodian/Civil Deputy
Boundary County Sheriff's Office
6438 Kootenai St.
P.O. Box 127
Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805
(208)267-3151 ext 202
ecarey@boundarysheriff.org*



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:51 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025.

Emailed Electronic records is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]



Outlook

RE: PRR

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date Tue 12/9/2025 2:08 PM

To Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

That is correct in what I am requesting.

I was specifically inquiring if Travis Stolley is the only agent of BCSO who can retrieve those records. I would request an agent of BCSO other than Travis Stolley to retrieve the records.

On Tuesday, December 9th, 2025 at 1:56 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

You specifically requested for any/all communications between Travis Stolley and the Idaho State Police and other parties, therefor Sheriff Stolley is the only one to retrieve information that is locked in his office. Upon his return we will search for the requested information and release what Idaho Code states we are able to.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnars Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipient(s) (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 1:41 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercold.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Does this mean Travis Stolley is the only agent who can provide this requested record for Boundary County Sheriff's office? You or someone else does not hold the ability to locate this record?

May I request a different agent of Boundary County Sheriff's Office other than Travis Stolley himself to search and locate these records?

On Tuesday, December 9th, 2025 at 1:27 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

12/9/25

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025

I apologize for the delay in addressing your recent records request. Based on our assessment, fulfilling this request will require additional information from the person you are requesting information from. That person is currently out of the office.

We are prepared to proceed with the request upon receipt of that information

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org




CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains


From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:51 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any/all communications between Travis Stolley of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office and Idaho State Police and all other government agencies concerning the unredacted records release and dissemination matter pertaining to my juvenile children, Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman, Mallorie and Josh Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis et al aliases. For date range April 1, 2025 through September 1, 2025.

Emailed Electronic records is the preferred method of receipt.





RE: PRR

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Tue 12/9/2025 3:34 PM

To 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED]

Marie,

Respectfully, this request is declined. The Prosecutor's Office, as you are aware, put significant efforts into having this unredacted record returned and/or deleted in the interest of remedying the inadvertent release of portions of the record that may have been subject to redaction.

We recognize that the children involved are your children, but we cannot find an exception relating to the unredacted release of records even for parents of juveniles.

Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115, you may challenge this decision within 180 days with the district court.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 12:00 PM

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

A copy of the exact record(s) of which Josh and/or Mallorie Evlniuk provided your office(s) in September 2025 concerning unredacted records of my children.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]



Re: BCSD Constitutional violations-REPLY REQUESTED

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date: Tue, 12/16/2025 11:21 AM

To: Andraskay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <billwilson@sonnetisd.gov>

I have identified 5 total public posts of the public Boundary County Facebook page, where Boundary County Sheriff's Office limited who can comment on the post. Other citizens comments are available for viewing, however, I am unable to comment or reply to any comments. I have documented this and would appreciate a time in which I can schedule to show you the totality of what I have located on the Boundary County Sheriff's Office Facebook public page. On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 9:56 PM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Attorney Andraskay Pluid and Bill Wilson, Boundary County Attorneys:

Earlier today I notified your office(s) of comments hidden on the Boundary county-Sheriff's Office Facebook page. I have located another Constitutional violation. Boundary County Sheriff's Office has limited who has the ability to comment on their posts. Boundary County Sheriff's Office has removed my personal ability to comment on the public content of the BCSD 11/10/25 Facebook post. On 11/10/25 I had made a non threatening, truthful, opinion based, first amendment protected comment. I soon after deleted my comment for concern of further retaliation and in respect of my spouse's wishes. I did preserve my comment via screen capture. Between the timeframe of me deleting my comment and now, BCSD has removed my lawful First Amendment protected right to comment on said post.

I am attaching a screen recording for evidence, as well as a screenshot for your review. I would be happy to visit your office and show you the next time removal of right for me to comment on said post. Please let me know if you would like to schedule a time for this.

Please reply to this email as confirmation of receipt.

8:20

5G 11



Boundary County She...



The Boundary County Sheriff's Office is proud to unveil our new green-on-green uniforms for Patrol Deputies.

This updated design improves tactical functionality, professional presence, comfort and a more traditional color for a rural Sheriff's Office.

We also updated our Detention Deputies but haven't received everything to display them. Look for that in the near future!

What are your thoughts on the new look?

#BoundaryCountySheriff #NewUniforms






👍 700 ➦ 14



Boundary County Sheriff's Office
limited who can comment on this post.





RE: PRR

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Tue 12/9/2025 12:37 PM

To 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED]

The Prosecutor's Office has no records responsive to this request.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, December 9, 2025 9:48 AM


To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc) from 5/1/25 through 5/15/25 which contain information pertaining to the death threats and violent rhetoric on Boundary County law enforcement, which spurred a press release by Travis Stolley on 5/12/25.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]



RE: PRR

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Mon 12/8/2025 4:35 PM

To 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED]

Cc Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

The Prosecutor's Office not have any records responsive to that specific request. I believe the Sheriff's Office does.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 4:32 PM

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: RE: PRR

I am not locating in the responsive documents any communications to Misha Davis et al aliases from Boundary official(s) pertaining to her known possession and dissemination of unauthorized records of my juvenile children. On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 3:59 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

You were previously provided the information. See attached.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 3:49 PM

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: RE: PRR

This request is incomplete. There are no records included pertaining to the communications with the individuals known to possess my children's protected/unredacted records.

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 2:42 PM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Mr. Wilson's responsive records are attached and mine are at the link below.

<https://www.dropbox.com/t/2fwOEYwCcQCuQpAG>

Redactions or exceptions to release of records have been made pursuant to Idaho Code sections 74-104 and 74-106.

This request has been reviewed by Mr. Wilson and myself as legal counsel for Boundary County. Pursuant to Idaho Code 74-103, any appeal to the response must be filed within 180 days pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney
208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*


From: Marie Garrett <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, December 8, 2025 1:10 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:
All communications (written notes, electronic records, emails, audio/visual, letters, reports, etc that contain the below names:

*Marie Garrett
*Colton Cohen
[REDACTED] (minor child)

Time Frame: June 1, 2025 to present.

receipt.





FW: Re: BCSO hidden replies on Facebook page

From: Andra Kay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date: Mon 12/8/2025 4:53 AM

To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Andra Kay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

204-267-7545

----- Original message -----

From: Marie Garrett

Date: 12/8/25 1:24 AM (UTC-08:00)

To: Andra Kay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: Re: BCSO hidden replies on Facebook page

Correction to my previous email: the Facebook post on the BCSO page was 12/2/25

On Monday, December 8th, 2025 at 1:01 AM, Marie Garrett

I find it appropriate to bring to your attention the "hidden replies" on the November 2, 2025, post of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office Facebook page. Below is a screenshot. I have also attached a screen recording for your reference.



The Creative Soul Collective a... 5 sh...

INFOGRAPHIC...

Reply



Dawn Vinton · 6d

Thanks for keeping us informed 

Reply



Sarah Alyea · 6d

My heart just sank! Prayers

Reply



Colleen Marie · 6d

What is going on in our Community.
Our government lies....so please be
upfront

Reply



 View hidden replies



Sheila Woodard Harris · 6d

Open

Reply





Kathy Stalcup · 6d



Reply



Mary Janda · 6d

Amber Brush , Jacob Fletcher

Reply





Outlook

RE: PRR

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>**Date** Fri 12/5/2025 9:25 AM**To** 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED] 1 attachment (3 MB)

M. Evoniuk Response 7-11-2025_Redacted.pdf;


Attached.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]**Sent:** Thursday, December 4, 2025 4:15 PM**To:** Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>**Subject:** PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

The responsive documents for Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk public records request dated 7/10/25

od of receipt.





Outlook

RE: PRR

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>**Date** Thu 12/4/2025 11:27 AM**To** 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED] 2 attachments (17 MB)

Norris PRR 11-24-25. Redacted.pdf; BW records- redacted.pdf;

Attached are the items she was provided.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]**Sent:** Thursday, December 4, 2025 11:23 AM**To:** Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>**Subject:** PRR


This is a Public Records Request for:

The responsive documents from Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman" 11/24/25 public records request

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett

[REDACTED]



RE: PRR

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Thu 12/4/2025 9:15 AM

To [REDACTED]

Cc Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

 1 attachment (3 MB)

MG Boundary County Public Request 12.4.25 Redacted.pdf;

Attached are the records responsive to your request in the possession of the Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney's Office.

Redactions have been made pursuant to Idaho Code 74-106.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, December 4, 2025 8:09 AM

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>


Subject: PRR

This is a Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications from 11/25/25 to present date (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorney Bill Wilson, Andrakay Pluid and/or any other agent of Boundary County for whom you manage records and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons in possession of unauthorized records/dissemination and any activities requiring attention from Boundary County Officials.

Email is the preferred method of receipt.

Requester: Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]



PRR

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date Thu 12/4/2025 7:09 AM

To Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

 1 attachment (453 KB)

Boundary County Public Request 12.4.25.pdf;

Please see the attached public records request.

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: Unauthorized records possessors/disseminators: REPLY REQUESTED

Boundary County Attorneys Andrakay Pluid and Bill Wilson,

Per the forwarded email from Josh and Mallorie Evoniuk to Leslie Lehman, ISP dated 8/7/25 -which your office received from ISP, sometime after 8/7/25, it is written by unauthorized records disseminator herself, Mallorie Evoniuk that Misha Davis (et. Al. Aliases) is also in possession of the unauthorized records concerning my juvenile children (records received per Mallorie Evoniuk's 7/9/24 BCSO Public Records Request)

Beginning April 2025, I began informing Boundary County officials (multiple times since) that the unredacted/unauthorized records of my juvenile children were being publicly disseminated by known individuals including Misha Davis (et.al aliases)

I am aware that Bill Wilson began emailing Thomas Bushnell, Mallorie Evoniuk and Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman on 9/23/25 with requests for return of the unauthorized records.


To date, Misha Davis (et. Al aliases) has NOT been contacted by Boundary County with notice to return the unauthorized records.

Additionally, Adrienne Norris continues to date (as of writing this email) to publicly disseminate the unauthorized records identifying my juvenile children in a mingled manner which harms my family's safety and wellbeing. My family is continuing to be compromised in many ways, including our safety, privacy and reputation.

I request a reply to this email with an update of how Boundary County officials intend to address the 2 known above referenced individuals (Misha Davis et.al aliases and Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman) who have current possession of unauthorized records and dissemination of said unauthorized records.

I am also requesting you forward this matter to a third party agency to complete an investigation in all matters relating to the released unredacted records of Boundary County Sheriff's Office.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



RE: Public Records Request

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Tue 11/25/2025 10:23 AM

To 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED]

1 attachment (724 KB)

MG 11-25 PRR_Redacted.pdf;

Ms. Garrett,

This is the only record the Prosecutor's Office has responsive to your request. If you seek information from other departments please provide your request to that department's records custodian directly.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, November 25, 2025 9:17 AM

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached Public Records Request for:

Any and all communications from 11/7/25 to present (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorney Bill Wilson, AndraKay Pluid and/or any other agent of Boundary County and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons in possession of unauthorized records and any activities requiring attention from Boundary County Officials.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Outlook

Re: Request for Investigation into compliance of IPRA

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]**Date** Mon 11/10/2025 6:45 PM**To** Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>; Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org <Cwatts@boundarysheriff.org>**Cc** Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

I wanted to check in regarding the below specific investigation request. I understand you are denying an investigation into the unredacted records matter of ours, however, I would like to know status on forwarding the below investigation request to the appropriate agency.

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 9:06 AM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]


Sheriff Stolley and Undersheriff Watts,

I am writing to formally request an investigation of Boundary County Sheriff's Office compliance of the Idaho Public Records Act (IPRA) from the retention date to present date. If this investigation cannot be completed by your office, can you please advise of what agency you will be forwarding my request to?

I am requesting an investigation into many facets in which I have experienced IPRA being violated. Including but not limited to: unredacted release of records containing identifying information/protected health information/sealed Juvenile information, IPRA exemptions not being applied upon release of records, non-compliance of Federal/State privacy laws; mishandling of public records requests; IPRA law protocol/deadlines not being adhered to.

My formal request is subsequent to the verifiable privacy violations I have experienced.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Fw: RE: Question with a request for reply

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date Mon 11/10/2025 5:54 PM

To Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

FYI

Particularly the 5/23/25 @ 2:10p email from Mike Rosenthal informing me he did not have the ability to redact records prior to release of records.

I am concerned of the length of time/what extent of unredacted privacy protected records have been released. Negligence of public records handling should spur a third party agency investigation. It is evident there are other likely victims of a breach.

Mike Rosenthal and other Public Records custodians should be investigated. Routinely not applying IPRA laws is NOT acting in good faith.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date: On Tuesday, June 3rd, 2025 at 11:42 AM

Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Sheriff Stolley,

Can you please explain where you are gathering that I am upset when sensitive information is prevented from being released? This is not the issue. If you would re-read my emails, I am clear in that I am concerned that for me to obtain certain records that cannot be redacted, I am required to appear at the Sheriff's office to listen to the records. However, this same protocol WAS NOT applied to the release of my own family/juvenile's records that were released and unredacted. That is the point here. This is why I am asking the question of what is the protocol in determining the application of Idaho Statutes concerning Public requests laws, to maintain the balance of transparency in Government while protecting personal privacy?

It is not that I "feel" something different should had happened regarding the records concerning my family and child. I am pointing out the apparent privacy standards of Idaho law, not being applied to my family/children's records. There must be consistency! What is the purpose of Idaho Statute regarding personal privacy, if it is not going to actually be applied when it needs to be applied? (Especially when it involves personal information and names of children)

Can you please provide me your thoughts of a potential remedy to this situation we are in? I respect that you are committed to improvement of future requests. I feel there is a great need for this to be addressed, based on this experience. However, there is still the damage done/continuing to occur to my own family and juvenile, as result of the pre-informed fallout of the release of records.

My letter dated 4/21/25/ documents provided to you and Undersheriff Zimmerman regarding the malicious use of these records at hand does piggyback off of this release of records. However, really it is a separate matter and I would still appreciate a response in what the course of action will be regarding that separate issue.

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, June 3rd, 2025 at 11:08 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

As you know every records request is different and requires us to make the best decision we can on a case per case basis. I recognize your where your frustrations are. Going forward we will continue to work with the information given and apply the law as we understand it along with the advice of our legal counsel. You are upset information was released you believe should not have, yet when our office makes a decision to prevent sensitive information from being released you have a problem with it.

I understand you feel something different should have happened in your case initially, our focus is on future requests and how we handle them to make sure we are conducting business professionally. I am committed to working on improving how we process requests by hiring additional personnel, adding training and improving technology to continue to address the needs of our entire records request process.

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, June 3, 2025 9:19 AM
To: Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: tstolley@boundarysheriff.org; Danderson@boundarysheriff.org
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

Yes, you are requiring me to listen to the call in person (of someone accusing me of brandishing a gun on my property), due to your inability to redact personal information. You have stated you cannot release the record to me due to the inability to redact certain content. For your information- my harassing Neighbor, Mallorie Evoniuk was involved in that claim of me as well.

The glaring issue here is that you released the UNREDACTED audio/dashcam records of MY OWN JUVENILE CHILDREN to my neighbor- Mallorie Evoniuk, who was already evidenced as engaging in harassment on my family/children. Since you did not have the ability to redact content (as you have stated), then why would you release the record and not require the requester to appear to listen in person? (as you are requiring in other instances).

I am finding issue with the lack of consistency of how you are applying the laws that protect individuals' privacy rights. My family and juvenile children deserve the very same application of protections that you provide to others. As a direct result of the failure on your part to apply these same protections to my family/children-personal information of sensitive content and my juvenile children's names have been publicly disseminated, with threats of blackmail of releasing the entire video of the officer inside my home (after I issued a notice of cease and desist) As I'm sure you are aware, the entire video would include sensitive personal information regarding my teenage son's struggles and be even more so publicly humiliating to my child. (Please note that I had already informed you on 7/8/24 and 7/9/24 this was likely to be the intent of the records request- before you even released them)

The gravity of serious nature of this is greater than I believe you realize. Do you realize the rate of teen suicide? Do you realize the irreparable harm and damages that are done when you do not apply the Idaho codes that are set forth to protect against unwarranted invasions of personal privacy? Do you understand how this affects juveniles?

You still have failed to answer my inquiry of when would you apply Idaho code 74-124(c) to a public records request? I would like a clear answer to this question. In your role of records custodian, I do believe it would be reasonable for you to have the ability to communicate under what circumstances you would apply this statute to a records request.

Marie Garrett

On Monday, June 2nd, 2025 at 11:39 AM, Mike Rosenthal
<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie – I was in interviews Thursday and half of Friday for the new Records Custodian and then off work, so did not have a chance to respond to your email. I am so sorry for the misunderstanding – it was never a “requirement” but only an invitation to timely allow you to review and record the portion of the record you did not have yet and as to which I was told we did not have the technology to make a certain

redaction. I am open to suggestions as to meeting at some other location where I could play the call and you could record the parts that do not require redaction, and I am entirely open to any other suggestions you might have or to release the record to someone acting on your behalf as long as I can get the call to you as previously discussed. I could also have one of our deputies present in my office and record the call (while I make the redaction) and then get you a copy of that body cam footage. Please let me know what would work best for you given the above. The new Records Custodian will begin with our agency in about two weeks, and I hope her background, training, and the changes in policy envisioned by Sheriff Stolley will better meet your expectations make future interactions with the Sheriff's Office more positive for you.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnors Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential

and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, May 28, 2025 4:32 PM
To: Mike Rosenthal <bcscivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

Why is it that you require public records requesters to appear at the Sheriff's office to listen to audio which cannot be redacted, However, the records containing my family's Juvenile children names (which you said you did not have the ability to redact), were released to the requesters directly, unredacted? (Now shared all over the internet). What method of determination of policy was applied in releasing my juvenile children's names unredacted directly to the requester?

This is a large concern of mine. This is why I am asking the questions regarding your policy and procedure for clarification. Based on these discrepancies, it is evident there is no consistency in what you are allowing to be released to requesters. This is a serious concern, particularly when it is concerning juveniles and public records being used to harass and invade the privacy of families. The intent of the Public Records act is not to allow privacy breaches to be used to carry out harassment on families and children.

You stated you did not know the records requested would be used to carry out harassment on my family and juvenile children. If you will recall, I had informed you on 7/8/24, that on Sunday 7/7/24(before the 7/5/24 records were even requested), "Boundary County Watchman" was using knowledge of the 7/5/24 call to harass publicly. I had shared with you the concern that the only person that would had known about the call of Law Enforcement to our home was none other than Mallorie Evoniuk (The neighbor who made the falsely narrated claim to request LE to our home/ public records requester.) On 7/9/24, you called me to inform me that Mallorie Evoniuk submitted a request for all the 7/5/24 records. I explained to you in great detail of the detriment to my family if the records would be released, and reiterated the details of harassment. It was in that conversation, you assured me that juvenile names would be redacted if released. What further proof

did you need, beyond myself explaining to you the harassment mission and public smear campaign? Considering that non party Mallorie Evoniuk was the perpetrator of the false claim, and requester of records on 7/9/25(which you provided her all, including unredacted records containing juvenile names.), What further insight did you need to be provided in order to apply 74-124 (1)(c); 74-102(16)(a)(i)(ii)? Can you provide me an example of when you would in fact apply these statutes?

Again, what is your remedy for this current situation and future situations of the like?

Marie Garrett

On Friday, May 23rd, 2025 at 2:10 PM, Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie – I am sorry you are unable to meet in person anytime soon. In lieu of a meeting you are insisting on having various questions answered. Obviously, we are now far, far beyond my normal duties in simply processing a records request. I have many times now attempted since last year to provide explanations as to how records requests are handled. I have clearly failed to provide any helpful clarification in that regard. I will again attempt below to provide some answer to your two questions, but even those answers probably suggest that it would be best if your questions and concerns be expressed to Sgt. Valenzuela, Chief Deputy Dale Andersen, or Sheriff Stolley, especially as it relates to how the processing of records requests will be handled in the future. First, you asked “[w]hat is your plan for remedying the current situation?” That question highlights an apparent complete misunderstanding between the two of us. I will assume you are going back to the release of records concerning our agency report 01-2024-00375. As you are aware, the processing of records requests is but a fraction of my assigned duties. As I recall, you had filed a records request for the records in that case, both written and 911 call, and also subsequently inquired about sealing the record. I believe I was able within three days to get the records to you, which centered around a 911 call made by your neighbor in which you son’s name was provided to the dispatcher by the neighbor. I attempted to process your records request according to what our agency

practice would have been at the time, and given the limitations of our agency equipment on redacting materials from audio, video, or other records. Shortly thereafter I received a records request from the 911 caller (the neighbor) for all records of any kind for the incident. At the time I did not have available the technology or equipment to redact material from a video, and there was not then and we still do not have to this date, according to our IT person, the technology to delete sections from the recording of a 911 or other phone call. The case had been closed, there was no active investigation, and the record was ultimately released to the same person that had previously provided the 911 dispatcher with your son's name. In applying the Idaho Public Records Act to release of the records, you have focused on Section 74-124(c). The sum total of Title 74, Chapter 1, up to that code section is that law enforcement investigatory reports are to be released, whether active or inactive, with certain limited exceptions, including the issue of whether release of a record or information in that record would "constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." As you have pointed out, there is guidance on what that concept means in Section 74-101(16)(a)(i), specifically: "Disclosure of information used to identify, locate, or harass a juvenile." At the time the records were released I did not know that your neighbor or anyone else intended to release the records into any public forum with the intent to smear your family name or otherwise "weaponize" the record to damage your family or family's reputation (i.e., harass a juvenile). The disclosure of the records to the neighbor that placed the 911 call, which on its face (the call) appeared to be motivated by a concern for a possible "domestic" involving harm to your son, whom she named in the call, at the time and with the information available to me did not lead me to believe that the information would be used to harass a juvenile. The requestor already had your son's name so the concern about identifying or locating a juvenile was not an issue. I did my best at the time, under the then existing circumstances, to process the records requests. Your emails to me in the days following your requests inquired whether the public had access to certain records and whether the record concerning your son could be sealed. I attempted to address those issues. Also, I do not currently have nor have I since last year had any access to the dash cam footage from Deputy Wion. That would have been recorded by him on a disc at the time and otherwise I assume remains in his possession. As I recall, I reviewed that video footage on my office computer and could barely discern what was being said by Deputy Wion,

your husband, and yourself as the three of you stood a considerable distance from Deputy Wion's patrol dash cam. Nothing I heard at the time alerted me that the record was not subject to release, or that release of the record to the neighbor placing the 911 call would result in its being used by the neighbor or third parties to harass your family. You have made clear your conviction that the neighbor actually falsely narrated the call. I fully accept your convictions in that regard and your concerns about what has been done by others with the records since release. I can only go back and explain that I did not thru our agency have the means at the time to redact any portions of the video if such redaction appeared warranted. I have not viewed the video since. I do not access Facebook or any other similar social media site under any circumstance for any reason. I have to this date never viewed, listened to, nor sought to discover anything released by entities such as 9B News, Boundary Watchman, and so on. I am sincerely sorry that third parties chose to place any portion of the records, altered, original, or clipped, into the public forum to harm your family. Records requests have a specific time frame by which a response is required. The overriding public policy is to favor release of agency records. At the time the records were released in this case I attempted in good faith to respond to the requests presented to me for processing within the scope of the training, experience, equipment, and information available to me. As previously explained, our agency policy for records request processing is continually undergoing review and change. Every single records request that is processed, every single time our agency seeks guidance from our civil counsel, every time the Prosecutor's Office issues directives concerning the release of investigatory records, the pool of resources that guide the decision regarding the processing of the next records request expands. We have a new Sheriff since the processing of the requests in this case. He is working on a significant overhaul of our agency policy guidelines. The volume of records requests and the caustic nature by which some parties have used records to inflame public passion on social media caused the Prosecutor's Office to change their requirements as to when our agency releases certain records and has resulted in a decision by the Sheriff to employ a full time Records Custodian under new, future policy guidelines. Again, I assume by "remedying the current situation" you are continuing to express your frustration regarding release of the above records, and by inquiring about what the plan is "to prevent this from happening in again in the future," you are asserting the same concern based on your view that there should have been no

question Idaho Code 74-124(c) and 74-101(16)(a)(i) would have and should have led to a clear cut determination at the time that no record be released, and that even if any record was released to you as parent (i.e., also therefor outside of our agency's control) that record should have been completely redacted as to information concerning your son. I can only reiterate that at the time I acted to the best of my ability with what was available to me to handle the records requests as expediently as possible with the information, guidelines, and interpretations available. I recommend contacting Sheriff Stolley directly for more information on his plans for the processing of future records requests, be they from you, your family, or any other source.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by

the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 23, 2025 10:12 AM
To: Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

I do not have the availability to meet with you anytime soon. In the meantime, I would like my questions answered.

What is your plan for remedying the current situation? What is the plan to prevent this from happening again in the future? Whether it be my family or someone else?

On Friday, May 23rd, 2025 at 9:27 AM, Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

No additional records request is necessary. I can explain the process, to the extent possible, when we meet. I have nothing *in writing* beyond what you already have with Idaho Code. All other sources would be the sum total of prior interactions with and guidance of the PA's office or civil counsel on other records requests, together with the decisions and judgment calls made in the last sixteen years processing other requests. That material

obviously contains writings, but I assume that is not the kind of documentation/resource/guide you are describing.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnors Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this

transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett

Sent: Friday, May 23, 2025 8:08 AM

To: Mike Rosenthal

[<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>](mailto:bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org)

Subject: RE: Question with a request for reply

Mike,

Can you provide me with the policy/procedure information that you used in July 2024, to determine the release of records pertaining to juveniles? If this is something that needs to be requested through formal public request or another method, please let me know.

I am requesting clarification in how IC 74-124 (1)(c) or any other relevant statutes/juvenile safeguards does not apply to the records request regarding my juvenile son?



TITLE 74
 TRANSPARENT AND ETHICAL GOVERNMENT
 CHAPTER 5
 PUBLIC RECORDS ACT
 74-124. EXEMPTIONS FROM DISCLOSURE - CONFIDENTIALITY. (c) Notwithstanding any statute or rule of court to the contrary, nothing in this chapter nor chapter 10, title 32, Idaho Code, shall be construed to require disclosure of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes by a law enforcement agency; and such exemption from disclosure applies only to the extent that the production of such records would:
 (a) Interfere with enforcement proceedings;
 (b) Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication;
 (c) Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;
 (d) Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source;
 (e) Disclose investigative techniques and procedures;
 (f) Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel; or
 (g) Disclose the identity of a reporting party maintained by any law enforcement entity as the department of health and welfare relating to the investigation of child abuse, neglect or abandonment unless the reporting party consents in writing to the disclosure or the disclosure of the reporting party's identity is required in any administrative or judicial proceeding.

Per our lengthy phone conversation prior to you releasing the records, I informed you the extent of the harassment my family has been experiencing. I also informed you 1) Mallorie Evoniuk, who made the public records request is also the individual who falsely narrated the call to dispatch, requesting LEO come to our home. 2) I detailed to you of how Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman" had already used the event in concert with Mallorie Evoniuk to smear my family and Husband's professional title on the internet on 7/7/24- on a Sunday prior to the records being released. 3) I spoke to you regarding my concerns of this being an invasion of privacy, and particularly since it was involving our juvenile child, the records release needed to be reviewed thoroughly.

In the Law Enforcement audio, I spoke of how it was a fabricated call as a means of harassment and I elaborated on the harassment we have endured from the same actor and their affiliates.

Can you please clarify why the records released contained my juvenile children's names unredacted from the audio call? What criteria was used in decision that it was not necessary to redact my children's names from the audio/ or any other records that were provided, prior to sending the records to the requesters?

Is there a measure Boundary County Sheriff's office can take to rescind the records and/or cease and desist the actors who subsequently shared the records to the internet to carry out harassment on my family by way of public records requests?

I would appreciate clarification to my above questions.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Thursday, May 22nd, 2025 at 10:12 AM, Mike Rosenthal

<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie – starting with the last issue first, I was approached this morning by Detective Watts also inquiring about when I released the Post Office incident investigation report to Adrienne Norris. I informed him and reviewed with him consistent, repeated emails by me to you, Misha Nevarez, and Adrienne Norris, and possibly others (we did not check every email to every person) reflecting the exact same position from the first time I received any request for the record – ***that the case had been forwarded to other agencies for investigation and was unavailable for release.*** I have no idea how Adrienne Norris obtained a copy of the report. In addition to my paper trail of interim denials of the report to all requesting parties, I have no personal recollection whatsoever of the report being released by our office. ***As to the other concerns, please let me know what date and time would best meet your needs.*** I hope at that meeting to not only play the third recording of the call for Event 22732, but also hope at the same meeting to address your perceptions that different standards or consideration is being applied/given to different individuals on records request responses. That is absolutely not the case. Please understand that every single records request is

unique as to the record or records being sought, how much or how little and in what form the record should be produced, and many are very complex. The attempt to respond to any request is filtered by a single person through the dictates of the Idaho Public Records Act, agency policy, and often the advice and/or dictates of the handling detective or deputy, the Sheriff, the Prosecuting Attorney, or our agency civil counsel. Simply looking at Idaho Code Section 74-124(1) demonstrates immediately the subjective nature of the decisions that are made as to whether release of a record would: "Interfere with enforcement proceedings;" or "Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication;" or "Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy;" or Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source;" or "Disclose investigative techniques and procedures;" or "Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel; . . . " What amounts to "interference with enforcement proceedings?" Twice in my time with the Sheriff's Office has the

interpretation of that criteria flipfopped at the direction of the Prosecuting Attorney's Office. The same applies to what, whether, or how much the release of a record would "deprive a person of a right to an impartial adjudication." When does release of a record or a part thereof sufficiently "disclose investigative techniques and procedures or endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel" to warrant the denial of a records request or do certain redactions ? The balancing of Idaho's crystal clear public policy favoring transparency and the release of records on the one hand against limited and very open-ended restrictions on release under Idaho Code is not as easy as some might believe. I have many times differed in opinion with the current and former two Sheriffs, or Undersheriffs, or Prosecuting Attorney, and especially the current and prior attorneys representing our agency on whether certain records, or parts thereof, should or should not be released. My position has always been on the more restrictive side of not releasing material. I know that this will never satisfy your clear and understandable position that as to records concerning your son, none tending in any way to identify him should ever have been released to anyone but you or your husband, and even then if the same standards are applied across the board regardless of the requesting party (to assure no protected information is "out there") I would also have redacted any identifying information about your son from the records released to you or your husband. Please let me know when a good time would be to meet. I would suggest some

time in the afternoon to allow for sufficient time to play/record the 911 call and to discuss release of your son's information, or the release of any other records and/or responses to records requests.

Mike Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary County
Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho
83805

(208)267-3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or

agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett

Sent: Wednesday, May 21, 2025
9:35 PM

To: Mike Rosenthal
<bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: Question with a
request for reply

Mike,

In reference to my questions/concerns pertaining to Idaho statutes pertaining to public records and the release of records containing my juvenile children's names unredacted, I do request a clear answer. I am happy to sit down with you to discuss my questions pertaining to the release of my juvenile children's records and unwarranted

invasion of privacy issues which are being weaponized against my family through public records requests.

When I was previously inquiring on a meeting date with you, It was understood by me this meeting was to listen to a 911 dispatch call of allegations against me from 2022 (which I just recently learned about through public record online blast harassment by Adrienne Norris). This was a meeting for me to listen to said 911 call because you stated you could not release the records to me due to inability to redact protected information. I am concerned with inconsistencies due to the fact my juvenile child's records were released (unredacted) with no issue, to a known harasser (subsequent to my husband and I both expressing concern of privacy issues/harassment by said individual and affiliates). However, I am unable to be provided a copy of a 911 dispatch call of allegations against me due to protection of privacy to an individual. Why was this same method not applied to the release of unredacted records concerning my juvenile child? This inconsistency is one reason I would like clarification on procedure of release of records pertaining to juveniles and in instances of unwarranted invasion of privacy.

In reference to my records request dated 3/12/25, a copy of those records has apparently been provided to Adrienne Norris/or her affiliates. Adrienne Norris has publicly posted snippets of the investigation documents online on her page "Boundary County Watchman". If others were able to obtain the same documents I am requesting, why am I being denied those same documents?

I understand changes within the Sheriff's office. However if one citizen has the ability to obtain the exact documents I formally requested (2+ months ago), then I should have the same ability. As is if one person is entitled to expectation of privacy per Idaho statute, then my juvenile children and family expect the same applicable consideration.

On Wednesday, May 21st, 2025 at 3:45 PM, Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie – please accept this email in response to both of your email inquiries dated today: (1) one regarding your concerns for future agency responses relative to records requests concerning your family, and (2) one concerning a prior

records request
involving records
relating to Misha
Nevarez/Naumann.

First – Per your
email of 5/6
inquiring about
meeting “Thursday
afternoon” and my
reply of 5/7 where,
If I recall correctly, I
indicated that
Thursday would
work fine but to let
me know the time,
it was my intent
upon meeting to
fully address your
all of your
questions regarding
number (1) above. I
would still like to
meet to discuss
that issue as the
answer has also
changed with the
intended
interviewing next
week of multiple
candidates for a
new full-time
agency Records
Custodian. I am
completely
inundated with
records requests
and their
processing and
completion will, as
you can imagine,
change with a new
person handling
those requests. At
some level, that is
simply inevitable.
What you are
looking for is a
guarantee as to
policy, procedure,

and
implementation
assuring
compliance with
Idaho Code for the
purpose of
protecting the
privacy interests of
your family. All I am
able to do in
meeting to discuss
this issue is explain
our current
process, hope to
answer questions
about how the
previous responses
were handled, and
what plans are for
the handling of
records requests in
the future. Sheriff
Stolley is diligently
working with
multiple agency
staff to implement
a new agency policy
covering every
department and
aspect of the
Sheriff's Office,
including the
processing of
records requests. I
do not know what
that policy will
provide, either
generally or as to
any specific
requirement. There
are also expected
agency command
staff changes by the
end of this week, to
include aspects of
my supervision.
With those changes
I simply cannot
state with any

certainty “what”
the future looks like
with respect to the
handling of your
records requests or
those of any other
person. An example
of this dovetails
into a status update
on number (2)
above. I have
multiple pending
records requests
from at least six (6)
different parties,
yourself included,
some of which I
have had for weeks
if not months –
which requests at
least in part overlap
each other as to
the named parties
and the records
being requested.
An example would
be records requests
involving Misha
Nevarez. First I was
told reports had
been sent to the PA
for review. Then I
was told that due
to a conflict of
interest the reports
were sent to the
PA’s office of two
other counties for
review. In trying to
follow up with as to
those reports, as
well as others, I
was told to check
with the Sheriff as
the local PA’s office
was not being kept
in the loop as to
the charging
decisions of the

out-of-county PAs.
In checking with
the Sheriff, I was
informed that an
“outside agency”
investigation was
being conducted
involving several of
the named
individuals
coincidentally
involved in the
overlapping records
requests and that
“nothing is being
released at this
time” until
that/those
investigation is/are
complete. As a
Records Custodian
under those
circumstances I
simply cannot give
you much more
information at this
time because I am
not being given
more than what I
have told you. I
would again invite
you to meet in
person so that I can
perhaps address
your specific
concerns one by
one with whatever
information is
available to me. You
are more than
welcome to record
our meeting if that
helps in any
manner. I am
currently going off
shift but should be
back in the office
tomorrow morning.

Mike
Rosenthal

Civil Deputy

Boundary
County
Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai
St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry,
Idaho 83805

(208) 267-
3151 ext. 205

bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.or
[darysheriff.or](mailto:bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.or)
G.



**CONFIDENTIALITY
NOTICE: This e-mail
is intended only for
the personal and
confidential use of
the individual(s)
named as
recipients (or the**

employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie
Garrett

Sent: Wednesday,
May 21, 2025 12:01

PM

To: Mike Rosenthal
<bcscivil@boundariesheriff.org>

Subject: Question
with a request for
reply

Hello Mike,

I am resending this question which I asked via email to you on 5/6/25. I never received a reply. Considering this is involving my juvenile child, I feel it needs to be addressed and I request an answer to my question/concerns:


In the future how can I be ensured that Idaho code sections 74-101(16)(a)(i); 74-101(16)(a)(ii); 74-124(c) will be applied prior to the release of my minor child's records? I am concerned with the unwarranted invasion of privacy of my minor children as a means of harassment. This is a concern that I explicitly expressed to you in July 2024, prior

to the records
being released to
my neighbor,
Mallorie Evoniuk.
Subsequent to
you providing her
the very records
and (unredacted
juvenile NAMES),
she shared them
with "Boundary
County
Watchman" aka
Adrienne Norris
and Misha
Nevarez-Davis
who in turn have
spread the videos
far and wide
across the
internet. My minor
children's names
and my son's
personal situation
is being
weaponized as a
method of
harassment, and
is deeply affecting
my children and
my family.

Please reply in
how this will be
handled in the
future for any
further public
information
requests involving
my children.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett



Fw: Re: Demand for Rescind of Records notice/Notice of Cease and Desist to be issued by BCSO

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Mon 11/10/2025 5:40 PM
To Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

FYI

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 8:53 AM
Subject: Re: Demand for Rescind of Records notice/Notice of Cease and Desist to be issued by BCSO
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
CC: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Hi,

I am following up on the below email sent to you on July 23, 2025. To date, I have not received a reply.

On Wednesday, July 23rd, 2025 at 11:20 AM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED] wrote:

Sheriff Stolley,

This email serves as a Demand for Boundary County Sheriff's Office Officials to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to the known individuals in possession of UNREDACTED JUVENILE PROTECTED RECORDS. Said records contain unredacted identifying information of a Juvenile, protected health information and sealed court record information of a Juvenile.

Boundary County Sheriff's office released the unredacted above mentioned records to a non party requester on or about July 9, 2024. Subsequent to that action of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office, said records have been publicly disseminated on the internet with intent to harm, harass and permanently damage individual(s). The mentioned records contain protected information, which is not public information. It is now the duty of Boundary County Sheriff's Office to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and a Notice of Cease and Desist to the below known individuals who are known to possess and disseminate the protected records with unlawful intent:

1. Mallorie Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
2. Joshua Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
3. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman and all other pseudonyms
4. Jamisha Nevarez aka Misha Davis aka Jamisha Davis aka Savage Grace aka AriseZionDaughter and all other pseudonyms

I request that violation of the Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist result in the individual(s) criminally liable to the full extent of the Law.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Outlook

Re: Request's for Investigation

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Mon 11/10/2025 4:36 PM
To Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc Caleb Watts <cwatts@boundarysheriff.org>; 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Thank you.

Can you advise of which agency(s) will be handling the investigation?

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 1:45 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,


I have received your investigation requests.

Thank You,

Sheriff Travis Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

208-267-3151



Fw: Re: Malicious use of public records

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Mon 11/10/2025 3:38 PM
To Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

FYI

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 8:59 AM
Subject: Re: Malicious use of public records
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Hi,
I am following up on the below email sent to you on July 22, 2025. Based on the documents I received via Public records request, it is evident an investigation/review was not conducted. There is no content that reveals that you have appropriately handled my criminal complaint. No investigative report. No evidence of an investigation.

My 4/21/2025 criminal complaint needs to be investigated by your office. I can just send this up the chain if need be, but would rather not- considering you are the Sheriff and it is your statutory duty to properly handle criminal complaints of citizens.

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 4:19 PM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sheriff Stolley,

I am in receipt of the records pertaining to my 4/21/25 20+ page criminal complaint. I see the 7 pages received contain the email threads between you and I, with ONE screenshot of a text message between unknown parties.

There are no documents indicating an investigation was completed regarding my 4/21/25 complaint.

Please advise why you are conflicting others' criminal complaints to outside agencies (due to professional associations), yet you are apparently ignoring my request for criminal investigation and unwilling to conflict my complaint to an outside agency?

If you are not willing to appropriately handle my criminal complaint, who should I direct this to?

Best,
Marie Garrett
On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 3:40 PM, Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Emily Carey is our records technician. She can be reached at

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org

Sheriff Stolley

----- Original Message -----

From: "Marie Garrett"

Sent: 7/22/2025 3:35:11 PM

To: "Travis Stolley"

Subject: Re: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records

Travis,

For sake of clarification: on 6/13/25, I received an email from Mike Rosenthal, public records custodian, directing me to send my public records requests to Dale Anderson.

Since that date, I have been directing my public records requests to Dale Anderson. I have emails that confirm I have been sent in circles and none of my public records requests have been fulfilled since. Please let me know if you would like to view the several emails.

Specifically, who is supposed to be handling my public records requests?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 3:02 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Any records request needs to go through our records department.

Sheriff Stolley

----- Original Message -----

From: "Marie Garrett"

Sent: 7/22/2025 10:47:56 AM

To: "Travis Stolley"

Cc: "George Blickenstaff" , "Bill Wilson"

Subject: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records

Sheriff Stolley,

Please provide me the case number for the investigation of my 4/21/25 complaint.

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 10:27 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Subject: Re: Status of Complaint Submitted on 4/21/25

Marie,

Thank you for following up regarding the complaint you submitted to our office on April 21, 2025. After a thorough review of the 20+ page document you provided, the Boundary

County Sheriff's Office has determined that there is no evidence of criminal activity warranting further investigation or referral to an outside agency at this time. As such, we will not be pursuing this matter further.

We take all complaints seriously and have addressed your concerns in accordance with our procedures. Please be assured that our office is committed to serving all citizens of Boundary County without discrimination or retaliation.

If you have any additional information or new concerns, please feel free to contact our office directly. Regarding your Notice of Tort Claim filed on June 11, 2025, as previously advised, this matter should be directed to the Idaho Counties Risk Management Program (ICRMP) for further handling.

Sincerely,

Sheriff Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

CC: George Blickenstaff

From: Marie Garrett

Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 7:14 AM

To: Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc: George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org>

Subject: Re: RE: Malicious use of public records

Sheriff Stolley,

I am following up on my communications to you regarding alleged criminal activity to be investigated/ or sent to an outside agency for investigation. This is in reference to the 20+ page documents I hand delivered to your office on 4/21/25. Please advise status on my complaint.


I am copying George Blickenstaff on this email per your previous email directing me to send my complaint to ICRMP due to my Notice of Tort Claim filed 6/11/25. (Though I disagreed that ICRMP would not be the proper agency to investigate this).

As a citizen of Boundary county, State of Idaho. I do expect my concerns/ complaint be properly addressed by the governing agency, without discrimination/retaliatory actions against me.

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 15th, 2025 at 4:54 PM,
Marie Garrett



Sheriff Stolley,

My 4/21/25 complaint outlines the criminal activities with included evidence. Do you need another copy of it?

I am not a Law enforcement officer, so it would be unreasonable to expect me to determine exactly which laws would apply in this matter. However, I have done some research of my own and see the below laws for your reference. I did provide substantial evidence to your office, which needs to be investigated. Did you read my 4/21/25 complaint or send it to

any outside agency for
investigation?

18-7906 (1) (a)

28-51-105

18-6710 (1) (c)

18-4801

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 15th, 2025 at
3:48 PM, Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
wrote:

What crimes are
you alleging
happened?

Sheriff Stolley

----- Original
Message -----
From: "Marie
Garrett"
Sent: 7/15/2025
1:01:20 PM
To: "Travis Stolley"
Cc: "Dale
Anderson"
Subject: RE:
Malicious use of
public records

Sheriff Stolley:

Idaho Counties
Risk management
program is not an
investigatory
agency. It is your
duty as Sheriff to
investigate
criminal matters. If
you have a conflict
of interest, why are

you not forwarding
this to an outside
agency? My
complaint alleged
criminal conduct.

Additionally, I
would like to point
out I delivered my
complaint to your
office on 4/21/25. I
followed up with
an email for status
on 5/29/25. On
6/2/25 you
responded that
you would be
getting back to me
within the next few
days. You never
did.

I filed a Notice of
tort claim on
6/11/25, which
was nearly 2
months
subsequent to my
request for you to
address my
concerns of how
unredacted
juvenile records
are being used to
criminally harass
families and
juveniles. I do
expect for you to
address these
concerns in a
timely manner.

I want to confirm
you are telling me
that you are not
willing to
investigate my
complaint
delivered 4/21/25?
ISP informed me
they did not
receive my
4/21/25 20+ page
criminal complaint
from your office.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July
15th, 2025 at
11:18 AM, Travis
Stolley
<tstolley@boundariesheriff.org>
wrote:


I
would
refer
any
further
communication
about
this
issue
to our
Idaho
Counties Risk
Management
Program
(ICRMP)
representation. It
is my
understanding
you
have
filed a
notice
of
claim
addressing
this
issue
with
ICRMP
and
they
have

respo
nded.
I
under
stand
you
don't
agree
with
their
view
on the
issues
but at
this
curren
t time
I
canno
t
discus
s it
furthe
r as
there
may
be
pendi
ng
legal
action
comin
g from
you in
regard
s to
this.

Respe
ctfully,

Sheriff
Stolley

From:
Marie
Garret
t



Sent:

Saturd
ay,
July
12,
2025
1:13
PM

To:

Travis
Stolle
y

<tstolley@boundaries.org>

Cc:

Dale
Anderson
<danderson@boundaries.org>

Subject:

RE: Malicious
use of
public
records

Sheriff
Stolley:

I am
following
up on my
communication
to you
from

5/29/2

5.

On
6/2/25
, you
indica
ted
you
would
be
gettin
g
back
with
me in
a few
days
regar
ding
this
matte
r. To
date, I
have
receiv
ed no
reply
to this
import
ant
matte
r.

I
would
appre
ciate
a
respo
nse
from
you,
conce
rning
the
next
steps.

Thank
you,

Marie
Garre
tt

On
Mond
ay,
June
2nd,
2025
at
11:33
AM,
Travis
Stolle
y
<tstolley@boundariesheriff.org>
wrote:

M
a
r
i
e
,

I
h
a
v
e
r
e
c
e
i
v
e
d
y
o
u
r
e
m
a
i
l
;
I
w
i

I
I
g
e
t
b
a
c
k
t
o
y
o
u
w
i
t
h
a
n
a
n
s
w
e
r
a
b
o
u
t
n
e
x
t
s
t
e
p
s
w
i
t
h
i
n
t
h
e
n
e
x
t
c
o
u
p

I
e
o
f
d
a
y
s
.
I
,
v
e
a
t
t
a
c
h
e
d
C
h
i
e
f
D
e
p
u
t
y
A
n
d
e
r
s
o
n
s
o
h
e
c
a
n
b
e
i
n
c
l
u
d
e
d

i
n
f
u
t
u
r
e
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
c
a
t
i
o
n
s
.

S
h
e
r
i
f
f
S
t
o
l
l
e
y

F
r
o
m
:
M
a
r
i
e
G

a
r
r



n
t
:
T
h
u
r
s
d
a
y
,
M
a
y
2
9

,
2
0
2
5
1
1
:
2
3
A
M
T
o
:
[t](#)
[s](#)
[t](#)
[o](#)
[l](#)
[l](#)
[e](#)
[y.](#)
[@](#)
[b](#)
[o](#)
[u](#)
[n](#)
[d](#)
[a](#)
[r](#)
[y.](#)
[s](#)
[h](#)
[e](#)
[r](#)
[i](#)
[f](#)
[f](#)
[o](#)
[r](#)
[g](#)
S
u
b
j
e
c
t
:
M
a
l

i
c
i
o
u
s
u
s
e
o
f
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s

S
h
e
r
i
f
f
S
t
o
l
l
e
y
,

I
a
m
w
r
i
t
i
n
g
t
o

f
o
l
l
o
w
u
p
i
n
r
e
g
a
r
d
t
o
m
y
c
o
n
c
e
r
n
s
o
f
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
r
e
q
u
e
s
t
s
o
f
n
o
n
p
a
r
t
y

c
i
t
i
z
e
n
s
o
b
t
a
i
n
i
n
g
d
o
c
u
m
e
n
t
s
r
e
g
a
r
d
i
n
g
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
s
a
n
d
u
s
i
n
g
/
d
i
s
t
r
i
b
u

t
i
n
g
t
h
e
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
a
s
a
m
e
a
n
s
o
f
h
a
r
a
s
s
i
n
g
f
a
m
i
l
i
e
s
/
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
s
.

O
n

A
p
r
i
l
2
1
,
2
0
2
5
,
l
h
a
n
d
d
e
l
i
v
e
r
e
d
a
p
a
c
k
e
t
c
o
n
t
a
i
n
i
n
g
2
0
+
p
a
g
e
s
i
n
c
l
u
d
i
n
g

a
l
e
t
t
e
r
a
d
d
r
e
s
s
e
d
t
o
y
o
u
a
n
d
U
n
d
e
r
s
h
e
r
i
f
f
Z
i
m
m
e
r
m
a
n
a
n
d
s
u
p
p
o
r
t
i
n
g
d
o
c

u
m
e
n
t
s
.
I
d
e
t
a
i
l
e
d
m
y
f
a
m
i
l
y
,
s
e
x
p
e
r
i
e
n
c
e
o
f
h
o
w
t
h
e
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
o
b
t
a

i
n
e
d
a
r
e
b
e
i
n
g
u
s
e
d
a
s
a
m
e
a
n
s
o
f
h
a
r
m
b
y
3
k
n
o
w
n
a
c
t
o
r
s
.
W
e
k
n
o
w
t
h
e
s
p
i
r
i
t
o

f
t
h
e
P
u
b
l
i
c
R
e
c
o
r
d
s
A
c
t
i
s
i
n
t
e
n
d
e
d
f
o
r
t
r
a
n
s
p
a
r
e
n
c
y
i
n
G
o
v
e
r
n
m
e
n
t
,
n
o
t

a
s
a
m
e
a
n
s
t
o
h
a
r
v
e
s
t
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
t
o
h
a
r
a
s
s
,
h
a
r
m
a
n
d
s
m
e
a
r
f
a
m
i
l
i
e
s
a
n
d
j
u
v
e
n

i
l
e
s
,
w
h
i
l
e
i
n
t
e
r
t
w
i
n
i
n
g
p
u
b
l
i
c
o
f
f
i
c
i
a
l
s
,
t
i
t
l
e
s
. T
h
e
p
u
b
l
i
c
r
e
c
o
r
d
s

t
h
a
t
a
r
e
b
e
i
n
g
s
h
a
r
e
d
a
l
l
o
v
e
r
t
h
e
i
n
t
e
r
n
e
t
a
n
d
o
u
r
s
m
a
l
l
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
t
y
i
s
a
r
e

S
u
l
t
o
f
3
a
c
t
o
r
s
c
o
l
l
a
b
o
r
a
t
i
n
g
t
o
h
a
r
m
m
y
e
n
t
i
r
e
f
a
m
i
l
y
.
I
t
i
s
i
n
v
o
l
v
i
n
g
m

y
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
c
h
i
l
d
r
e
n
.
M
y
s
e
l
f
a
n
d
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
c
h
i
l
d
r
e
n
d
o
n
o
t
h
a
v
e
F
i
r
s
t
A
m
e
n

d
m
e
n
t
p
r
o
t
e
c
t
i
o
n
s
.

I
h
o
l
d
s
t
r
o
n
g
c
o
n
v
i
c
t
i
o
n
t
h
e
s
e
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
s
h
o
u
l
d
h
a
d
n

e
v
e
r
b
e
e
n
r
e
l
e
a
s
e
d
i
n
t
h
e
f
i
r
s
t
p
l
a
c
e
,
l
e
t
a
l
o
n
e
w
i
t
h
m
y
j
u
v
e
n
i
l
e
n
a
m
e
s
u
n

r
e
d
a
c
t
e
d
.
A
s
r
e
s
u
l
t
o
f
o
u
r
s
i
t
u
a
t
i
o
n
b
e
i
n
g
d
i
s
r
e
g
a
r
d
e
d
a
n
d
t
h
e
r
e
c
o
r
d
s
b

e
i
n
g
p
r
o
v
i
d
e
d
a
n
d
u
n
r
e
d
a
c
t
e
d
,
m
y
f
a
m
i
l
y
a
n
d
c
h
i
l
d
r
e
n
a
r
e
b
e
a
r
i
n
g
t
h
e
b
u
r

d
e
n
o
f
t
h
i
s
p
u
b
l
i
c
h
a
r
a
s
s
m
e
n
t
/
l
i
b
e
l
.
l
i
s
s
u
e
d
a
N
o
t
i
c
e
o
f
C
e
a
s
e
a
n
d
D
e
s
i
s

t
t
o
A
d
r
i
e
n
n
e
N
o
r
r
i
s
o
n
A
p
r
i
l
1
6
,
w
h
i
c
h
w
a
s
a
c
k
n
o
w
l
e
d
g
e
d
b
y
h
e
r
.
I
t
s
e
e
m
s
t


o
m
e
t
h
e
a
c
t
o
r
s
i
n
v
o
l
v
e
d
a
r
e
c
o
m
m
i
t
t
i
n
g
t
h
e
c
r
i
m
e
o
f
l
i
b
e
l
a
n
d
c
o
m
m
u
n
i
c
a
t

i
o
n
s
h
a
r
a
s
s
m
e
n
t
.
C
o
n
s
i
d
e
r
i
n
g
t
h
i
s
i
s
c
o
n
t
i
n
u
i
n
g
,
a
n
d
w
i
l
l
c
o
n
t
i
n
u
e
u
n
t

i
l
s
o
m
e
t
h
i
n
g
i
s
d
o
n
e
,
c
a
n
y
o
u
p
l
e
a
s
e
a
d
v
i
s
e
w
h
a
t
c
a
n
b
e
d
o
n
e
a
b
o
u
t
t
h
i
s
?

T
h
a
n
k
y
o
u
,

M
a
r
i
e
G
a
r
r
e
t
t



Fw: Re: Malicious use of public records

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date Mon 11/10/2025 3:36 PM

To Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

FYI

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date: On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 5:56 PM

Subject: Re: Malicious use of public records

To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

> Are you referring to your 7/22/2025 email stating "Boundary County Sheriff's Office has determined that there is no evidence of criminal activity warranting further investigation or referral to an outside agency at this time. As such, we will not be pursuing this matter further."?

>

>

> Again, you do not have the authority to not investigate/conflict criminal complaints to a different agency, just because a tort claim was filed with your office/county. Obviously, you conflicted others' complaints for investigation against myself, yet you are refusing to conflict/investigate my valid and provided criminal complaint for investigation?

>

>

>

>

> Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

>

>

> On Tuesday, July 29th, 2025 at 1:43 PM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

>

> > Marie,

> >


> > I would refer you to the email I sent 7-22-25 answering this question.

> >

> > Sheriff Stolley

208-267-7545
apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*





Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: Public Records Request

I completely understand why you are upset. However, public records requests are not designed to handle the questions you are asking.

The communications that we had with Ms. Evoniuk were given to you in their entirety and I confirmed in my last email that she returned the DVD she was provided by BCSO. She stated she had no other digital or hard copies in her possession.

The extent of the communications my office had with Ms. Norris were also given to you. I believe Sheriff Stolley was able to have a more productive dialogue with her, but he would have to speak to that as you saw how she reacted to Mr. Wilson's request email. I am cc'ing the sheriff so he may be able to provide any communication he had with her.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are*

*hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 10:36 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request

I feel that any person dealing with a situation such as ours, would be equally as concerned/upset and demanding answers. Since we do not know the full extent of dissemination and possession of the publicly released privacy breach content involving juveniles, I am attempting to understand where Boundary County is at in the process of damage control of this situation which continues to deeply impact my family.

I am concerned the victims in this privacy breach had not been informed regarding Official discovery of the breach. I happened to locate County Official acknowledgement of the breach SOLELY through my own investigation via public records requests. It is seeming that now I am only obtaining answers via public records request. This does not seem quite right, considering this matter is involving my children's privacy protected records that were released by Boundary County! I feel myself and children deserve to be informed and updated in a situation that concerns their privacy as result of Boundary County releasing the content. I understand the agencies are attempting to- according to Adrienne Norris "prevent the tort claim from escalating". However, considering this situation is a clear privacy breach, myself and children do expect to be handled no different than that of being a citizen with no Tort Claim filed. I understand the Idaho Laws of Data Breach procedure, and I feel this privacy breach of records may hold an element of Data Breach.

Moving forward, will the victim parties of the privacy breach be contacted by Boundary County Officials with updates regarding this breach?

Assuming from your reply stating that Mallorie Evoniuk returned the unredacted records- Can you verify if the returned records were the original format? Did Mallorie Evoniuk disclose what other formats she possessed/disseminated the records?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman return to Boundary County the complete unredacted/protected content? If not- What are the measures Boundary County will be using to rescind the complete records? I believe it is also important to investigate to determine additional non authorized people who are in possession of/disseminating the records, as well as learning the formats in which the known parties disseminated/ are continuing to disseminate the protected records.

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 9:51 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Ms. Garrett,

As I said earlier, you have been provided the public records relating to your inquiry attached. I can confirm that Ms. Evoniuk dropped off the records we had requested be returned.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 10, 2025 9:35 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached public records request for the following information:

Any/all documentation pertaining to an injunction regarding the possession/dissemination of unredacted juvenile records.

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she disseminated the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman turn over the complete privacy protected records to your office? If so, on what date and what format?(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she disseminated the privacy protected records and in what format ? (e.g: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Outlook

RE: Public records request

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]**Date** Mon 11/10/2025 8:40 AM**To** Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>**Cc** Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Hello,

My PRR earlier in the day of 11/7/25 specified communications between noted individuals specifically. My afternoon 11/7/25 request is more broad: I am requesting/all communications between *any agent of Boundary County* (e.g. between Boundary County Commissioners and Andrakay Pluid or Bill Wilson; Between Sheriff Travis Stolley and Andrakay Pluid and Bill Wilson; etc.).

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Monday, November 10th, 2025 at 8:08 AM, Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Good morning,

You are in receipt of the records the Prosecutor's Office has regarding this from your request last Friday. If the intent is to request similar records from other departments, please submit the request to the individual department's records custodians.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 4:41 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public records request

Please see the attached public records request for:

Any and all communications between any agent of Boundary County for the date range of 9/1/25 to present, pertaining to the issue of unredacted privacy protected records released via public records requests.

Marie Garrett



Outlook

Possession/ dissemination of unredacted privacy protected records inquiry

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]**Date** Sat 11/8/2025 9:50 AM**To** Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>


Hello Bill and Andrakay,

It has been known and documented that Misha Davis aka (et.al aliases) is another individual who publicly disseminated my children's unredacted privacy protected records on social media outlets (TikTok and Facebook are 2 I am aware of).

I would like to know why there is no documentation to date that shows Misha Davis has been contacted to request return of said protected records?

I would also like to know at what point will my children/family be acknowledged as victims in the crimes that are being committed/privacy breach that has occurred?

Marie Garrett



Re: Public Records Request

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Fri 11/7/2025 4:53 PM
To Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Cc Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Travis Stolley' <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Bill,

I understand. This was the basis of my contacts with many different Boundary county officials over the past 7 months. As result of this situation, my family and children are deeply affected by the activities of the known individuals. We all continue to be adversely affected. The individuals involved are not honest. They have all engaged in disseminating protected privacy information of a juvenile. Mallorie Evoniuk is our neighbor, who has been longstanding engaging in harassment/false reporting activities on my family alongside Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman and Misha Davis aka many other aliases. There is a lot of history behind these individuals' activities.

FYI- to date, as of 11/7/25, my children's unredacted records are publicly disseminated to the internet by Adrienne Norris and her media outlets. One source that I have located has over 1.2k views of my children's unredacted information that was provided to Adrienne by Boundary County. Boundary County watchman Facebook page has over 1.4k followers and an unknown amount of public viewers. It is unknown how many other areas of public view the sensitive info has been disseminated to. Many community members in my area sure do know about it. I do request you look into this. One of my children affected by this has issues, that have done nothing but exacerbate since this has happened to him/ our family. If my child goes off and commits suicide as result of the effect and continuing issues from this, who is going to care then? Have you researched the rapidly increasing rate of teen suicide-which is commonly triggered through cyber bullying and public harassment?

Marie Garrett

On Friday, November 7th, 2025 at 10:31 AM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Marie,

Instead of making a records request, I can just tell you what I've done on this. After we realized that the video in question contained medical information about your son, I tried to track down how it first went out. Turns out it was produced to Bushnell Law, so I assumed that firm represented Ms. Evoniuk on a related matter. However, they just picked it up for her as a courtesy and had never viewed or copied the video in question.

Next, I emailed Mallorie and asked her to voluntarily give the records back and confirm if she had copied or shared them with anyone else. She was happy to turn them back in, but she admitted she had given a copy to our friend Ms. Norris. I was satisfied that Mallorie was being honest with me.

Thereafter, I emailed Ms. Norris with the same request. She responded with a long list of complaints against Andrakay and demanded that the matter be conflicted to another county. At that time, Sheriff Stolley suggested that he might have more luck talking to her, so I handed it off to him. I believe that she eventually confirmed to him, in writing, that she had not published the unredacted video and agreed to destroy it, but since I don't have first-

hand knowledge of that part of it, I have copied him on this message so that he can contribute as needed.

I hope you understand that we are just trying to do the right thing here, but we're dealing with some very difficult personalities, so we're doing the best we can.

Thanks,


William S. Wilson, Esq.
Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, November 7, 2025 10:12 AM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Public Records Request

Please see the attached Public Records Request for:
Any and all communications from 4/1/25 to present (e.g. emails, letters, audio/visual recordings) between Attorneys Bill Wilson and AndraKay Pluid and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman", Mallorie Evoniuk, Thomas Bushnell, Misha Davis ([et.al](#) aliases) and any other known persons regarding possession of public records, and/or or harassment of juveniles and citizens, and/or any other matter concerning public records, dissemination of records, and/or all activities requiring attention from Public Officials.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Return of unredacted privacy protected records

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date Thu 11/6/2025 8:59 PM

To Andrakay Fluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Hello Bill and Andrakay:

I am inquiring on status of the return of my children's unredacted records, containing privacy protected information, which your office was attempting to retrieve from Mallorie Evoniuk and Adrienne Norris in September 2025. Please let me know if I need to submit a formal public records request form to obtain this information:

Did Mallorie Evoniuk turn over the privacy protected records to your office? Did Mallorie disclose the format in which she shared the privacy protected records(e.g. physical copy or digital)?

Did Adrienne Norris AKA "Boundary County Watchman" turn over the privacy protected records to your office?

Did Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman disclose the extent of who she shared the privacy protected records with and in what format (eg: physical or digital)?

Was another copy of the 7/5/24 juvenile incident records provided to Mallorie Evoniuk or Adrienne Norris AKA "Boundary County Watchman" at any date after the September 2025 emails were sent to the individuals?

Marie Garrett



RE: PRR

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
 Date: Wed 11/5/2025 4:01 PM
 To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
 Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bommercold.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountytid.org>

Interesting. QUESTION: Did anyone from your agency or Agent of Boundary county request a destruction of records to the recipient requester(s) when it was learned that my juvenile child's records were released by your agency to non party requester(s) completely unredacted? That of which contained full juvenile names, medical diagnosis' and other privacy protected information of a juvenile.

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 2:56 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

I have found what you are talking about, however that was not what was released to the other requester you refer to. I printed twice instead of going into my sent file and printing from there. Please destroy that document and I will send you the one directly from my response to that individual.

Thank you

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodial Clerk Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

643 J Rutland St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

18081867-3151 ext 308

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient(s)) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 8521-8523. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, discuss its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
 Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 2:18 PM
 To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
 Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bommercold.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountytid.org>
 Subject: RE: PRR

Emily,

I am looping legal counsel in my response, since they are now aware of my concerns and IPRA violations. I am concerned with records being released willy nilly to a known public harasser, without consideration of applicable IPRA exemption laws. You are negligently releasing unredacted records, in violation of IPRA. This has now occurred multiple times. This is no different to the very same negligence of which my juvenile children/family have experienced by the Boundary County Sheriff's Office.

The Boundary County Sheriff's Office should be balancing transparency with privacy laws in place. Sheriff Stolley has continually stated he is focused on protecting children. Your agency is not protecting children when you do not apply IPRA laws to public records requests from a known non party citizen who is harvesting records to publicly stalk and harass children and individuals through the use of obtained public records.

The rates of teen suicides have increased dramatically in recent years, with cyber bullying being a common causation. Your agency is assisting a documented known harasser in the mission to publicly harass and stalk juveniles and their families through cyber bullying.

Please see my reply (in blue) to your red reply:

Can you advise what guidelines you are using to not redact a juvenile reporting party name? **I did not release the reporting party name**

You did, in fact release UNREDACTED JUVENILE NAME to me and Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman". Said unredacted juvenile name is not exempt from redaction. You have now released the same juvenile name to myself two different times, on two different dates. Please see the inserted screenshot to substantiate this. I am also awaiting to receive from you the omitted page 6 of 8 from your email



thread with Adrienne Norris. (Screenshot inserted below)

From: Boundary County Watchman <
Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2025 2:44 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: PRR

5





7

20 / 21

May I have the case records (including body cam) that is associated with this scene. I was advised it happened summer 2025 around Katka Road. I am being advised that the officer next to the car door is Chief Of Police Willie Cowell, the incident was due to DUI and the driver was [REDACTED] and the boy at the right is her boyfriend who took the blame.

I am specifically inquiring about the application of Idaho code 74-124(1)(c). Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman" is public harasser of juvenile children. Why is Idaho Code 74-124(1)(c) not being applied to records requests? DUI is a status of he was charged as an adult not a juvenile so the case is open to the public and redaction is not an entitlement with this offense.

I am not referring to a redaction of records. I am referring to you not applying Idaho Code 74-124 (1)(c) exemption to the release of records. It has been documented multiple times with your agency that Adrienne Norris aka "Boundary County Watchman" is obtaining records through an unwarranted invasion of privacy to publicly harass individuals.

TITLE 74
TRANSPARENT AND ETHICAL
GOVERNMENT

CHAPTER 1
PUBLIC RECORDS ACT

74-124. EXEMPTIONS FROM
DISCLOSURE -
CONFIDENTIALITY. (1)

Notwithstanding any statute or rule of court to the contrary, nothing in this chapter nor chapter 10, title 59, Idaho Code, shall be construed to require disclosure of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes by a law enforcement agency, but such exemption from disclosure applies only to the extent that the production of such records would:

- (a) Interfere with enforcement proceedings;
- (b) Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication;
- (c) **Constitute an unwarranted invasion of**

personal privacy;

(d) Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source;

(e) Disclose investigative techniques and procedures;

(f) Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel; or

(g) Disclose the identity of

including those in the legislative or judicial branch, except the state militia and the Idaho state historical society library and archives.

(17)(a) "Unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" means:

(i) Disclosure of information used to identify, locate, or harass a juvenile, a victim of an alleged crime of mass violence or domestic violence, or a victim of physical or sexual abuse; or

(ii) Disclosure where release of information is likely to violate legitimate and substantial privacy interests of the person identified when such interests are weighed against general public information.

(b) Release of the name, age, sex, and hometown of any deceased person after notification of next-of-kin shall not constitute an

unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and shall be disclosed unless otherwise exempt under this chapter.

(18) "Writing" includes, but is not limited to, handwriting, typewriting, printing, photostating, photographing and every means of recording, including letters, words, pictures, sounds, or symbols, or combination thereof, and all

What exactly does your statement mean?: "Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you." It means I made a mistake. The name was given to me by the requestor and I missed redacting it in the requestor's email that was sent to you

Are you referring to your unredaction of juvenile Gracie Pluid's name in the content you emailed me yesterday?

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 12:44 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

After speaking with agency counsel, please see below

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work

product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 11:39 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Can you advise what guidelines you are using to not redact a juvenile reporting party name? **I did not release the reporting party name**

I am specifically inquiring about the application of Idaho code 74-124(1)(c). Adrienne Norris "Boundary County Watchman " is a documented public harasser of juvenile children. Why is Idaho Code 74-124(1)(c) not being applied to records requests? **DUI is a status offence. Meaning, he was charged as an adult not a juvenile so the case is open to the public and redaction is not an entitlement with this offence.**

What exactly does your statement mean?: "Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you." **It means I made a mistake. The name was given to me by the requestor and I missed redacting it in the requestor's email that was sent to you**

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 11:22 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

One juvenile name was left unredacted and was charged as an adult. Other juvenile names were redacted per code.

Idaho Code 74-124 applied to what you received today, with the exception of the one charged as an adult. Yesterday, admittedly, I made an error in not looking at the email sent to me prior to sending it to you.

*Emily L. Carey
Records Custodian/Civil Deputy
Boundary County Sheriff's office
6438 Kootenai St.
P.O. Box 127
Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805
(208)267-3151 ext 202
ecarey@boundarysheriff.org*



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 11:03 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: PRR

Can you advise why juvenile names are not being redacted from the records you provide to non party requesters? Subjects/reporting party are juveniles under the age of 18. The criminally charged subject is a juvenile under age 18. Said juvenile was charged with a Misdemeanor citation.

Additionally, why is 74-124(1)(c) exemption not being applied to juvenile record requests submitted by non party requesters? The non party requester in this case is a documented known public harasser of juvenile children.

Additionally, since page 6 of 8 is missing from the 10/19/25 email thread you provided me on 11/4/25- it was unclear that Adrienne Norris' aka "Boundary county watchman" submitted this request 10/19/25.

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 10:23 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

11/05/25

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: any and all records that were provided to Adrienne Norris' aka "Boundary county watchman" email request on 10/19/25 and 10/28/25, pertaining to case 2025-00433.

The communication on 10/28/25 was not a request it was an email communication stated she disagreed that we had reached the 2 hours time and she would have to pay for the additional records (ie redaction of video).

The photo you have of this incident came from Boundary County Watchman, it was not provided to her from the Sheriff's Office

Attached are the records we have located regarding your request. Please note that under Idaho Code 74-104 (1), personal information has/have been redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request,

please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202


ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, November 5, 2025 9:54 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: PRR

This is a request for any and all records that were provided to Adrienne Norris' aka "Boundary county watchman" email request on 10/19/25 and 10/28/25, pertaining to case 2025-00433.



Re: FW: PRR

From Marie Garrett

Date: Wed 11/5/2025 3:19 PM

To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnerco.id.gov>; 'Andriakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Thank you for the clarification of showing that you did not send unredacted reporting party name in response to the 10/19/25 and 10/28/25 request of Adrienne Norris. It is still an issue to be addressed that I received the reporting party juvenile name unredacted.

Can you please address the other issues as noted in my blue lettered reply in previous email?

On Wednesday, November 5th, 2025 at 3:00 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

This is a forwarded email of what was sent to the original requestor

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodial Clerk Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kentwood St.

P.O. Box 187

Emery Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208) 867-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipient. It is the employee's or agent's responsibility to deliver it to the intended recipient and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 8521-8523. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney-client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

10/28/25

Boundary County Watchman

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Boundary County Watchman,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: Documents for case 2025-00433

Attached are the records we have located regarding your request. Please note that under Idaho Code 74-104 (1), personal information has/have been redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

***Please be advised should you choose to go no further there will be no charge for this information as I was able to send it via email. Should you decide to pursue this matter the charges will need to be paid in advance per my last email to you in order for work to continue. ***

Thank you,

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Boundary County Watchman
Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2025 1:09 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: Re: PRR

Please provide what I am entitled to for free per I.C. 74. Then I will decide if I want to continue. I understand I will still need to pay \$5.00 for the thumbdrive. Thank you for your time.

Adrienne Norris

*Facebook:Boundary County Watchman

*Website:www.BoundaryCountyWatchman.com

*Youtube:<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCPaCDffOTalroAFVRA9BT-g>

Disclaimer:
Private citizen.

Not endorsed/authorized/sponsored by any entity or government agency. Working with our local government to ensure transparency according to Idaho Statute 74. -Jeremiah 6:17

On Tue, Oct 28, 2025, 12:56 PM Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

10/28/25

Boundary County Watchman

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Boundary County Watchman,

We are in receipt of your public records requests in which you request the following: Incident report, supplemental reports and body cam footage. Per Idaho Code § 74-102, we are notifying you that we have exceeded two (2) hours on your request. Further work on your request will take approximately four (4) hours to complete and will require a prepayment fee. Our fee to continue with your request is \$21.93 per hour times 4 hours plus a \$5.00 fee for the cost of the flash drive for the data to go on once completed.

We accept cash, money order or check. Please make check out to: Boundary County. Please deliver your prepayment to: [Boundary County 6438 Kootenai St.](#) or mail to P.O. Box 127 Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

Per Idaho Code §74-103, your request has been reviewed by our attorneys. Should you feel aggrieved by this decision, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

[6438 Kootenai St.](#)

[P.O. Box 127](#)

[Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805](#)

[\(208\)267-3151 ext 202](#)

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U. S. C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Boundary County Watchman
Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2025 2:44 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: PRR



May I have the case records (including body cam) that is associated with this scene. I was advised it happened summer 2025 around Katka Road. I am being advised that the officer next to the car door is Chief Of Police Willie Cowell, the incident was due to DUI and the driver was [REDACTED]

██████████ and the boy at the right is her boyfriend who took the blame.

I am assuming this is a county case since the location was in the county. But for some reason a city Chief of Police is the responding officer.

Due to conflict of interest, if you need to seek legal advise please conflict this public records request to an outside agency.

Adrienne Norris

*Facebook:Boundary County Watchman

*Website:www.BoundaryCountyWatchman.com

*Youtube:<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCPaCDffOTalroAFVRA9BT-g>

Disclaimer:

Private citizen.

Not endorsed/authorized/sponsored by any entity or government agency. Working with our local government to ensure transparency according to Idaho Statute 74. -Jeremiah 6:17

From: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Sent: Tuesday, October 28, 2025 1:19 PM

To: 'Boundary County Watchman'

Subject: RE: PRR



Fw: RE: Public records request

From Marie Garrett

Date: Tue, 11/14/2025 5:43 PM

To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@meridenid.gov>

Mr. Wilson:

Can you please look into this? I am concerned about an obvious omission of records pertaining to my PRR. As you can see in the provided records, per the scanned attachment in between page 19&20 shows there to be page 6 of the email thread missing. The email page footer jumps from page 5 to page 7. I have attempted to address this with the records custodian to no avail. Omitting records that are subject to PRA is an apparent issue.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2581. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Boundary County Watchman <
Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2025 2:44 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: PRR

5





7

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: On Tuesday, November 4th, 2025 at 1:45 PM
Subject: RE: Public records request
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

That is incorrect. I am attaching a screenshot to show you page 6 from your email thread is missing.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2581. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

From: Boundary County Watchman <
Sent: Sunday, October 19, 2025 2:44 PM
To: undisclosed-recipients:
Subject: PRR

5





On Tuesday, November 4th, 2025 at 1:17 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

I pulled that request for review and page 6 is the photograph, page 7 is the written part of the request. There are multiple requests within those scanned documents, however, as stated, page 6 of the printed request is the photo of the vehicle

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonnets Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. 55 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 1:03 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Public records request

You are incorrect. Page 6 (the missing page between 19/20 of your scanned copy) is missing.

On Tuesday, November 4th, 2025 at 12:25 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Page 6 is on page 13 of the scanned documents

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 12:16 PM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: RE: Public records request

Additionally, page 6 is missing of the 8 pages received in response to my 11/4/25 PRR (requesting all emails communications between Adrienne Norris and you) This is page 6 of 8 in the thread of dates showing 10/19/25 and 10/28/25. Please provide me page 6.

On Tuesday, November 4th, 2025 at 12:10 PM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Page 2 of 5 of your communications with Adrienne Norris on 10/2/25 are missing from your response to my PRR request dated 11/4/25

Can you explain why Adrienne Norris' 10/28/25 email request communications are not provided in response to my PRR response dated 11/2/25, which is a PRR for all requests received by your office between 10/19/25-11/2/25? You did not send me Adrienne's 10/28/25 email request when you responded to my 11/2/25 request on 11/4/25 at 9:59am.

Additionally, why is it that the response I received on 11/4/25 at 9:59am to my 11/2/25 PRR contains an incomplete email request from Adrienne (does not show date sent/received, etc)? The email shows it is page 7...

The same page of that communication with Adrienne which you just sent in my all emails PRR dated 11/4/25, with response received 11/4/25 at 11:37am now shows that very same email communication to be page 8...can you please explain this?

On Tuesday, November 4th, 2025 at 11:37 AM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

11/4/25

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Marie,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: Any and all emails between the Boundary County Records Custodian and Adrienne Norris (A.K.A Boundary County Watchman)

Attached are the records we have located regarding your request. Please note that under Idaho Code 74-104 (1), personal information has/have been redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127

Bonners Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains

From: Marie Garrett
Sent: Tuesday, November 4, 2025 11:05 AM
To: Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: Public records request


12/16/25, 3:44 PM

Inbox - Bill Wilson - Outlook

This is a public records request for all emails to and from
Adrienne Norris and Emily Carey of the Boundary County Sheriff's
Office between the dates of 10/1/25-11/3/25.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett



Harassment of families/juveniles/unwarranted invasion of privacy/safety compromise through use of public records

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date Tue 8/19/2025 12:59 PM

To Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

📎 12 attachments (1 MB)

Misha Davis.harassment of juvenile and family.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.5.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.2.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.3.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.4.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.6.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.pdf; Notice of Cease and Desist on harassment public posts.7.pdf; AN. Cease and Desist 4.16.25.pdf; BCW public post following 7.5.24 incident with juvenile son.harassment.jpeg; BCW Public harassment from 7.5.24 incident iwth juvenile. Youtube video.jpeg; BCW screenshot of public post with public records disseminated of unredacted juvenile names. harassment in personal capacity under guise of First Amended protections.jpeg;

To whom it may concern:

I have attached 12 files of screenshots along with active hyperlinks, which evidence the coordinated harassment through the malicious use of public records. First Amendment protections do not apply to private citizens being harassed through the use of disseminated public records. The records are pertaining to private citizens' personal lives, involving our family, and juvenile child. You can see, they attempt to justify their public harassment (using public records) always by intermingling my husband's professional title and his department (BFPD) regarding personal issues with our teenage juvenile son. Their blurring the lines of First Amendment protections and harassment/stalking on a family involving personal matters of a juvenile child is apparent and an unwarranted invasion of privacy. The personal matters for which these public records are used to harass/stalk involve a juvenile and have nothing to do with my husband's professional capacity nor Bonners Ferry Police Department. The inciting of compromised safety of family/children/law enforcement (concerning personal juvenile child matters while off duty) should be noted.

It is important to note it is documented that individuals involved with Adrienne Norris carry a pattern of reporting falsely narrated claims on myself and family, then public request the records, and disseminate said records for "Boundary County Watchman " aka Adrienne Norris to publish online in their harassment/stalking smear campaign of families and juvenile children. Always while intermingling my husband's department and professional title. It is noteworthy that one video published by Boundary County Watchman is YOUTUBE public with my JUVENILE CHILDREN'S NAMES UNREDACTED, AND HAS BEEN VIEWED 1.2K TIMES TO DATE. The tag of the video reads "Bonners Ferry Police Department". Again, personal issues of our family involving our juvenile child are not included in First Amendment protections. The dashcam public records video from 7/5/24 has NOTHING to do with Bonners Ferry Police Department.

There is additional evidence of harassment/stalking of my family that I have not included in this email. Please note, the use of public records to personally harass/stalk publicly always coincides with their public vehement opinions of the Bonners Ferry Police Department and the online activities of this group inciting the jeopardy of Officer Safety (first amendment protected), while intertwining Family Safety (not first amendment protected). I will reiterate: the issue of personal harassment and inciting danger on Law Enforcement Officer family/juvenile children cannot be overshadowed by First Amendment protections that do not apply to my husband/ juvenile children/family in a personal capacity.

It is also noteworthy that over the course of 3+ years, our neighbor has been involved in falsely narrating situations to LE and in turn, supplying her work to Adrienne Norris to publicly disseminate. Never without

using my Husband's professional title of Assistant Chief of Police and Bonners Ferry Police Department intertwined into the personal harassment on myself, juvenile children and family.

The above mentioned harassment/stalking/false reporting issues have been reported to the Boundary County Sheriff's Office.

I am providing you this information so that you can be informed when determining privacy rights/exemptions prior to future release of records to non party requesters. Improper release of records will be subject to legal ramifications to the full extent of the Law, barring claims of good faith and immunity. I appreciate your attention to this important matter.

Direct links to email attachment content:

4/18/25 "Boundary county Watchman" Facebook public post, using public records to harass a family, involving juvenile children. Following my notice of cease and desist as a comment on the post, Boundary County Watchman- Adrienne Norris Insinuated threats of releasing full video of Deputy Wion inside my home (which was released entirely unredacted) and includes names of juvenile children, mental health diagnosis' and protected information.


[Marie Garrett, This will be your last... - Boundary County Watchman | Facebook](#)

4/18/25 YouTube video viewed 1.2k times to date: of public records from 7/5/24 incident, with unredacted juvenile names and another public records incident from 2022, with text messages showing neighbor (affiliated with Adrienne Norris) perpetrated an incident and false reporting was made:

[\(8\) Under Fire for Speaking Out! - YouTube](#)

IN ADDITION TO THE ABOVE ACTIVE LINKS, I HAVE ATTACHED 12 SCREENSHOT ATTACHMENTS AS EVIDENCE OF THE ABOVE PUBLIC HARASSMENT/STALKING THROUGH THE USE OF PUBLIC RECORDS.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Re: Non Party Public Records requests

From Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Date Thu 8/14/2025 3:17 PM

To Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Cc Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Marie,

Respectfully, we are not obligated to provide you with that explanation.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 2:46 PM

To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Cc: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: Re: Non Party Public Records requests

I would like to clarify: what parameters does your department use to determine whether the IPRA exemptions are applied? I am not seeking legal advice, I am asking what methodologies are used within the department in applying IPRA exemptions?

On Thursday, August 14th, 2025 at 2:21 PM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Marie,

I'm sorry but we cannot provide you with personalized legal advice. Neither Andrakay nor I represent you, so we have to be careful to avoid doing anything that accidentally creates an attorney-client relationship. If you need help interpreting the statutes in question, you need to seek private legal counsel to assist you.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, August 14, 2025 2:09 PM
To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Non Party Public Records requests

Hello,

I am requesting clarification of what methodology would be used in application of an Idaho Public Records Act privacy exemption on a non party public records request? What is the manner in which you would determine and apply Idaho Public Records Act exemptions/Privacy laws?

For example, if I alert the Public Records Custodian/County official(s) that known community members are using public records to publicly harass and harm my juvenile child and family; I provide evidence of a concerted effort amongst private citizens to carry out public harassment and identification of juvenile, I show evidence of the perpetrated mission, intrusion of privacy and public dissemination of juvenile information. I report to the Sheriff the evidence of public harassment of my family/juvenile child from the dissemination/use of public records to harass (yet my report is refused to be investigated/acknowledged)....Do you consider this information and consider it when determining if IPRA exemptions apply to future non party public records requests?


The IPRA exemptions I am seeking clarification of when they would be applied:

74-124 (1)(c)(f)

- 74-124. Exemptions from disclosure – Confidentiality. (1) Notwithstanding any statute or rule of court to the contrary, nothing in this chapter nor chapter 10, title 59, Idaho Code, shall be construed to require disclosure of investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes by a law enforcement agency, but such exemption from disclosure applies only to the extent that the production of such records would: (a) Interfere with enforcement proceedings; (b) Deprive a person of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication; (c) Constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; (d) Disclose the identity of a confidential source and, in the case of a record compiled by a criminal law enforcement agency in the course of a criminal investigation, confidential information furnished only by the confidential source; (e) Disclose investigative techniques and procedures; (f) Endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement

74-101(17)(a)(i)(ii)

- 74-101 Definitions (17) (a) “Unwarranted invasion of personal privacy” means: (i) Disclosure of information used to identify, locate, or harass a juvenile, a victim of an alleged crime of mass violence or domestic violence, or a victim of physical or sexual abuse; or (ii) Disclosure where release of information is likely to violate legitimate and substantial privacy interests of the person identified when such interests are weighed against general public information.



Privacy laws/redactions

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date Mon 8/4/2025 10:11 AM

To Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

I am writing to reiterate the importance of adherence to the Idaho Public records Act and all applicable privacy laws.

I have been emailing multiple officials/staff of different agencies in Boundary County, reiterating the detriment of violating privacy laws and release of non redacted content to non parties. The rebound of negligence of application of privacy laws has created a greater issue in our lives personally.


With this said, I am asking that Idaho public records Act be adhered to the fullest, along with all applicable privacy laws, exemptions, redactions, etc. upon all public records requests for release to the public, for everyone including my family.

Boundary county Sheriff's Office received a criminal complaint from me/ notice that unredacted public records have been used to personally harass my family/juvenile children. (To date has not been investigated by Boundary County Sheriff's Office or conflicted to another agency).

This email serves as an additional notice that any non party public records requests received will be used as continued harassment on my family and juvenile children, done as a concerted effort by multiple individuals of Boundary County, as already evidenced.

Privacy laws and exemptions must be carefully applied prior to consideration of releasing any content to the public.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Re: Public Records Request 7/30/25

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Fri 8/1/2025 12:43 PM
To Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Cc Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Bill,

Can you please explain what your last email is about? There must have been a missing email that I was not included in.

On Friday, August 1st, 2025 at 11:51 AM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Yes, if we need to redact something, checking that box is appropriate. Let's discuss this on Monday when I come up there.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Glenda Poston [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2025 4:53 PM
To: 'Marie Garrett' <mariegarrett@protonmail.com>
Cc: 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: RE: Public Records Request 7/30/25

Marie – I was forwarded your message and I need to let you know that it will be tomorrow before we can get all of these scanned in for your review. I'm not sure of the total number of pages as we have not counted them, but will provide additional information if needed.

Glenda Poston

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Sent: Wednesday, July 30, 2025 1:38 PM
To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc: 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: FW: Public Records Request 7/30/25

Hi Glenda,

This PRR should have come to you.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

----- Original message -----


From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Date: 7/30/25 1:14 PM (GMT-08:00)

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>, Bill Wilson
<bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: Public Records Request 7/30/25

Please see the attached Public Records Request.



RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

From Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Tue 7/29/2025 2:32 PM

To 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED]

Cc 'Pam Barton' <pbarton@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Marie – No, I don't have any written documentation, regarding the conversation, as it was conveyed verbally to me. I would also like to clarify that in a prior email I mis-stated that the county "is required" to prioritize hiring internally, but regarding this situation, of the people that were available during the scheduled interview times/days, a current county employee was selected from a pool of both internal and external candidate.

Respectfully,
Glenda Poston

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:44 AM

To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>

Cc: 'Pam Barton' <pbarton@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Clerk Poston,

Do you have record of Pam Barton communicating to you that she had told me on 6/18/25 she was going to speak with you regarding a different interview date for the week of June 30? Ms. Barton did not tell me to call her or you back. Ms. Barton told me she would get back to me after speaking with you.

I never received another phone call from anyone in your office subsequent to my phone call with Ms. Barton on 6/18/25.

The fact is that I was obviously a qualified candidate for the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position, and skipped over in discrimination for consideration for the position.

Also, I see the posting date for the county and the public are the same date (6/10/25). Can you explain this?

On Tuesday, July 8th, 2025 at 10:42 AM, Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Marie – Yes, we do have a formal request form and in the future should you need it, I would ask that you complete it. But moving forward for your request. I have attached the posting for the job opening in the court side of my office. Also, attached is page 7 from the Employee Handbook and in the bottom section of item 4, speaks to "in-house". It is very common for openings to run concurrently within the county, of which this posting did just that.

We had 23 resume/applications received. Of those 8 were selected.

We conducted 6 interviews in person and 1 via zoom. Interview were done on 6/24/25 and 6/27/25.

As you can see by the math above we are missing one interview and that was yours. I know that Pam did contact you and you indicated you were out of town and was not available. I have no knowledge of you contacting my office to schedule any interview for a different date/time.

On July 3, we discussed the opening and selected a person. Letters were sent to those we did interview, but were not selected.

If there was confusion on what your available dates for an interview were that was not conveyed by you, you stated you were out of the area. I encourage you to keep an eye out for job postings within the county and my office.

We did hire someone who does have legal experience, knowledge of procedures and is currently a county employee.

Hopefully I have answered your questions. Please feel free to apply in the future, should you wish to.

Respectfully Submitted,

Glenda Poston
Boundary County Clerk

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 10:29 PM
To: Glenda Poston <gposton@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: RE: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Clerk Poston,

Please consider this a formal request for the below documents to be provided to me. If a form public records request is necessary, please provide said form.

*Documentation regarding the job description of the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position opening (expiration 6/23/25).

*Provide documentation of in house job posting date for said position and documentation of the date the job posting was made available to the general public.

*Provide the number of applicants for said position and dates of interviews.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 12:31 PM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Can you please tell me why I was not called to schedule an interview and given that opportunity, following my conversation with Pam on 6/18/25, where she indicated I would be scheduled for an interview? Based on my previous experience and the criteria of your job posting, I am a qualified candidate for this position.

Can you please send me the documentation of when the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position was posted for "in house" and documentation to show when the Deputy Court Clerk 4 position was posted to the general public?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 12:24 PM, Glenda Poston
<gposton@boundarycountyid.org> wrote:

Thank you Marie, YES Pam did speak with me regarding your situation and time for interviews. We did conduct interviews last week and have selected an "in-house" employee. Per our County policy we are required to do that if the applicant would fit into the work environment, of which she did.

Thank You for following up with me.

Glenda Poston

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 7, 2025 9:45 AM
To: gposton@boundarycountyid.org
Subject: Fw: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant

Dear Clerk Poston,

I am following up on an interview date for the applied for Deputy Court Clerk 4 position.

On 6/18/25 I spoke with Pam about an interview. She asked if I was available for an interview the following week. I was not available, as my child was attending a pre planned day camp in Sandpoint each day that week and I needed to stay in the area. Pam told me the job posting did not close until 6/23/25, and she was just getting a jumpstart on interviews, and she would get with you regarding scheduling my interview.

Are interviews for this position still being scheduled?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----


From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: On Monday, June 16th, 2025 at 1:00 PM
Subject: Deputy Court Clerk 4 applicant
To: gposton@boundarycountyid.org
<gposton@boundarycountyid.org>

Dear Clerk Poston,

Please find my attached General Application for the Deputy Court Clerk 4 available position. You will also be receiving the attached via mail. To this email I have also attached my Resume and a letter of recommendation. I bring to you my experience and proven abilities in complex legal clerical and secretarial functions. I feel I would be a qualified match for this position.

I appreciate your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,
Marie Garrett



Re: Formal request for investigation of compliance with IPRA

From Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Date Tue 7/29/2025 1:23 PM

To Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Marie,

I think I responded to this topic on another thread, but I'll try to do so again here. There is no statutory authority for Andrakay and I to conduct an audit of the Sheriff's Office. Likewise, we don't have the power to issue a cease and desist letter on your behalf, as only represent the County as an entity and not you personally. If you are unhappy with the responses you've received to any of your recent requests, your sole remedy is to sue the County as set forth at I.C. 74-115. I certainly hope we can avoid that by working together in a professional manner, but I also want to be realistic about what we can and cannot do on your behalf.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:36 AM

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: Re: Formal request for investigation of compliance with IPRA

I am following up on my email sent on July 22, 2025. To date, I have not received a reply. I have requested a formal investigation (audit) into Boundary County Sheriff's Office compliance of Idaho Public Records Act. Does this need to be forwarded to the Attorney General's Office or will your office be handling this matter?

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 8:07 AM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

I am writing to formally request an outside investigation of Bounday County Sheriff's Office compliance of the Idaho Public Records Act (IPRA). I am requesting an outside governing agency investigation into many facets in which IPRA is being violated. Including but not limited to: unredacted release of records containing identifying information/protected health information/sealed Juvenile information, IPRA exemptions not being applied upon release of records, non compliance of Juvenile privacy laws, mishandling of public records requests and IPRA law protocol/deadlines not being adhered to. My formal request is subsequent to the below outlined verifiable issues I have experienced:

7/2024: Juvenile Public records containing identifying information, sealed Juvenile information, and PHI released to Requester without applicable exemptions applied. Audio/visual records

released completely unredacted to requester. This is ONE records request that can be verified.

Per emails received by Mike Rosenthal, BCSO records custodian- he has not had the ability to redact A/V content. I am formally requesting investigation into all public records released that have contained privacy law breaches due to the inability to redact, yet the records have been released unredacted. The investigation needs to include all A/V records released.


2025 to present: I have experienced mishandling/unacknowledgement of public records requests. I have been denied public records requests that others have been able to receive. I have been quoted exorbitant/unreasonable fees for a public records request. Currently, 3 of my public records requests have been unanswered-yet not denied, with failure to handle the requests per IPRA. (6/18/25 PRR and 7/7/25 PRR-quantity 2).

I bring forth this request for investigation not only for my own verified experiences/evidence of IPRA compliance violations, but for any other public records requests with the same issues. If a privacy breach is founded, it would be necessary to apply the lawful protocols in notifying potential victims.

I am requesting an outside agency to investigate alleged non compliance of IPRA within Boundary County Sheriff's office. The reason for this request is due to conflict of interest.

Please acknowledge receipt of this email and notify me of who will be investigating this matter.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



Re: Demand for Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to be issued by Boundary County

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Tue 7/29/2025 11:32 AM
To Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

I am following up on my below email to you on July 23, 2025. What is the status of this?

On Wednesday, July 23rd, 2025 at 9:26 AM, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,


This email serves as a Demand for Boundary County Officials to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist to the known individuals in possession of UNREDACTED JUVENILE PROTECTED RECORDS. Said records contain unredacted identifying information of a Juvenile, protected health information and sealed court record information of a Juvenile.

Boundary County Sheriff's office released the unredacted above mentioned records to a non party requester on or about July 9, 2024. Subsequent to that action of the Boundary County Sheriff's Office, said records have been publicly disseminated on the internet with intent to harm and permanently damage individual(s). The mentioned records are not public information. It is now the duty of Boundary County to issue a Rescind of Records Notice and a Notice of Cease and Desist to the below known individuals:

1. Mallorie Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
2. Joshua Evoniuk aka Bonners Ferry Police Parody and all other pseudonyms.
3. Adrienne Norris aka Boundary County Watchman and all other pseudonyms
4. Jamisha Nevarez aka Misha Davis aka Jamisha Davis aka Savage Grace aka AriseZionDaughter and all other pseudonyms

I request that violation of the Rescind of Records Notice and Notice of Cease and Desist result in the individual(s) prosecuted to the full extent of the Law.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett



FW: Public Records Request 7/29/25

From Matt Hodges <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Tue 7/29/2025 2:46 PM

To [REDACTED]

Cc 'Andrakay Pluid' <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

 2 attachments (1 MB)

Boundary County PRR. 7.29.25.pdf; PRR041025.jpg;

Marie Garrett,

There has been one change made to the public records request page of the Sheriff's website during that time.

<https://www.boundarysheriff.org/public-records-requests>

The change was made on April 10, 2025 at 9:38 AM

The change was to move the icons listed at the bottom of the page so that they do not cover their descriptions. Please see the attached file PRR041025.jpg, this is what the page looked like before the change was made.

Thanks,
Matt Hodges

From: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 12:06 PM

To: 'Matt Hodges' <mhodges@boundarycountyid.org>; 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: FW: Public Records Request 7/29/25

Matt,

Attached is a PRR that was sent to my office, but I think would be better directed to IT. I am not sure if you are responsible for website maintenance or not?

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in*

*or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2025 11:31 AM


To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: Public Records Request 7/29/25

Bill Wilson and Andrakay Pluid,

Please see the attached Public Records Request.

Marie Garrett



RE: Public records request form

From Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Date Mon 7/28/2025 10:56 AM

To 'Marie Garrett' [REDACTED]

 1 attachment (705 KB)

Boundary County Public Request Form.pdf;

Hi Marie,

Attached is a PRR form that my office would prefer to use. It appears the county as whole does not have a standard one at this point.

Andrakay Pluid

Boundary County Prosecuting Attorney

208-267-7545

apluid@boundarycountyid.org

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: *This e-mail transmission, and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, may contain confidential information that is legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this message, is **STRICTLY PROHIBITED**. If you have received this transaction in error, please immediately notify us by reply e-mail to apluid@boundarycountyid.org or by telephone 208 267-7545, and destroy the original transmission and its attachments without reading them or saving them. Thank you.*

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, July 25, 2025 1:59 PM

To: Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>; Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Subject: Public records request form

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,


Can you please send me the template form for citizen public records request of Boundary county records (not specifically Boundary county Sheriff's Office)?

If there is no form, can you please inform me of the procedure in which I am to follow with your office to obtain public records of Boundary county?

I have searched online and have not located a form for public records of Boundary County.

Best,

Marie Garrett



Re: RE: 7/22/25 Public Records Request

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Tue 7/22/2025 3:55 PM
To Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Mr. Wilson,

Yes, I was just informed this afternoon by Travis that Emily Carey is now handling my public records requests. This is the reply which could had been sent to me days/weeks ago...rather than the games of circular motion that have ensued with Dale Anderson, which have resulted in my public records requests being ignored.

I am not only attempting to address a grievance due to the violations regarding my own public records request...I am requesting a formal investigation for ALL public records violations. Statutorily, the appropriate official needs to address this matter.

Third issue: why am I being denied an investigation into my 4/21/25 criminal complaint? Considering criminal complaints on me and another LEO wife were sent to an outside agency due to conflict, I need an answer of why my criminal complaint is being ignored and not conflicted to an outside agency?

Best,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 3:18 PM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Ms. Garrett,

I think there have been several emails sent back and forth today, so for sake of clarity, I thought I would respond to this one and attempt to address a few issues at once. First, Sheriff Stolley replied to a previous email stating that any records requests need to be directed to his records department. He is correct.

On a different note, I believe you also sent an email asking for an investigation into alleged failures to produce records in response to your several requests. If you feel aggrieved by any response you have (or have not) received, your sole remedy is to seek relief in the Boundary County District Court pursuant to Idaho Code 74-115. If you have questions about how the process works, you may wish to retain private counsel to assist you.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 2:25 PM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Fw: RE: 7/22/25 Public Records Request

Attorney Andrakay Pluid and Attorney Bill Wilson,

Please advise who I am to be directing my public records requests to.

On 6/13/25, Mike Rosenthal recused himself via email from handling my public records requests due to my 6/11/25 filed tort claim. Mike Rosenthal directed me to submit future public records requests to Dale Anderson.

I am being sent in a circular motion by Dale Anderson. To each of my Public records requests, Dale responds that he is not the one handling my requests. I have asked Dale several times via email, who is handling my requests? I forwarded Dale the 6/13/25 email from Mike Rosenthal, which directs me to submit PRR to Dale. I have received no reply to my question of who am I supposed to be submitting my requests to? As an extra measure, I have been cc'ing Mike Rosenthal (bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org) on my public records request emails. As result of this circular motion, my formal public records requests from 7/7/25 and 7/22/25 are being ignored.

I have the expectation to hold the same ability to receive public records, just as any other resident in the State of Idaho.

If you would like to view the several emails in reference to this matter, please let me know.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 11:55 AM
Subject: RE: 7/22/25 Public Records Request
To: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Mr. Anderson,

Who is the records contact for my requests? Per the email from Mike Rosenthal on 6/13/25 (which i provided you with that email), Mike recused himself from handling my requests and directed me to you.

Please stop sending me in a circular motion with no outcome to my public records requests. I am asking for my public records request to be fulfilled.

Best,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 11:48 AM, Dale Anderson
<danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I am not the records contact, as I advised you before

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnars Ferry ID 83805

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 11:31 AM
To: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>; Mike Rosenthal <bcsocivil@boundarysheriff.org>
Subject: 7/22/25 Public Records Request

Boundary County Sherriff's Office personnel handling public records requests for Marie Garrett:

Please see the attached public records request dated and submitted 7/22/25.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett



Outlook

Re: Public Records Request

From Marie Garrett [redacted]**Date** Tue 7/22/2025 3:42 PM**To** Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org>**Cc** Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Emily,

Thank you for sending the 7 pages of documents. I am seeing the contents are primarily the emails between Travis Stolley and I. I see one screenshot of a text message between unknown parties. I do not see any indication of an investigation into my 4/21/25 20+ page criminal complaint.

Can you confirm if this is the entirety of records pertaining to my request for any/all documents and media associated with my 4/21/25 20+ page criminal complaint for investigation?

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 3:17 PM, Emily Carey <ecarey@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

07/22/2025

Marie Garrett

RE: Public Records Request

Dear Mrs. Garrett,

We are in receipt of your public records request for: Any/all documents and media associated with the review/investigation of the 20+ page complaint submitted to Boundary Sheriff's Office on 4/21/25 by Marie Garrett

Attached are the records we have located regarding your request. Please note that under Idaho Code 74-104 (1), personal information has/have been redacted. In the event you have any questions or concerns regarding our response to your request, please feel to contact me directly. If our agency is unable to address or resolve your concerns, you may seek redress in district court within 180 days of this mailing as set forth in Idaho Code §74-

115. Also, per Idaho Code §74-103, your request was forwarded to our agency civil counsel for review.

Emily L. Carey

Records Custodian/Civil Deputy

Boundary County Sheriff's office

6438 Kootenai St.

P.O. Box 127


Bonniers Ferry, Idaho 83805

(208)267-3151 ext 202

ecarey@boundarysheriff.org



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients (or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient) and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains



Outlook

Fw: RE: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: Tue 7/22/2025 2:02 PM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Mr. Wilson,

Are you the appropriate person to address my below outlined grievance? Evidently Mr. Blickenstaff is not the appropriate contact. I contacted him, as Sheriff Stolley had directed me to do so on 7/15/25.

Officials of boundary County Sheriff's office are seemingly sending me in circles, stonewalling me from addressing the issues at hand. I am growing tired of this retributory behavior that I am experiencing.

If you are not the point of contact to address these matters, please direct me to the appropriate person/department.

Best,
Marie Garrett

—— Forwarded Message ——

From: George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org>
Date: On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 1:52 PM
Subject: RE: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records
To: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

ICRMP is an Insurance Company. We do not address those issues. I suggest you seek professional legal assistance.

George Blickenstaff, SCLA
Sr. Claims Specialist

Direct: (208) 246-8202

Fax: (208)336-2100

www.icrmp.org



NOTICE: This email, and any files transmitted with it, is the property of the ICRMP and may contain information considered privileged or confidential and legally exempt from disclosure. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by calling 208-246-1100 or toll free 800-336-1985.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 2:32 PM
To: George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org>
Subject: RE: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records

Mr. Blickenstaff,

I am not contacting you regarding my denied Tort claim.

I am contacting you in reference to my criminal complaint being ignored and mishandled by Boundary County Sheriff's Office. Obviously in retribution to my Notice of Tort Claim.

If you cannot personally address this matter, please direct me to the appropriate department who will address my grievance.

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 1:18 PM, George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org> wrote:

Your tort claim is denied. You are free to try your case in court.

George Blickenstaff, SCLA
Sr. Claims Specialist

Direct: (208) 246-8202

Fax: (208)336-2100

www.icrmp.org



NOTICE: This email, and any files transmitted with it, is the property of the ICRMP and may contain information considered privileged or confidential and legally exempt from disclosure. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately by calling 208-336-3100 or toll free 800-336-1985

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 2:17 PM
To: George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org>
Subject: Fw: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records

Mr. Blickenstaff,

Will you be addressing the failure of Boundary County Sheriff's office in not investigating/ conflicting my 4/21/25 criminal complaint to an outside governing agency?

The fact that I filed a Notice of Tort Claim against Boundary County on 6/11/25, should not bar me from my criminal complaints being reasonably and appropriately addressed. It is clear in Mr. Stolley's 7/15/25 email to me that my Notice of Tort Claim is apparently impeding his authority to appropriately handle my criminal complaint.

Recently, sometime in May 2025, Sheriff Stolley conflicted bogus criminal complaints against myself and one other individual to Idaho State Police. (Said complaints were from the same bad actors who are using UNREDACTED JUVENILE RECORDS provided by Boundary county Sheriff's Office, released to PUBLICLY HARASS my family/juvenile children- which is the basis of my 4/21/25 criminal complaint.)

Why are my requests for an investigation/ conflict to an outside agency being denied? In fact, it has taken 3+ months for me to receive the answer from Sheriff Stolley that essentially my complaint is ignored and denied a formal investigation from a non conflicted agency.

This action of Boundary County Sheriff's Office appears both discriminatory and retributory to me and my juvenile children.

I look forward to hearing from you in how this important matter will be addressed.

Best,

Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date: On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 10:47 AM
Subject: RE: RE: Malicious use of public records
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
CC: 'George Blickenstaff' <GBlick@icrmp.org>, 'Bill Wilson' <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Sheriff Stolley,

Please provide me the case number for the investigation of my 4/21/25 complaint.

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 22nd, 2025 at 10:27 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Subject: Re: Status of Complaint Submitted on 4/21/25

Marie,

Thank you for following up regarding the complaint you submitted to our office on April 21, 2025. After a thorough review of the 20+ page document you provided, the Boundary County Sheriff's Office has determined that there is no evidence of criminal activity warranting further investigation or referral to an outside agency at this time. As such, we will not be pursuing this matter further.

We take all complaints seriously and have addressed your concerns in accordance with our procedures. Please be assured that our office is committed to serving all citizens of Boundary County without discrimination or retaliation.

If you have any additional information or new concerns, please feel free to contact our office directly. Regarding your Notice of Tort Claim filed on June 11, 2025, as previously advised, this matter should be directed to the Idaho Counties Risk Management Program (ICRMP) for further handling.

Sincerely,

Sheriff Stolley

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

CC: George Blickenstaff

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 22, 2025 7:14 AM
To: Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>
Cc: George Blickenstaff <GBlick@icrmp.org>
Subject: Re: RE: Malicious use of public records

Sheriff Stolley,

I am following up on my communications to you regarding alleged criminal activity to be investigated/ or sent to an outside agency for investigation. This is in reference to the 20+ page documents I hand delivered to your office on 4/21/25. Please advise status on my complaint.

I am copying George Blickenstaff on this email per your previous email directing me to send my complaint to ICRMP due to my Notice of Tort Claim filed 6/11/25. (Though I disagreed that ICRMP would not be the proper agency to investigate this).

As a citizen of Boundary county, State of Idaho. I do expect my concerns/ complaint be properly addressed by the governing agency, without discrimination/retaliatory actions against me.

Best,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 15th, 2025 at 4:54 PM, Marie Garrett
[REDACTED]

Sheriff Stolley,

My 4/21/25 complaint outlines the criminal activities with included evidence. Do you need another copy of it?

I am not a Law enforcement officer, so it would be unreasonable to expect me to determine exactly which laws would apply in

this matter. However, I have done some research of my own and see the below laws for your reference. I did provide substantial evidence to your office, which needs to be investigated. Did you read my 4/21/25 complaint or send it to any outside agency for investigation?

18-7906 (1) (a)

28-51-105

18-6710 (1) (c)

18-4801

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 15th, 2025 at 3:48 PM,
Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

What crimes are you alleging
happened?

Sheriff Stolley

----- Original Message -----

From: "Marie Garrett"

Sent: 7/15/2025 1:01:20 PM

To: "Travis Stolley"

Cc: "Dale Anderson"

Subject: RE: Malicious use of
public records

Sheriff Stolley:

Idaho Counties Risk
management program is not an
investigatory agency. It is your
duty as Sheriff to investigate
criminal matters. If you have a
conflict of interest, why are you
not forwarding this to an outside
agency? My complaint alleged
criminal conduct.

Additionally, I would like to point out I delivered my complaint to your office on 4/21/25. I followed up with an email for status on 5/29/25. On 6/2/25 you responded that you would be getting back to me within the next few days. You never did.

I filed a Notice of tort claim on 6/11/25, which was nearly 2 months subsequent to my request for you to address my concerns of how unredacted juvenile records are being used to criminally harass families and juveniles. I do expect for you to address these concerns in a timely manner.

I want to confirm you are telling me that you are not willing to investigate my complaint delivered 4/21/25? ISP informed me they did not receive my 4/21/25 20+ page criminal complaint from your office.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Tuesday, July 15th, 2025 at 11:18 AM, Travis Stolley <tstolley@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

I would refer any further communication about this issue to our Idaho Counties Risk Management Program (ICRMP) representation. It is my understanding you have filed a notice of claim addressing this issue with ICRMP and they have responded. I understand you don't agree with

their view on the issues but at this current time I cannot discuss it further as there may be pending legal action coming from you in regards to this.

Respectfully,

Sheriff Stolley

From: Marie
Garrett

Sent: Saturday, July
12, 2025 1:13 PM

To: Travis Stolley
<tstolley@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc: Dale Anderson
<danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE:
Malicious use of
public records

Sheriff Stolley:

I am following up
on my
communication to
you from 5/29/25.

On 6/2/25, you
indicated you
would be getting
back with me in a
few days regarding
this matter. To
date, I have
received no reply
to this important
matter.

I would appreciate
a response from
you, concerning
the next steps.

Thank you,

Marie Garrett

On Monday, June
2nd, 2025 at 11:33
AM, Travis Stolley
<[tstolley@boundar
ysheriff.org](mailto:tstolley@boundar
ysheriff.org)>
wrote:


Marie,

I have
receiv
ed
your
email;
I will
get
back
to you
with
an
answe
r
about
next
steps
within
the
next
couple
of
days.
I've
attach
ed
Chief
Deput
y
Ander
son so
he can
be

included in future communications.

Sheriff
Stolley

From:



May
29,
2025
11:23
AM

To:
tstolley@bozondarsheriff.org

Subject:
Malicious use of public records

Sheriff
Stolley,
y,

I am
writin
g to
follow
up in
regar
d to
my
conce
rns of
public
recor
ds
reque
sts of
non
party
citize
ns
obtain
ing
docu
ments
regar
ding
juveni
les
and
using/
distrib
uting
the
public
recor
ds as
a
mean
s of
haras
sing
famili
es/juv
eniles
. On
April
21,
2025,
I hand
delive
red a
packe
t
contai
ning
20+
pages
includ
ing a
letter

addressed to you and Under sheriff Zimmerman and supporting documents. I detailed my family's experience of how the public records obtained are being used as a means of harm by 3 known actors. We know the spirit of the Public Records Act is intended for transparency in Government, not as a means to harvest

records to harass, harm and smear families and juveniles, while intimidating public officials' titles. The public records that are being shared all over the internet and our small community is a result of 3 actors collaborating to harm my entire family. It is involving my juvenile children. Myself and juvenile children do

not
have
First
Amendment
protections.

I hold
strong
conviction
these
records
should
have
never
been
released
in
the
first
place,
let
alone
with
my
juvenile
names
unredacted.
As
result
of our
situation
being
disregarded
and
the
records
being
provided
and
unredacted,
my
family
and
children
are
bearing
the
burden


n of
this
public
haras
sment
/libel.

I
issue
d a
Notic
e of
Ceas
e and
Desist
to
Adrie
nne
Norris
on
April
16,
which
was
ackno
wledg
ed by
her. It
seem
s to
me
the
actors
involv
ed
are
comm
itting
the
crime
of
libel
and
comm
unicat
ions
haras
sment
.

Consi
dering
this is
contin
uing,
and
will
contin
ue
until
somet
hing
is

done,
can
you
pleas
e
advis
e
what
can
be
done
about
this?

Thank
you,
Marie
Garre
tt



Fw: Re: June records request

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Date Tue 7/15/2025 1:28 PM
To Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>

Mr. Wilson,

I am being denied the ability to narrow my 6/18/25 public records request. As you advised me to narrow down my request, I did. To my knowledge, there would not be video associated with my request. I narrowed my request and am requesting emails between Adrienne Norris and Mike Rosenthal.

It is apparent Mr. Anderson is hindering me from obtaining public records. Please address this matter and let me know how I should proceed with my Public records request.

Thank you
Marie Garrett

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>
Date: On Monday, July 14th, 2025 at 12:32 PM
Subject: Re: June records request
To: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Read the Idaho code, you can not narrow your request. It is NOT my responsibility to explain the code to you, you can research it and read it for yourself, it is public record.

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 14, 2025, at 11:29, Marie Garrett [REDACTED]

Can you please clarify your answer? I would appreciate your ability to be clear in your answer.

Are you telling me I cannot narrow down my records request? Or are you telling me you cannot use the search bar function? Or are you telling me you cannot request payment for flashdrives upon pickup of records (instead of after the fact)?

Your reply to me is rather ambiguous.

On Saturday, July 12th, 2025 at 5:36 PM, Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Read the code
Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 11, 2025, at 00:35, Marie Garrett

Can you please clarify? Idaho code does not allow you or me to do what?

On Thursday, July 10th, 2025 at 3:53 PM, Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Idaho code does not allow you or me to do that

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson

Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonniers Ferry ID 83805

From: Marie Garrett

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2025 1:00 PM

To: Dale Anderson

<danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Subject: RE: June records request

Let's narrow down the 6/18/25 PR request to all emails to and from Adrienne Norris and Mike Rosenthal from 6/2024 to present date.

I am aware that per IPRA, the first 2 hours/100 pages are no charge. Utilizing the search bar function in the email account should make this relatively straightforward to locate.

I will remit a check for the \$5.00 flash drive fee for the records I picked up 6/17/25. In the future, I do believe it is a more professional practice to collect said fee when records are picked up..(rather than waiting until a person submits another PRR, then notifying them there is a fee for the completed request which was already picked up- seems retributive).

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Thursday, July 10th, 2025 at 9:51 AM,
Dale Anderson
<danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

I had spent more than two hours just looking for a small portion of the information you had requested, zero reading, redacting, editing, copying etc has been done at this point. I need to know asap if you will be paying for the rest of the request, as well as when can I expect the 5.00 owed for the flash drive.

Respectfully,

Chief Deputy Dale Anderson
Boundary County Sheriff's Office
PO Box 127
Bonnerridge ID 83805

From: Marie Garrett

Sent: Thursday, July 10, 2025

8:30 AM

To: Dale Anderson

<danderson@boundarysheriff.org>

Cc: Bill Wilson

<bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>;

Andrakay Pluid

<apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Subject: Re: June records request

Please send me the 2 hours worth of work you had done on my 6/18/25 request (per your 6/25/25 email).

Thank you,

Marie Garrett.

On Thursday, July 10th, 2025 at 7:24 AM, Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,

Your request asks for over a years' worth of records about communications between at least 4 different people, not to include aliases, to include emails, phone calls and videos. There are possibly hundreds of emails, from persons who no longer are employed by Boundary County. Once emails are located, each email will need to be individually read, redacted, approved, copied and sent out to complete the records request. Each video will need to be reviewed. The request will involve IT, legal, and sheriff staff. The request will create over 40+ hours of work in our estimation.

**Chief Deputy
Dale Anderson**

**Boundary County
Sheriff's Office**

PO Box 127

**Bonnors Ferry ID
83805**



Outlook

Re: June records request

From Marie Garrett [REDACTED]**Date** Thu 7/10/2025 8:29 AM**To** Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org>**Cc** Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>; Andrakay Pluid <apluid@boundarycountyid.org>

Please send me the 2 hours worth of work you had done on my 6/18/25 request (per your 6/25/25 email).

Thank you,
Marie Garrett.

On Thursday, July 10th, 2025 at 7:24 AM, Dale Anderson <danderson@boundarysheriff.org> wrote:

Marie,


Your request asks for over a years' worth of records about communications between at least 4 different people, not to include aliases, to include emails, phone calls and videos. There are possibly hundreds of emails, from persons who no longer are employed by Boundary County. Once emails are located, each email will need to be individually read, redacted, approved, copied and sent out to complete the records request. Each video will need to be reviewed. The request will involve IT, legal, and sheriff staff. The request will create over 40+ hours of work in our estimation.


Chief Deputy Dale Anderson


Boundary County Sheriff's Office

PO Box 127

Bonnors Ferry ID 83805







To: Marie Garrett 
<apluid@boundarycountyid.org>
Subject: Re: Public Records Request

Ms. Garrett,

I am forwarding your message to Dale.

William S. Wilson, Esq.

Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office
Boundary County Prosecutor's Office
bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.

From: Marie Garrett [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 8, 2025 9:19 AM
To: Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov>
Subject: Re: Public Records Request

Mr. Wilson,

I am familiar with the IPRA. My concern is that the costs associated with my request are inflated due to retaliatory intent. This is the basis for my request of review, along with not following IPRA policy.

40 hours to complete this request is seemingly excessive

Perhaps a good starting point for the fulfillment of my request may be to send the 2 hours worth of work done on this request, which Dale Anderson told me of via email. I can take a look at what has been done and try to narrow it down.

Thank you,
Marie Garrett

On Monday, July 7th, 2025 at 4:03 PM, Bill Wilson <bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov> wrote:

Ms. Garrett,

My name is Bill Wilson and I work for Andrakay in the Prosecutor's Office. She forwarded me an email in which you asked for review of a request for prepayment related to your most recent PRR. I have attached some statutory authority for your review. On any request, the first 100 pages and two hours of work are free. Afterwards, we can ask for prepayment, which we calculate by multiplying the anticipated hours of work needed to complete the task by the lowest hourly rate of an employee capable of doing that work. Most of the time, we don't require prepayment, especially when the request just seeks emails, reports, and other written materials. On the other hand, videos are very expensive to produce because someone has to watch the entire thing for redaction purposes, so if you have asked for lots of video the cost will get high very quickly. One way to limit that issue is to narrow the scope of your request to one particular camera or a shorter period of time, but that is ultimately up to you.

If you have been able to get video records without prepayment in the past, then you got a great deal. However, moving forward, my office has advised all departments to begin seeking prepayment to cover the costs of complying with the Public Records Act.

I hope this message satisfies your concerns. Please let me know if you have any other questions.

William S. Wilson, Esq.
Civil Deputy Prosecutor
Bonner County Prosecutor's Office

Boundary County Prosecutor's Office

bill.wilson@bonnercoid.gov

The communications contained herein are attorney-client privileged. If you have received this email by accident or for any reason are not the intended recipient then please delete this message.